High-speed atomic force microscopy coming of age

メタデータ	言語: eng
	出版者:
	公開日: 2017-10-03
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者:
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2297/30432

Topical Review High-speed Atomic Force Microscopy Coming of Age Toshio Ando

Department of Physics and Bio-AFM Frontier Research Center, Kanazawa University

1. Introduction

A vast array of studies have long been performed by various approaches to understand how proteins work. The recent technical innovation in protein studies is brought by single-molecule fluorescence microscopy created in early 1990's [1, 2]. The dynamic behavior of individual protein molecules at work can be examined by the observation of dynamic behavior of fluorescent spots, each being emitted from a fluorophore attached to a selected locus of the molecule. By this innovation, the depth of our understanding of how proteins function has become incomparable with the past. Nevertheless, insufficiency of our understanding is obvious. This is mainly because we still have technical limitations. Protein molecules themselves are invisible in the fluorescence observations even when super-resolution fluorescence microscopy [3] is used. The structure of biological molecules has been studied using X-ray crystallography, NMR, electron microscopy, and atomic force microscopy (AFM) but the obtained structures are essentially static. Thus, the concomitant assessment of structure and dynamics is infeasible. Even the emerging X-ray free-electron laser [4] will not break this limitation. To overcome this long-standing problem prevailing protein research and make it possible to simultaneously record the structure and dynamics of biological molecules, high-speed AFM has been developed in the last 2 decades [5, 6] and is now coming of age [7].

After the advent of AFM in 1986 [8], its potential capabilities as a novel tool in biological research have been actively explored in pioneering works [9, 10, 11]. In life sciences, AFM is now routinely used as a nanotool for various measurements under physiological solution environments [12, 13]; high-resolution imaging of biological samples [14, 15, 16], recognition and localization of specific molecules [17, 18] as well as force measurements to estimate the strength of intra- and inter-molecular bonds at the single molecule level [19, 20, 21] and the elasticity of biological surfaces [22, 23]. This remarkable progress has been basically supported by reinforcement of the initial configuration of an AFM apparatus with newly developed devices and techniques such as microfabricated cantilevers [24], the optical lever method for cantilever deflection detection [25], and the tapping mode [26]. The microfabricated cantilevers and the optical lever method simplified the AFM apparatus and its operation (figure 1). In the tapping mode, the cantilever tip is vertically oscillated at the first resonant frequency of the cantilever and brought into periodic contact with the sample surface. Hence, the tapping mode significantly reduces the frictional force exerting from the cantilever tip to the sample during the raster scanning of the sample. In the previous contact mode, biological samples weakly attached to a substrate surface are easily swept away by the cantilever

tip.

In the early stage when pioneering studies of bio-AFM imaging were being carried out, several groups attempted to observe dynamic biological events. Paul Hansma and colleagues observed at 1 min intervals the clotting process by fibrin which was initiated by the digestion of fibrinogen with thrombin [11]. A group including one of the inventors of AFM (i.e., Gerd Binnig) also attempted to image the dynamic processes of live cells being infected by virus [27] and binding of antibody to the S-layer [28]. After the introduction of the tapping mode, DNA-involved dynamic processes were studied by Paul Hansma's group and Carlos Bustamante's group; DNA digestion by DNAase [29] (Bezanilla et al., 1994), binding of RNA polymerase to DNA [30], transcription process [31], and diffusion of RNA polymerase along DNA strands [32]. Other types of dynamic processes studied were digestion of collagen I by collagenase [33] and closing of nuclear pores upon exposure to 5% CO₂ [34]. The imaging rate used in these studies was as a matter of course very low. Even in the fastest imaging, it was ~ 2 frames/min. Therefore, no clear images were acquired for rapidly moving molecules and their time-lapse images could not well resolve the dynamic processes. To circumvent the low imaging rate, Radmacher measured height fluctuations (~1 nm) at a top-surface locus of lysozyme which specifically occurred when the enzyme was digesting oligoglycosides [35]. A similar study was carried out with urease and ~1 nm height fluctuations of 100-200 Hz were detected [36].

Looking back these early studies, it is obvious that AFM was expected to play a role in visualizing dynamic biological processes at high spatial resolution because AFM is only the method capable of directly visualizing vital biological samples in aqueous solutions. However, this expectation could not be met by the low imaging rate. As mentioned above, rather than AFM, single-molecule fluorescence microscopy created in early 1990's has been much more successful in observing dynamic biomolecular processes, although the observation is performed in an indirect way.

As will be described later, efforts towards increasing the imaging rate of AFM have been carried out since early 1990's. Through steady efforts for two decades, high-speed AFM is now materialized. In fact, several biomolecular processes have recently been captured clearly, and the number of publications on high-speed AFM imaging is rapidly increasing in the last two years. In this review, a historical overview of technical developments towards high-speed AFM is first described, which is followed by theoretical considerations for the imaging rate and descriptions of elementary devices and techniques specialized for high-speed AFM. Then, recent studies of high-speed AFM imaging are highlighted. The review is concluded by the discussion of future challenges to be met in order to expand the scope of high-speed AFM application in biological research. More comprehensive descriptions of high-speed AFM instrumentation are given elsewhere [7]. Details of the historical overviews of bio-AFM development and application are also given elsewhere [37, 38].

2. History of high-speed AFM development

2.1. Initial stage

In the early 1990's, only a few years after the invention of AFM, Calvin Quate and colleagues initiated the development of high-speed AFM [39]. Calvin Quate, one of the inventers of AFM, aimed at fast inspection and lithography of a wide area of hard materials surfaces using AFM. To this end, they developed cantilevers and cantilever arrays with integrated sensors and/or actuators using MEMS technology [40-44]. The self-sensing and self-actuation capabilities significantly simplified the configuration of AFM setup. However, the fabrication of these cantilevers was only possible in relatively large dimensions, resulting in low resonant frequencies and large spring constants. Insulation coating of the integrated cantilevers for the use in liquids further lowered the resonant frequency [45]. This approach, therefore, did not extend to high-speed AFM for biological research. Nevertheless, their intention of increasing the scan speed of AFM was taken over by Paul Hansma and Toshio Ando.

Paul Hansma's group and my group employed the same approach; *i.e.*, increasing the feedback bandwidth by developing fast-response devices. Both groups developed small cantilevers [6, 46, 47] and optical beam deflection (OBD) detectors for small cantilevers [6, 48]. My group additionally developed a fast scanner and a fast amplitude detector [6]. Hansma's group reported imaging of DNA at 0.6 frames/s (fps) [49] and dynamic association/dissociation between GroES and GroEL [5]. However, the feedback bandwidth was not high enough, and therefore, the molecular events were recorded by scanning the sample stage only in the x- and z-directions. A more complete high-speed AFM system was reported by my group in 2001 and 2002 [6, 50], which enabled filming of the Brownian motion of myosin V on mica surface at 12.5 fps [6]. However, the feedback bandwidth was not high enough to have a capability of low-invasiveness to fragile proteins. The period from the early 1990's through 2002 is considered to be the initial stage of high-speed AFM development.

2.2. Second stage

In the second stage from 2003 through 2007, further efforts were carried out. A notable improvement was achieved by the invention of an active damping technique for the z-scanner [51] and a dynamic proportional-integral-derivative controller [52]. Further smaller cantilevers with a resonant frequency of 1.2 MHz in water and a small spring constant of ~0.2 N/m were developed by Olympus. As a result, the feedback bandwidth was significantly increased up to ~100 kHz. Fast phase imaging was also achieved by the development of a fast phase detector capable of detecting phase signal every oscillation cycle of a cantilever [53]. In 2008, my group finalized the development of high-speed AFM suitable for observing dynamic structural changes of biological molecules [7]. Since then, my group has been shifting our efforts towards applying the finalized

high-speed AFM instrument to the study of proteins and developing the next-generation of high-speed AFM applicable to live cells. Paul Hansma's group developed fast data acquisition systems [54, 55] and high-speed scanners with a relatively large scan range (6–15 μ m in x- and y-directions and 6 μ m in z-direction) [55, 56]. Mervyn Miles' group developed a resonant x-scanner using a tuning fork with resonant frequencies of 30-100 kHz [57] and a fast digital processing system for acquiring cantilever deflection data [58], and demonstrated ultrafast imaging (1,300 fps) of collagen fibers in an ambient environment [58]. This remarkable speed was, however, achieved in the constant-height mode (without feedback scan of the z-scanner). Their high-speed AFM is, therefore, only applicable to samples firmly attached to a substratum in an ambient environment or to very stable non-biological samples in liquids. It is notable that in this developmental stage, researchers of control engineering participated in developing fast positioning techniques for AFM using more sophisticated control techniques. However, the complicated control techniques can only be implemented with slow digital circuits. George Schitter, one of previous collaborators of Paul Hansma, is now trying to implement sophisticated control schemes into emerging field programmable analog array (FPAA) circuits [59].

2.3. Current stage

After the second stage, the number of users of the high-speed AFM apparatus designed by my group is quickly increasing. Consequently, a relatively wide range of application studies are now being carried out. Regarding the technical development of high-speed AFM, a wide-area and high-speed scan capability is being pursued. However, the feedback bandwidth seems to have already reached the maximum possible level (~100 kHz) as far as the configuration of AFM setup similar to previous ones is used (*i.e.*, tapping mode, piezoactutor-based sample-stage scanner, small cantilevers, and OBD detection of cantilever deflection). Rather than attempting to further increase the scan speed, functional augmentation to high-speed AFM is now being pursued and bringing a new modality of bio-imaging which will be briefly described in section 6.

3. Theoretical basis for feedback bandwidth and imaging rate

Here, factors limiting the scan speed of tapping-mode AFM are quantitatively described. It will be shown that the highest possible imaging rate R_{max} is determined by the bandwidth of feedback control to maintain the tapping force constant during scanning as well as by scan parameters and the sample itself.

3.1. Highest possible imaging rate

When a sample is scanned over an area of $W \times W$ with scan velocity V_s in the x-direction and N scan lines in the y-direction, the image acquisition rate R is given by $R = V_s/(2WN)$. Supposing that the sample surface corrugation is characterized with a single spatial frequency v in the x-direction,

then the feedback scan is executed in the z-direction with frequency $f = vV_s$ to trace the sample surface.

The bandwidth $f_{\rm B}$ of the closed feedback loop should be equal to or higher than f, and thus, we obtain the relationship $R \leq f_{\rm B}/(2\nu WN)$. The feedback bandwidth $f_{\rm B}$ is usually defined by a feedback frequency f at which a closed-loop phase delay of $\pi/4$ occurs in tracing the sample surface. The phase delay in the closed loop ($\varphi_{\rm closed}$) is approximately twice that in the open loop ($\varphi_{\rm open}$), provided the feedback gain is maintained at ~1 [7]. The phase delay is caused by the limited response speed of devices contained in the feedback loop and by the time delay due to a below-mentioned 'parachuting' effect. By denoting the total time delay with $\Delta \tau_{\rm total}$, $\varphi_{\rm open}$ is given by $\varphi_{\rm open} = 2\pi f \Delta \tau_{\rm total}$. The phase delay can be compensated by a factor of α using the (P + D) operation of the PID feedback controller. $f_{\rm B}$ is thus given by $f_{\rm B} = \alpha/(16\Delta \tau_{\rm total})$. Hence, the highest possible imaging rate is given by $R_{\rm max} = \alpha/(32\nu WN\Delta \tau_{\rm total})$, when the sample fragility is not taken into account. To materialize, for example, $R_{\rm max} = 25$ fps for $\alpha = 2.5$, $\nu = 0.1$ nm⁻¹, W = 200 nm, and N = 100, we have to achieve $f_{\rm B} = 100$ kHz and thus $\Delta \tau_{\rm total} = 1.6$ µs.

3.2.Time delay

In the closed feedback loop, there are several devices with certain time delays to respond (figure 2). The main time delays are as follows; (1) the response time of an oscillating cantilever, (2) the time required for measuring the cantilever oscillation amplitude, (3) the time of integrating error signal with a feedback controller, (4) the response time of the z-scanner, and (5) the below-mentioned "parachuting" time.

When a cantilever tip taps a sample surface, a brief step-wise force is exerted to the cantilever. The cantilever responds to this step-wise force with a response time given by $\tau_c = Q_c/(\pi f_c)$, where Q_c and f_c are the cantilever's quality factor and the first resonant frequency in an aqueous solution, respectively. The minimum time required for measuring the cantilever oscillation amplitude τ_m is given by $\tau_m = 1/(2f_c)$. The z-scanner is driven in a feedback frequency f. The response of z-scanner with the first resonant frequency f_z and a quality factor Q_z is characterized with a second-order transfer function. The phase delay φ_z at the feedback frequency f is estimated from the phase-frequency relationship (*i.e.*, the Bode phase plot) of the transfer function. The time delay τ_z is given by $\tau_z = \varphi_z/(2\pi f)$. A previous argument as to τ_z is based on an assumption that the z-scanner is driven stepwise [7] but this assumption is not practical because the z-scanner is driven by the output signal from an analogue feedback controller through the z-piezodriver. The integral time of error signal with a feedback controller τ_1 cannot be expressed explicitly but was previously given through a theoretical consideration and experimental measurements [7]. It is approximately expressed by $\tau_1 = 4h_0 \sin(\varphi_c 2)/(A_0 f_c)$, where h_0 is the sample height and A_0 is the free oscillation amplitude of the cantilever.

When the cantilever encounters a steeply inclined region of the sample, the tip completely

detaches from the sample surface, and time elapses until it lands on the surface again (*i.e.*, parachuting). The parachuting time is prolonged when the amplitude set point A_s to be maintained constant during scanning is set close to the free oscillation amplitude A_0 . This is because the error signal $(A_0 - A_s)$ to be integrated by a feedback controller is saturated at a small value during parachuting [7, 52]. An approximate analytical expression for the parachuting time τ_p was previously given to be $\tau_p = [(\tan \beta)/\beta - 1]/f_c$, where β is given by $\beta = \cos^{-1}[(A_0 - A_s)/\{5h_0 \sin(\varphi_{closed}/2)\}]$ [7].

4. Instrumentation of high-speed AFM

In the high-speed AFM apparatus we developed, all the time delays mentioned above are minimized. This apparatus is now commercially available from Research Institute of Biomolecule Metrology (RIBM), Co., Ltd (Tsukuba, Japan). Here, devices with minimized time delays are briefly described.

4.1. Small cantilevers, OBD detector, and amplitude detector

Several types of small rectangular cantilevers made of silicon nitride have been developed by Olympus collaborating with my group [6, 60]. The highest end cantilevers have dimensions of 6 μ m long, 2 μ m wide, and 90 nm thick (figure 3a). The resonant frequencies are 3.5 MHz in air and 1.2 MHz in water. The spring constant is 0.2 N/m and the quality factor in water is ~2. Therefore, the response time in water is ~0.5 μ s. A slightly larger type (BioLever fast BL-AC10DS-A2, Olympus; 9 μ m long, 2 μ m wide, and 130 nm thick; resonant frequencies 1.5 MHz in air and 0.6 MHz in water; spring constant ~0.1 N/m) is commercially available from Olympus (Tokyo, Japan), Atomic Force F&E GmbH (Mannheim, Germany), Bruker (California, USA) and Asylum Research (California, USA). Although not yet commercialized, small cantilevers will soon be also available from NanoWorld AG (Neuchâtel, Switzerland). The change in the angle $\Delta \phi$ of a cantilever free end is given by $3\Delta z/2L$, where Δz is the displacement of the free end and *L* is the length of the cantilever. Therefore, to the deflection detection of small cantilevers, angle-based OBD detectors are advantageous, compared with distance-based detectors. The shorter cantilevers grant 10–20-times higher sensitivity to an OBD detector than conventional cantilevers.

The tip of the small cantilevers manufactured by Olympus has a bird-beak like shape with an apex radius 15-24 nm. Small cantilevers with a sharper tip made by electron beam deposition (EBD) are also available as an option. When a scanning electron microscope (SEM; a low vacuum type is ideal) is available, EBD tips can be easily grown under the atmosphere of phenol gas sublimated from phenol crystals (figure 3b, c). They grow at ~1 μ m/min under a low vacuum condition. The tip can be sharpened by nitrogen or oxygen plasma etching (figure 3d). The apex radius can be reduced usually to ~4 nm and to ~0.5 nm in the best case.

In the OBD detector (figure 4), a $20 \times$ objective lens with a long working distance 24 mm (SLWD $20 \times$, Nikon) is used to focus a laser beam onto a small cantilever [6]. This lens is also used to collect a laser reflected back from the cantilever and to view the cantilever and sample stage under an optical microscope. The incident and reflected laser beams are separated using a quarter wavelength plate and a polarization beam splitter.

The oscillation amplitude of a cantilever is measured by a peak-hold method at every half cycle of oscillation (*i.e.*, $\tau_m = 1/(2f_c)$) [6] or by a Fourier method at every cycle of oscillation (*i.e.*, $\tau_m = 1/f_c$) [7]. In the former method, the peak and bottom voltages of a sinusoidal signal output from the bi-cell photosensor of the OBD detector are held by sample/hold circuits, and their difference is output as a peak-to-peak amplitude signal. Since only two-point voltages are used, it is susceptible to the effect of thermal fluctuations of a cantilever. The latter method uses almost all signals within one oscillation cycle and hence is much less susceptible to the thermal effect.

4.2. Sample-stage scanner and active damping of z-scanner

To design a sample-stage scanner to be driven at high frequencies, we have to consider various factors; not only the scanner's resonant frequency and unwanted vibrations but also hydrodynamic pressure. Quick displacement of a sample stage in the z-direction produces high hydrodynamic pressure, which tends to move the cantilever chip as well as the cantilever holder since they are located close to the sample stage [50]. This movement results in a considerable time delay in the cantilever response. Therefore, we use a small sample stage with 1-2 mm in diameter. In addition, the laterally overlapped region of the sample stage and the cantilever is minimized. This means that only areas near the edge region of the sample stage are imaged [61].

Among the x-, y-, and z-scanners, the z-scanner is displaced at the highest frequency. This high-frequency displacement produces a large impulsive force to its supporting mechanism, which is apt to cause unwanted vibrations of the z-scanner. To counteract the impulsive force and thereby minimize vibrations, two identical piezoactuators are placed at a supporting base in the opposite direction and displaced simultaneously with the same length [6, 7, 50, 62]. An alternative method we have recently employed is to hold a piezoactuator at its four rims parallel to the displacement direction so that the center of mass of the piezoactuator is not displaced [63]. In the former method, the resonant frequency and the maximum displacement are ~170 kHz and 2 μ m, respectively, while in the latter method they are ~370 kHz and 1 μ m, respectively. In the x-scanner with the maximum displacement of ~2 μ m, a piezoactuator is held at both ends with flexures so that its center of mass is unchanged during scanning [7]. The slowest y-scanner displaces a block of the x and z-scanners, the x-scanner displaces a block of the z-scanner, and the z-scanner displaces a glass-rod sample stage (figure 5a).

To damp z-scanner vibrations, we developed an active damping technique based on a Q-control method with a "mock z-scanner" [51]. In a conventional Q-control method [64, 65], the

displacement or velocity (V_z) of the z-scanner has to be measured and a signal proportional to $-V_z$ is added to the signal to be input to the z-piezodriver (*i.e.*, output from a feedback controller). However, it is difficult to measure the displacement or velocity. In our method, the mock z-scanner (an LRC circuit) characterized with a transfer function similar to that of the real z-scanner is used (figure 5b). An input signal to the z-piezodriver is also input to the mock z-scanner, and the output from the mock z-scanner is used for Q-control (*i.e.*, its minus derivative is added to the output signal from a feedback controller). As a result, the quality factor Q_z is reduced to ~1. Although it is possible to further reduce Q_z down to 0.5, we do not do so because the smaller Q_z results in a larger phase delay and also because the first resonance at 370 kHz would not be excited by feedback signal with frequency of ~100 kHz. The time delay of the z-scanner is ~0.45 µs for 100 kHz driving.

4.3. Feedback controller and drift compensator

It is difficult to make high-speed imaging compatible with low invasive imaging. In order for the oscillating cantilever tip to tap the sample surface with a small force, the amplitude set point has to be set very close to the free oscillation amplitude. As already mentioned, this condition promotes tip-parachuting and prolongs its duration. We solved this problem by devising a new PID controller (named dynamic PID controller) with a capability to automatically change the PID's gain parameters depending on the cantilever oscillation amplitude [52]. When the amplitude exceeds a threshold level (set at the amplitude set point or at slightly above), the gains are increased. The oscillation amplitude thereby quickly returns towards the set point before the error signal is saturated. Consequently, the feedback bandwidth becomes independent of the set point as long as the set point is smaller than 0.9-0.95.

When a piezoactuator is driven continuously for a long time, its temperature is increased. As a result, the extension coefficient of the piezoactuator is usually lowered to some extent. In the tapping mode, a cantilever is continuously excited by a sinusoidally oscillated piezoactuator placed at the cantilever holder. The cantilever free oscillation amplitude A_0 gradually declines by the thermal effect. When A_0 is set at 1 nm and the set point A_s is set at $0.9 \times A_0$ (these values are routinely used for imaging delicate biological samples), their difference is only 0.1 nm. Under this condition, the downward drift of A_0 easily leads to complete dissociation of the cantilever tip from the sample surface because the feedback system misinterprets the change in the cantilever oscillation amplitude and hence withdraws the sample stage from the cantilever tip. Thus, to achieve stable imaging under a small tapping force, A_0 has to be precisely kept constant. However, there is no way to measure A_0 during successive imaging. Instead of measuring A_0 , we measure the second harmonic oscillation amplitude A_{2nd} which is sensitive to the tip-sample interaction [52, 66]. A_{2nd} is maintained constant by changing the cantilever excitation power using a slow feedback control [52]. This compensation method allows very stable imaging under a small tapping force.

5. High-speed AFM imaging

As shown in Table 1, from 2001 through 2008 the number of publications on high-speed AFM imaging gradually increased, and sharply increased in the recent two years. This leap reflects recent maturity of the high-speed AFM technique and increase of the number of its users. A prototype of high-speed AFM apparatus began to be commercially available from RIBM around 2006. In 2008 an international consortium of high-speed bio-AFM was founded by the cooperation of six laboratories in the world. These laboratories are using the highest end high-speed AFM instruments designed by my group.

5.1. Early studies

Even in the period when the development of high-speed AFM instruments was going on, a few groups carried out high-speed bio-imaging experiments using the test and prototypic apparatuses. Through imaging experiments, my group examined the capability of test apparatuses to find instrumental problems to improve. For example, visualization of the following biomolecular processes was attempted; calcium-induced dissociation of calmodulin (CaM) from the neck regions of myosin V (M5) [67], gliding movement of actin filaments over a myosin-coated surface (movie S1) [62], conformational change of single-headed dynein C hydrolyzing ATP [62], kinesin movement along microtubules [67], and association/dissociation between GroES and GroEL under the control of the GroEL ATPase cycle [62]. It is noteworthy that flash photolysis of caged compounds was introduced for the first time to AFM imaging [62, 67]. This technique is well matched with high-speed AFM when transient events triggered by release of ligands are visualized. It was used to observe CaM dissociation from M5 upon Ca²⁺ release and GroES binding to GroES upon ATP release (movie S2) [62, 67]. Even in these early trials, dynamic biomolecular events were successfully captured but fragile samples such as microtubules were often broken during imaging. Keiichi Torimitsu' group imaged the complex of biotinylated DNA and streptavidine but the complex was disrupted during imaging [75]. Using a feedback-control-free high-speed AFM apparatus developed by Mervyn Miles' group [57], Jamie Hobbs' group imaged growing polyethylene oxide films at 14 fps but the resolution was low [68]. Kunio Takeyasu's group successfully observed the opening and closing of the apical region of GroEL during the ATPase reaction as well as the dynamic interaction between GroEL and GroES [70]. However, in this study (as well as in the previous study mentioned above [62]), GroEL was placed on a mica surface in an end-up orientation, so that one of the two rings was invisible and inaccessible to GroES. Therefore, the postulated [120] but controversial [121] asymmetric conformational changes in the double-ring structure and the resulting asymmetric binding of GroES could not be examined. This experimental restriction was removed later by the preparation of an appropriate substrate surface [82, 93].

Among various imaging studies carried out in this stage, notable is the dynamic visualization of EcoP15I-DNA complex performed by Kunio Takeyasu and collaborators [74]. EcoP15I is a type III restriction-modification enzyme with a low ATPase activity. The establishment of the endonuclease activity requires complex formation by the two molecules bound to unmethylated and inversely oriented specific non-palindromic recognition sites (i.e., 5'-CAGCAG - - - CTGCTG) which are distantly separated (up to 3.5 kb) on the same DNA molecule. Thus, it has been an interesting question how the two enzyme molecules efficiently encounter each other to overcome the distance and to cleave the DNA double strand [122]. A simple model accounting for the efficient encounter could be that the ATPase reaction by the enzyme molecules bound to the recognition sites drives translocation of the DNA double strand to extrude loops, thus efficiently leading to collision of the molecules. However, the ATPase activity is too low to explain such a long translocation [123]. The high-speed imaging showed that EcoP15I in fact translocated DNA in an ATP dependent manner and consequently caused accumulation of supercoiling (movie S3). The enzyme bound to its recognition site also made non-specific contacts with other DNA sites, thus forming loops (diffusive looping). The loops formed were extruded by the ATPase-driven translocation of DNA. Thus, the two mechanisms (translocation and diffusive looping) effectively reduce the distance between the recognition sites. However, recent single-molecule magnetic tweezers experiments showed that a DNA double strand stretched so as not to form loops could be cleaved, suggesting that one dimensional diffusion of an EcoP15I molecule is sufficient to reach a second molecule attached to its recognition site, and also that DNA cleavage occurs even when an *Eco*P15I molecule within the dimeric complex is not bound to its recognition site on the DNA [124]. A recent article discussed these and other experimental results to reconcile different models and provided suggestions for further experiments [125].

5.2. Recent studies

As listed in Table 1, several targets and subjects have been fully studied in the recent 3 years. Here, these studies are classified to (1) 2D crystal systems, (2) isolated proteins, (3) protein self-assembly processes, (4) DNA-binding proteins and synthetic DNA devices, (6) manipulation and imaging, and (7) non-biological studies. Below, at least one topic is highlighted for each class of study, except for non-biological studies.

5.2.1. 2D crystal systems

2D crystal systems studied thus far are mica surface-supported planar lipid bilayers [98], detergent micelles (movie S4) [112], native membrane proteins (bacteriorhodopsin (bR) [81, 92, 113] and ATP-synthase c-rings in a native lipid membrane [101]), and 2D crystals of streptavidin [76, 82] and annexin A-V [93] formed on mica-supported planar lipid bilayers.

5.2.1.1. Planar lipid bilayers

Small lipid vesicles (single-lamellar vesicles), which are prepared by sonication of large multi-lamellar vesicles, attach to a negatively charged mica surface by electrostatic interaction and then rupture. Upon rupture, small patches of supported lipid bilayers (SLBs) are formed. These patches are fused into larger SLBs and eventually the entire mica surface is completely covered by the bilayers. This entire process was revealed for the first time using high-speed AFM.

The SLB formation process was recorded in depth in the collaboration between my group and Christian Le Grimellec's group (movie S5) [98]. The lipid composition of small vesicles used was DOPC:DOPS:biotin-cap-DPPE = 6:2:2 (w/w) suspended in 10 mM HEPES-NaOH (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl₂ (DOPC: dioleoylphosphatidylcholine; DOPS: dioleoylphosphatidylserine; 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-(capbiotinyl)). biotin-cap-DPPE: The imaging was carried out over 25 min at 1.03 fps for a scan area of 800×800 nm. An unexpected phenomenon observed was the formation of lipid nanotubes (LNTs) of ~20 nm diameter (figure 6). Short LNTs had already been formed in the vesicle sample before the deposition onto a mica surface as they appeared together with small globular vesicles in the AFM images immediately after the deposition. Unlike the globular vesicles, the short LNTs did not rupture on the mica surface and grew longer by two mechanisms; (1) addition of free lipids to the free ends, and (2) the end-to-end fusion of LNTs. The LNTs also interacted with the edge regions of SLBs either at their longitudinal sides or at one of the free ends. In the latter case, the interacting SLBs were getting smaller. With the lapse of time, the length and density of LNTs increased and the size of SLBs also increased. As a result, long LNTs were jammed into narrow spaces between large SLBs, thus pushed each other and eventually ruptured. After the rupture, the size of SLBs immediately increased to cover large areas of the bare mica surface.

As demonstrated by this observation, various dynamic events appear simultaneously in a molecular movie, which is one of the powerful features of high-speed AFM. The high stability of LNTs can be accounted for by the smaller surface curvature (and hence smaller surface tension), compared with that of small globular liposomes. In the sideways surfaces of the edge regions of SLBs and the ends of LNTs, the polar head groups rather than alkyl chains are exposed. The arrangements of lipid molecules at these surfaces are energetically unfavorable compared with those in the flat surface area of SLBs and the longitudinal surfaces of LNTs. Therefore, to reduce the fraction of these unfavorable surfaces, SLBs and LNTs grow by incorporating free lipids and by fusing with the respective kind of partners. As mentioned above, when one of the ends of an LNT was brought into contact with a sideways edge of an SLB patch, the size of the patch became smaller with time, indicating that lipids are transferred from the SLB to the LNT, although the concomitant growth of the LNT was not apparent. The SLBs are thin (a few nanometer) while the LNTs are ~20 nm in diameter, so that the arrangements of lipids facing at the sideways edges of SLBs are energetically unfavorable, compared with those of lipids at the ends of LNTs. This

energetic difference would account for the lipid transfer. The mechanism underlying LNT formation and the molecular packing in a LNT are still elusive. It would be likely that the LNTs have a double-layered or monolayer-stacked structure with a hollow in the center, as has been revealed for different LNTs [127, 128]. LNTs naturally occur in life and synthetic LNTs are expected to have various applications including encapsulation of drugs. A study similar to the above was recently carried out using a cationic surfactant, aiming an eventual goal of elucidating the process of cleaning by detergents at the nanometer scale [112].

As will be described later, SLB surfaces are useful for anchoring a specific protein species without non-specific adsorption of other species. This selective anchoring facilitates AFM imaging of dynamic interaction events of samples containing multiple protein components [93].

5.2.1.2. 2D crystals of protein on SLBs

2D crystals of proteins formed on SLBs have been widely studied for various purposes; *e.g.*, structural determination of proteins by electron diffraction [128], understanding of crystal formation [129], and practical use of the surfaces as substrates. For the efficient formation of 2D protein crystals on SLB surfaces, the SLB should be highly fluidic. Lipids with unsaturated alkyl chains such as DOPC are often used for preparing highly fluidic SLBs. To attach a protein to a SLB surface, lipids with functional groups or electrically charged lipids are included in the SLB [93]. Here, a topic of dynamics involved in 2D protein crystals is described.

The topic is diffusion of point vacancy defects in the orthorhombic *C*222 crystal of streptavidin formed on biotin-containing SLBs (movie S6) [76]. Note that the 2D crystal has *P*2 symmetry [82] but here the notation *C*222 for the symmetry group follows a previous report [130]. Streptavidin is a homotetrameric protein with dihedral *D*2 symmetry, and each subunit specifically binds to one biotin. Streptavidin is easily crystallized in 2D forms on biotinylated SLBs. On the biotinylated SLBs, two of the four biotin binding sites face the lipid layers and are occupied by biotin, while the other two are exposed to the aqueous environment and therefore are biotin-free (figure 7a). The lipid composition used was DOPC, DOPS and biotin-cap-DOPE (7:2:1 w/w). The *C*222 crystal of streptavidin with lattice constants of $a = 5.9 \pm 0.1$ nm, $b = 5.9 \pm 0.3$ nm, and $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ was prepared using a buffer solution containing 10 mM HEPES-NaOH, 150 mM NaCl, and 2 mM CaCl₂ (pH 7.4). Monovacancy defects in the streptavidin 2D crystals were produced by increasing the tapping force exerting from the oscillating tip to the sample. The imaging was carried out at 2 fps over 150 × 150 nm² scan areas.

As shown in figure 7b, monovacancy defects were mobile, with an anisotropic feature with respect to the two axes of the crystalline lattice; larger mobility along the *b*-axis than the *a*-axis. The *a*-axis includes rows of contiguous biotin-bound subunits, whereas the *b*-axis includes rows of contiguous biotin-bound subunits. The linear relationship between the mean-square displacements and time intervals gave the diffusion rate constants of $D_a = 20.5 \text{ nm}^2/\text{s}$ for the *a*-axis component of

diffusion and $D_b = 48.8 \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ for the *b*-axis component of diffusion. This anisotropy in the mobility $(D_b > D_a)$ arises from a free energy difference between the biotin-bound subunit–subunit interaction and biotin-unbound subunit–subunit interaction. When a streptavidin molecule adjacent to a monovacancy defect moves to the defect site along the *a*-axis, two intermolecular bonds between biotin-unbound subunits ('u–u bond') and one intermolecular bond between biotin-bound subunits ('b–b bond') are broken. On the other hand, when it moves to the defect site along the *b*-axis, one u–u bond and two b–b bonds are broken (see figure 7c). Therefore, the difference in the activation energies E_a and E_b for the step movement of a monovacancy defect along the respective *a*- and *b*-axes simply corresponds to the difference between the free energy changes G_{u-u} and G_{b-b} produced by the formation of the respective u–u bond and b–b bond; namely, $E_b - E_a = G_{u-u} - G_{b-b}$. Therefore, the observed relationship $D_b > D_a$ indicates $G_{u-u} < G_{b-b}$; namely, the u–u bond affinity is higher than that of the b–b bond. The ratio of the two diffusion rate constants (D_b/D_a) can be expressed by $D_b/D_a = \exp[-(E_b - E_a)/(k_BT)]$, where k_B is the Boltzmann constant and *T* is the absolute temperature. Thus, from the observed value of $D_b/D_a\sim 2.4$, the free energy difference $G_{u-u} - G_{b-b}$ is estimated to be approximately $-0.88 k_BT (T \sim 300 \text{ K})$, which corresponds to -0.52 kcal/mol.

The monovacancy defects sometimes fused into a divacancy defect. Through several fusions, larger multivacancy defects were formed. As the size increased, the diffusivity of multivacancy defects increased for both crystal axes, although the diffusivity of divacancy defects was similar to that of monovacancy defects. The higher mobility of larger point defects increases their probability to encounter other point defects to form larger point defects with further higher mobilities. During the crystal growth in the presence of free streptavidin in the bulk solution, this acceleration effect should promote the removal of point defects from the crystalline regions. This would be one of the mechanisms for defect-free crystal formation not only in 2D crystals but also in 3D crystals. This issue should be examined in the future by imaging the crystal growth in the presence of free streptavidin in the bulk solution in the bulk solution.

5.2.1.3. Native membrane proteins

Two topics on native membranes proteins, bacteriorhodopsin (bR) and ATP-synthase c-rings, are highlighted here. In the former, light-induced structural changes are described, while in the latter, membrane-mediated protein-protein interaction is described.

bR in response to light

To explore appropriate conditions for capturing AFM images of high spatial resolution and to demonstrate the high spatial resolution power of AFM, the purple membrane, which contains highly-packed 2D arrays of photo-receptor bR molecules, has often been used by preference as a sample to image [131]. In fact, faithful high-resolution images capable of distinguishing the individual interhelix loops of bR are obtained [132]. Nevertheless, the physiologically relevant

structural changes in bR (*i.e.*, response to light) have never been captured by AFM until recently. bR functions as a light-driven proton pump, transferring protons across the membrane from the cytoplasmic side to the extracellular side [133, 134]. bR is comprised of seven transmembrane α-helices (named A-G) surrounding the retinal chromophore covalently bound to Lys216 via a protonated Schiff base [135, 136]. In the purple membrane, bR assembles into trimers, and the trimers are arranged in a hexagonal lattice. Upon absorption of light, photoisomerization from all-trans to 13-cis conformation of the retinal takes place. This isomerization induces proton transfer from the Schiff base to Asp85, which triggers a cascade of changes in the bR structure. A series of intermediates designated J, K, L, M, N, and O are defined by spectroscopy, and M (M₄₁₀), which has an absorption peak at 410 nm and the longest lifetime, is only the intermediate containing a deprotonated Schiff base [134]. The light-induced conformational changes in bR in the frozen activated state of the wild type (WT) and bR mutants have been studied by x-ray crystallography and electron microscopy [137-139]. The extent of the changes reported varies depending on the methods used, but it is generally small (0.1-0.3 nm). Dynamic nature of the conformational changes, however, remained elusive due to the lack of techniques amenable to the high-resolution visualization of dynamic structural changes of proteins. My group for the first time succeeded in capturing high-resolution successive images showing dynamic changes of bR in response to light using high-speed AFM [92].

The photocycle of the wild type at neutral pH proceeds very fast (~10 ms). We attempted to visualize the conformational changes at the highest possible imaging rate of ~80 fps by narrowing the imaging area but were unable to detect discernible changes. To slow down the photocycle, we then used the D96N bR mutant that has a longer photocycle (~10 s at pH 7) but still retains a proton pumping ability [140]. Figure 8a presents successive images of D96N mutant at the cytoplasmic surface captured at 1 fps. Upon illumination with green light (532 nm, 0.5 µW), bR drastically changed its structure (compare images at 1 and 2 s) and returned to the unphotolysed state in a few seconds after light-off (movie S7). This structural change was reproducible in repeated dark-illumination cycles. Note that this structural change is specifically induced by green light not by blue or red light. This fact clearly indicates that the observed structural change is not artifact but intrinsic to the nature of bR because bR in the ground state effectively absorbs green light. All the activated bR monomers moved outwards from the centers of respective trimers and rotated counterclockwise around the centers of respective trimers, as illustrated by arrows in figures 8b. This movement was quantified by calculating the center of mass of each bR monomers. The average displacement was 0.7-0.8 nm and the average rotation angle was 7-8°. However, the overall position of each bR molecule does not change because of indiscernible alterations at the extracellular surface. The photo-activated state observed by high-speed AFM could be attributed to the spectroscopically identified M intermediate because the lifetimes of other spectroscopic intermediates occurring prior M are very short. However, it is uncertain whether the photoactivated

state detected by AFM also contains the N intermediate that follows M. This issue was solved by another study as mentioned later [113].

Figures 8d and 8e respectively shows magnified AFM images obtained before and during illumination which are superimposed on the atomic model of the α -helical cytoplasmic ends in the unphotolysed state (A-G) [136]. The protruding parts around helices E and F displace outward from the trimer center under illumination. Since a previous static AFM imaging study of bR has assigned the prominent protrusion in the AFM topographs of bR to the cytoplasmic E-F loop [132], the protrusion movement visualized here is ascribed to the displacement of the E–F loop. As a result of E–F loop displacement, the protrusion is divided into two sections (green arrows 1 and 2 in figure 8e), and the minor protrusion (green arrow 2) likely corresponds to the position around helices A and B. Previous X-ray crystallography and electron microscopy studies could not detect the displacement of loop regions because of their thin and disordered structures. This is the reason why these previous studies only detected a small change (0.1–0.3 nm).

As a result of the outward displacement of the E-F loop, nearest-neighbor bR monomers, each belonging to a different adjacent trimer, transiently assemble, as illustrated in figure 8c. Here, we use a new designation, 'trefoil', for the triad of nearest-neighbor monomers belonging to different trimers to distinguish it from the original trimer. Notably, this transient assembly in a trefoil alters the decay kinetics of the activated state. Figure 9a shows the time course of the displacement of the mass centers for six bR monomers belonging to two different trefoils observed at different light intensities (M_n1–M_n3; n indicates different trefoils) (see movie S8). Under weak illumination, it is mainly the case that only one monomer in each trefoil is activated (M₁3 and M₂2 in the left panel of figure 9a). When only one monomer is activated in a trefoil, it decays with a time constant of $7.3 \pm$ 0.58 s (the top panel of figure 9b). In contrast, under stronger illumination, two or three monomers within a trefoil tend to be activated together. Interestingly, the decay of each monomer markedly depends on the order of its activation. The monomer that is activated latest among the activated monomers in the trefoil (blue arrows in the right panel of Fig. 3a) decays with a shorter time constant of 2.0 ± 0.16 s (the middle panel of figure 9b). On the other hand, the decay kinetics of the early activated monomers (M₁2 and M₂2 in the right panel of figure 9a) does not follow a single exponential (the bottom panel of figure 9b), and the averaged decay time lengthens to ~13 s. This observation indicates that the early activated monomers do not return to the ground state as long as the adjacent monomers within the trefoil are in the active state. Thus, bR-bR interaction within the trefoil (not within the trimer) under illumination engenders both positive and negative cooperative effects in the decay kinetics as the initial bacteriorhodopsin recovers. As a consequence, the turnover rate of the photocycle is maintained constant, on average, irrespective of the light intensity.

This study was followed by experiments where bR was alternately illuminated with green and blue light (movies S9 and S10) [113]. M_{410} having an absorption peak at 410 nm effectively absorbs blue light. It is known that after M_{410} is formed upon green illumination, it can be driven back to the

ground state (bR_{570}) by blue light [141]. High-speed AFM imaging under alternate illumination revealed that the photo-activated conformation of bR is also driven back to the unphotolyzed conformation without time delay. Thus, conformation of bR is tightly coupled to the conformation of retinal. Next, it was examined whether the activated state of bR observed by AFM contains not only M₄₁₀ but also other intermediates that are spectroscopically different from M₄₁₀. To address this issue, the photocycle was initiated by brief green light illumination and then blue light was briefly applied with different delay times. Before the blue light is turned on, the fraction of activated molecules is gradually decreasing in the normal course of the photocycle, but it is abruptly decreased nearly to zero after the brief blue light illumination. This abrupt decrease was independent of the time interval between the brief two color illumination. Thus, it was concluded that the conformation of bR in the activated state observed by AFM solely corresponds to the M₄₁₀.

The above AFM observations of bR, particularly the bR-bR interaction in the trefoil, concretely exemplified that minute and elaborate functional mechanisms of proteins inaccessible by other techniques can be uncovered by direct visualization of proteins in action at high spatiotemporal resolution.

Membrane-mediated protein-protein interaction.

Membrane proteins interact with the surrounding lipid molecules. This interaction affects the structure, function, and assembly of the proteins. "Hydrophobic mismatch" refers to the difference between the hydrophobic thickness of the lipid bilayer and the length of hydrophobic region of the membrane-embedded proteins [142]. This hydrophobic mismatch at the protein-lipid interface alters the thickness and curvature of the membrane to alleviate the mismatch. When two protein molecules are in close vicinity, they feel each other through their perturbed membrane fields and then associate with each other to further reduce the energy cost imposed by the hydrophobic mismatch [143].

Simon Scheuring's group studied this membrane-mediated protein-protein interaction by high-speed AFM imaging of the edge regions of native purple membrane [101]. Many bR-trimers diffuse in the fluidic edge regions and a small number of ATP synthase c-rings also diffuse there [144]. The dynamic association and dissociation between diffusing bR trimers and the crystalline edges were previously imaged (movie S11) and analyzed by my group and the association energy between two bR molecules was estimated to be $-1.5 k_{\rm B}T (T = 300 K)$ per a single bond [81]. C-rings with 6.5 nm diameter were observed to assemble into dimers with dynamic association distances ranging from 8 to 12 nm (figure 10a). The dimers rarely dissociated to monomers but were reformed after several hundreds of milliseconds (figure 10b). The probability distribution of the center-to-center distance of c-ring dimer $p(d) = p_{\infty} \exp[-U(d)/k_{\rm B}T]$, where U(d) is the interaction energy and $k_{\rm B}T$ is the thermal energy. The energy minimum of $-3.5 k_{\rm B}T$ occurred at a center-to-center

distance d = 10.3 nm (figure 10d). This long-range attraction is likely to be caused by the hydrophobic mismatch. In fact, the hydrophobic thickness of phosphatidyl glycerophospohate with C17 acyl chain, a lipid predominantly existing in the membrane, is ~2.8 nm, whereas the hydrophobic thickness of the c-rings is about 1 nm larger than the hydrophobic thickness of the lipid bilayer (~5.6 nm). This presumption was further supported by the measurement of ~1 nm elevated plateau of the lipid region between the c-rings.

5.2.2. Isolated proteins

Isolated proteins recently imaged by high-speed AFM are facilitates chromatin transcription (FACT) protein [77], cellulase hydrolyzing cellulose fibers [83, 115], ATP-gated P2X₄ receptor/channel [90], myosin V walking along actin filaments [91], acid-sensing ion channel 1a under acidification [109], and $\alpha_3\beta_3$ subcomplex of F₁-ATPase (movie S12) [114]. Some of these studies are highlighted here.

5.2.2.1. Intrinsically disordered FACT protein

There are many proteins or large protein domains that lack a well defined ordered structure under functional conditions [135, 136]. These new class of proteins are called intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs). IDPs take a structural ensemble consisting of a large number of random conformers. Upon binding to a target molecule, the disordered structure is often converted to a defined ordered structure. In NMR, the local structure of IDPs can be determined but the overall random structure cannot be provided. Electron microscopy cannot visualize the thin and random structures. Except for computational predictions, there was no way to identify the overall random structure of IDPs.

High-speed AFM has recently demonstrated its ability to visualize the thin and random structures of IDPs, using FACT protein as a test sample [77]. Displacement of histone H2A/H2B dimers from nucleosomes by FACT protein facilitates RNA polymerase II transcription [147] and chromatin remodeling [148]. FACT is a heterodimer consisting of structure-specific recognition protein-1 (SSRP1) and SPT16. Figure 11a shows AFM images of a FACT oligomer with four ID regions that are extended from the main body of the oligomer and undulating (movie S13). The monomer of FACT protein showed two ID regions with different lengths (contour length: 17.5 and 27.5 nm on average). These ID regions were identified by preparing mutants M1 and M2. In M1, the predicted ID regions and the HMG-box domain of SSRP1 were deleted, while in M2 the predicted ID region of SPT16 was deleted. The longer ID region belongs to SSRP1 and the shorter one belongs to SPT16.

Interestingly, both ID regions showed a similar macroscopic flexibility (or stiffness). The stiffness of a polymer chain is described by the persistence length p of the chain, that is, the length over which the average correlation in the tangent direction decays by 1/e. In two dimensions, the mean square point-to-point distance of the chain is given by

$$< r^{2}(l) >_{2D} = 4pl [1 - (2p/l)(1 - \exp(-l/2p))],$$
 (1)

where *l* is the contour length between two points on the chain [149]. In the AFM images obtained for the tail-like structures of FACT, polypeptide chains are not resolved. Therefore, the persistence lengths that can be obtained from the images are those for overall (macroscopic) string structures. The analysis of AFM images of the ID regions using equation (1) (figure 11b) gave a persistence length of 11-12 nm for both ID regions. Microscopic persistence length L_p can be estimated based on an assumption that the polypeptide chain length is the multiple of the number of amino acids and the likely average distance between adjacent amino acid residues (0.34 nm) and on the relationship $\langle R^2 \rangle = 4L_pL$ (*L*, polypeptide chain length; *R*, 2D end-to-end distance). This analysis gave a microscopic persistence length of ~1.1 nm for both ID regions (slightly shorter with the longer ID region, due likely to the presence of the ordered HMG-box domain).

Microscopic measurements of persistence length have previously been performed by measuring force–extension curves by AFM [150, 151], optical tweezers [152], and magnetic tweezers [153]. The microscopic persistence length of the PEVK region (186 amino acid residues), which is responsible for the elastic property of titin and is thought to form a random coil, is reported to show a wide range of L_p (0.4–2.5 nm, on average ca. 0.93 nm) [151]. On the other hand, for proteins with ordered structures, the reported values for the microscopic persistence length are within a range of 0.36–0.5 nm [150, 154]. Thus, the long microscopic persistence lengths of the ID regions of FACT protein are fingerprints of their very loose structures.

As demonstrated above, high-speed AFM is quite powerful in identifying and characterizing ID regions of proteins. In conventional methods, even only the identification of ID regions is difficult and time-consuming. Moreover, high-speed AFM can detect order-disorder transitions of ID regions (unpublished data) that occur naturally with some of free ID regions. In the future, high-speed AFM will visualize the process in which ID regions are folded into ordered structures upon interacting with their target molecules and drugs.

5.2.2.2. Walking myosin V

Motor proteins are highly dynamic. They use chemical energy liberated by ATP hydrolysis to generate unidirectional force and motion. The double-headed myosin V (M5), a member of myosin super-family, moves processively along an actin filament, towards the plus end of the filament (see review [155]). Facilitated by the processivity of the molecule [156, 157], numerous single-molecule studies have demonstrated that it moves "hand over hand" with a 36-nm advance [158, 159] for every ATP hydrolysis [160]. "Hand-over-hand" means that the two heads step alternately exchanging leading and trailing roles with each step, very much like "walking". Nevertheless, the comprehensive descriptions of the walking behavior and the chemomechanical coupling have not been attained until our recent high-speed AFM observation of the molecule [91].

Before describing our observation results, let's summarize established facts which are largely

common to all classes of myosins. A myosin head is comprised of a motor domain and a neck domain. The motor domain contains two functional sites; the actin-binding and nucleotide-binding sites which communicate with each other. The ATPase reaction proceeds as

 $A-M + ATP \rightarrow A + M-ATP \rightarrow A + M-ADP-Pi \rightarrow A-M-ADP-Pi \rightarrow A-M-ADP \rightarrow A-M$ where A and M represent actin and myosin head, respectively. Immediately after binding to ATP, the actin-bound head detaches from actin, and then the bound ATP is quickly hydrolyzed to ADP-Pi. The ADP-Pi bound head has a low affinity, yet a somewhat stronger affinity than the ATP-bound head, for actin. When the ADP-Pi bound head is attached to actin, the bound Pi immediately dissociates from the head, which is followed by the formation of a strongly bound complex of A-M-ADP. A nucleotide-free head also has a strong affinity for actin. These strong affinity complexes are called "rigor complex". Thus, a myosin head has two states with respect to actin binding, *i.e.*, weak and strong bound states. The main role of actin in the ATPase reaction is to accelerate the otherwise very slow Pi and ADP dissociation from a myosin head. The degree of acceleration for ADP dissociation varies depending on the class of myosin. The processivity and non-processivity are determined by whether or not the lifetime of A-M-ADP is predominant over the other states [161]. Double-headed myosins can be proteolyzed to produce two single heads. A single-headed myosin binds to actin with a given angle relative to the actin filament. Except for myosin VI which uniquely moves towards the minus end of actin [162], the bound single head orients towards the plus end of actin at least in the strong bound states. This orientation towards the plus end of actin is called "arrowhead orientation".

To observe M5 molecules walking along actin filaments, the filaments have to be immobilized onto a substrate surface while M5 should be free from the surface. We first used an electrically neutral phospholipid bilayer surface containing biotin-lipid, formed on mica. To this surface, tail-truncated M5 (M5-HMM) were never bound, whereas partially biotinylated actin filaments were immobilized through streptavidin. However, in this condition, M5-HMM mostly walked, standing perpendicular to the surface, and hence, its molecular shape (sideways figure as illustrated in figure 12a) could not be clearly imaged. To facilitate the weak sideways adsorption of M5-HMM onto the bilayer surface, a positively charged lipid (1,2-dipalmitoyl-3-trimethylammonium-propane (DPTAP), 5%) was included in the bilayer. M5-HMM moving processively with ~36 nmn steps was clearly visualized (figure 12b, movie S14). The translocation velocity was similar to that measured by fluorescence microscopy when DPTAP was absent in the lipid bilayer, indicating no effect of the tip-sample interaction on the motor activity. The molecular process occurring during a step, however, could not be resolved since a step completes very fast.

Additional streptavidin molecules placed on the substrate surface as moderate obstacles to the advance allowed the visualization of this process (movie S15). After the trailing head detaches from actin, the leading head spontaneously rotates from the reverse arrowhead orientation to the arrowhead orientation (figures 12c and 12d). This rotation is the swinging leverarm motion itself

initially proposed by Hugh Huxley for muscle myosin [163], which had never been clearly demonstrated before. The detached trailing head rotates around the advancing neck-neck junction. During the rotation, the head becomes distant from and then gets closer to the actin filament. Finally, it attaches to a forefront actin, completing one step.

The spontaneous rotation of the leading head following the trailing head detachment suggests that intramolecular tension for the advancement has already existed at the leading head of the two-headed bound molecule. This supposition was directly demonstrated by the observation that the short coiled-coil of two-headed bound M5-HMM was sometimes unfolded and then the leading head rotated from the reverse arrowhead orientation to the arrowhead orientation (figure 12e, movie S16), very much similar to the swinging leverarm motion during stepping. Thus, we can derive the following conclusions. (1) By nature, both heads most stably bind to actin in the arrow head orientation but the leading head has to bind in the reverse arrowhead orientation because it is linked to the trailing head at the neck-neck junction and a favorable actin site is already occupied by the trailing head (otherwise the molecule would be forced to twist around the actin filament, paying more energy cost). Thus, intramolecular tension for advancement is generated at the leading head, thus resulting in the rotation of the leading head. (3) Therefore, this rotation spontaneously occurs without chemical transitions in the ATPase reaction.

It was further observed that the leading head of the two-headed bound molecule was briefly detached from actin and rebound to actin without stepping ("foot stomp"), and then, the molecule stepped forwards (movie S17). As Pi is quickly released when M5-ADP-Pi is initially bound to actin, the leading head only contains bound ADP before and after foot stomping. Nevertheless, the molecule can step forwards, indicating that intramolecular tension for forward movement is generated without involvement of Pi release.

Moreover, it was observed that the leading head of the two-headed bound M5-HMM was often sharply bent in the nucleotide-free condition (figure 12f, movie S18), while it was mostly straight in ADP and in ATP (figure 12g). Therefore, just by looking at the shape of the leading head, we can judge whether or not the leading head contains nucleotides. We imaged two-headed bound M5-HMM in the presence of various concentrations of ADP to estimate the ADP dissociation rate constant at the leading head. The rate came out to be 0.1/s. This means that ADP is released from the leading head every 10 s, on average. However, M5-HMM walks many steps for 10 s. Thus, we can conclude that during walking ADP does not dissociate from the leading head (before ADP dissociation, the leading head is switched to the trailing head). ADP dissociation, and the subsequent ATP binding, and the resulting detachment from actin solely occurs at the trailing head. This is the basis underlying the hand-over-hand movement. This mechanism had been suggested from indirect experiments [160, 164-166] but is now clearly and directly demonstrated by the high-speed AFM observation.

Just before foot stomping at the leading head, the head never showed the sharply bent conformation which is unique to the nucleotide-free leading head. This fact reinforces our conclusion that the leading head performing foot stomp carries ADP and thus the brief detachment from actin (*i.e.*, the initial stage of foot stomp process) is not caused by binding of new ATP to the leading head.

As seen above, many dynamic events of M5-HMM appear in the molecular movies, facilitating quick finding of several facts including those inaccessible with conventional approaches.

5.2.2.3. Other dynamic processes of isolated proteins

Cellulase hydrolyzing cellulose fibers

There are several types of cellulases that hydrolyze cellulose fibers. Cellobiohydrolases (CBHs) are exocellulase that cleaves glycoside linkages of crystalline cellulose I either from the reducing or nonreducing end of cellulose to produce tetrasaccarides or disaccharides [167, 168]. *Trichoderma reesei* (*Tr*) Cel7A composed of a small cellulose-binding domain (CBD) and a larger catalytic domain (CD) is a member of CBHs. Cel7a hydrolyzes cellulose I from its reducing end to produce a β -1,4-glucose dimmer [169]. The two-domain structure is common to glycosidases degrading insoluble carbohydrate substrates. From biochemical kinetics analyses, *Tr*Cel7A is thought to consecutively hydrolyze the crystalline cellulose chain without releasing the chain, and therefore, is expected to processively move in one direction during the hydrolysis reaction [170]. Nevertheless, this long-standing issue had never been directly revealed before the high-speed AFM observation recently conducted by Kiyohiko Igarashi and collaborators [83] and by the collaboration between my group and Kiyohiko Igarashi's group [115].

From the biochemically measured hydrolysis rate, TrCel7A was expected to move on a crystalline cellulose fiber at 1 nm/min. In marked contrast with this value, TrCel7A moved at 3.5 nm/s, ~200 times faster than expected. This large discrepancy suggests that a large number of molecules cannot smoothly hydrolyze cellulose because of traffic problem [115]. The movement of CBD-truncated TrCel7A on a crystalline cellulose surface was only observed at >10-times higher concentrations, indicating a low affinity of the CD alone for cellulose. However, it moved at a velocity similar to that of the intact wild-type molecule, indicating that the CBD is not required for the movement. Since TrCel7A is a small molecule, its molecular conformation was not resolved by the AFM observation, and hence, elucidating how the molecule changes its conformation during the processive run remains a challenge.

P2X₄ receptor in response to ATP

P2X receptors are ATP-gated membrane cation channels, and have seven identified sub-types of subunits ($P2X_1 \sim P2X_7$) [171]. Each subunit has two transmembrane domains separated by a large

extracellular domain capable of binding to ATP and small intracellular domains at the N- and C-termini [171]. Channels form as multimers (thought to be mainly trimer) of subunits; homometric or heteromeric channels. All P2X receptors are permeable to small monovalent cations, and some have significant Ca^{2+} or anion permeability [172]. P2X receptors are abundantly distributed and involved in diverse physiological processes from synaptic transmission to inflammation and sensation of taste and pain [172]. Crystal structures of the zebrafish P2X₄ receptor in the closed-channel state are recently obtained (figures 13a and 13b) [173]. The three ATP binding sites are formed at the intersubunits in the trimer, suggesting that ATP binding promotes subunit rearrangement and ion channel opening. The ion channel opening of P2X₄ receptor is regulated by extracellular Ca^{2+} level [174]. In a high concentration of Ca^{2+} , P2X₄ receptor opens a small cation-permeable channel pore, whereas in the absence of Ca^{2+} , it forms a large pore allowing permeation of large cationic molecules.

Keiichi Torimitsu and collaborators imaged ATP-induced conformational changes of P2X₄ receptor at 2 fps using two assay systems [90]; isolated (membrane-dissociated) P2X₄ attached to polylysine-coated mica and P2X₄ reconstructed in a lipid bilayer. In both systems, asymmetric molecules were observed. In the former system, the asymmetry might be due to leaned orientation of the receptor on the substrate surface. In the latter system it could not be specified. In the absence of ATP, particles observed had a globular shape or a slightly triangular shape, whereas in the presence of ATP under no Ca²⁺, three subunits were resolved together with a central pore. Interestingly, a pore dilation trimer structure was revealed, whereas in the presence of Ca²⁺, no pore dilation averaging method to reconstruct 3-fold symmetric images at given elapse of time. The symmetrized images showed the central pore being dilated with time after the addition of ATP under no Ca²⁺ (figure 13c). Since even the membrane-attached receptor shows non-symmetric structures, this non-symmetry may arise from fluctuations of subunit arrangement in the trimer. In addition, it still remains questionable whether or not the asymmetric trimer structures with pore dilation are an intrinsic nature of this purinergic receptor.

5.2.3. Protein self-assembly processes

Thus far, this topic other than 2D protein crystal formation has not been studied in depth using high-speed AFM, except for the study on amyloid-like fibril formation by N-terminus-truncated lithostathin, conducted by the collaboration between Pierre-Emmanuel Milhiet' group and my group [99]. The polymerization processes of actin and tubulin, which are briefly studied, will be described in the section 5.2.5.

Amyloids are insoluble fibrous protein aggregates and their deposition in tissues causes diseases, particularly neurodegenerative diseases [175, 176]. However, the mechanism and dynamics of fibrillization have been elusive. Lithosthatine (also named Reg-1) of 144 amino acids is produced

by pancreas acinar cells and secreted into pancreatic juice. It tightly binds calcium carbonate and may act as an inhibitor of calcium carbonate precipitation to prevent clogging of pancreatic duct [177, 178]. In chronic calcifying pancreatitis, the protein forms deposits in pancreatic duct [179]. Lithosthatine is also expressed in other organs including stomach and brain [180]. Lithosthatine is susceptible to self-proteolysis under specific pH conditions, producing a soluble N-terminal undecapeptide and a C-terminal fragment of 133 amino acids. The cleaved protein (called S1) forms protease-K-resistant fibrils [181] that deposit in the brain of patients with Creutzfeld-Jakob disease [182] and Gertsmann-Straüssler-Scheinker syndrome [183], especially during the very early stages.

S1 form of lithosthatine was generated by tryptic digestion of the full-length protein. After 15 min incubation, globular structures with 15-28 nm in diameter appeared. They appear to be small assemblies of lithosthatine tetramer with 9 nm in diameter and 2.5 nm in thickness. After 30 min incubation, protofibril formation and its growth to longer protofibrils and thicker fibrils were observed (figure 14). Lengthening of protofibrils takes place at 27-52 nm/s. Elongation of protofibrils occurs in two ways; (1) addition of lithosthatine oligomers to the ends of protofibrils (white arrows in figure 14) and (2) fusion of a growing protofibril to a pre-existing profofibril (light-blue arrows in figure 14). Interestingly, the end of the pre-existing protofibril to be fused with the growing protofibril does not change its position (white arrowheads in figure 14b), which may suggest a marked difference in the oligomer addition rate at the two ends of a protofibril. Elongation of fibrils appears to occur separately at each constituent protofibril. In fact, a protofibril is elongated on top of laterally associated protofibrils (light-blue arrowheads in figure 14c). The lateral association of protofibrils to fibrils takes place in a zipper-like mechanism (pink arrows in figure 14b). In the initial stage, two protofibrils make contact with each other at a small region, showing a Y-shape. Then, the distance between the separated protofibrils is getting closer with each other by gradual increase of the contact region, and eventually the two protofibrils are completely associated to form a helical fibril similar to other amyloid ß fibrils (figure 14e). It is also cleared that a branched structure previously observed with lithosthatine fibrils is a false structure. The growth of a protofibril or fibril is stopped when the growing end encounters with a side edge of a second fibril, forming a false branching point with a certain range of contact angle (red arrowheads in figure 14d). Thus, the dynamic visualization of lithosthatine fibrillization revealed various elementary processes contained in the fibrillization.

5.2.4. DNA-binding proteins and synthetic DNA devices

Regarding DNA-protein interactions, there are a wide range of subjects to be studied by high-speed AFM imaging but only a few studies have thus far been performed; reaction by methyltransferase restriction enzymes [84, 104], unwrapping of DAN-histone complex [108], and reaction by DNA base-excision repair enzyme [103]. Synthetic DNA nanodevices are also interesting targets of dynamic AFM imaging. The specific interactions between complementary base pairs make DNA a

useful building material for constructing two and three dimensional nano-structures [184]. Various planned structures can be actually constructed by rationally designing base sequences of DNA. This technique has been used to prepare DNA origamis [89, 107, 117], capsules [110], and nanorobots [118]. Here, a study on dynamic imaging of a nanorobot is taken up.

Dynamic imaging was conducted for a DNA nanorobot in the collaboration between Hiroshi Sugiyama's group and Andrew Turberfield's group [118]. Before this study, several types of DNA nanorobots with or without an autonomous motility and processivity have been synthesized including bipedal walkers and rotary gears [185, 186]. However, the motion of these nanorobots has been detected in indirect ways, and therefore, mechanistic details of their operation have remained elusive.

The nanorobot they constructed consists of an extended track formed on a DNA origami tile (~70 nm \times 100 nm) and a motor DNA. The track consists of a one-dimensional array of single-stranded attachment sites (stators) with the 3' end at the bottom, separated by ~6 nm. The motor is a single strand of DNA that is complementary to a stator. A motor-stator duplex contains the recognition site for a restriction enzyme (Nt.BbvCl) that hydrolyzes a specific backbone linkage of the stator. The motor translocation is fueled by the DNA hydrolysis. When the motor DNA hybridizes to a stator strand to form a double strand, the enzyme cuts the stator and removes a short segment from the stator. Therefore, at the 3' end of the motor a toehold for binding to the adjacent intact stator is revealed. After the actual binding of the toehold, the motor completely dissociates from the nicked stator and completely associates with the neighboring intact stator by branch migration (figure 15a). This process is repeated and thus the motor moves processively in one direction along the stator track. The film captured by high-speed AFM at 0.1 fps showed that the motor DNA migrates forwards with discrete steps of ~7 nm and dwell time of ~50 s (figure 15b) but often moves back and forth between two adjacent stators. This back and force movement suggests incomplete migration of the motor between a cut stator and an adjacent intact stator. The irreversible migration to an adjacent stator appears as transitional shifts of the motor position. These dynamic processes are more directly revealed than previous approaches. However, the spatiotemporal resolution of the movie data was not high enough, so the expected sequential events contained in the motor migration, such as restriction enzyme binding, digestion of a motor-bound stator, and intermediate states prior to stepping of the motor, and branch migration, were not well resolved. More detailed molecular events could be visualized if the arrangement of the stators was altered from the vertical to the horizontal orientation and the imaging rate was increased.

5.2.5. Manipulation and imaging

The cantilever tip can also be used to manipulate the sample. Changes in the sample after the manipulation can be traced by imaging using the same tip. The use of high-speed AFM in this way has been attempted in a few studies; formation of vacancy defects in streptavidin 2D crystals and

subsequent observation of Brownian motion of the defects (as already described in the section 5.2.1.2) [76], breaking actin filaments in the presence of G-actin and subsequent observation of re-polymerization processes [82], breaking microtubules in the presence of tubulin and subsequent observation of re-polymerization processes (unpublished), and removal of magnetosomes from a substrate surface and subsequent observation of molecules transferred from magnetosomes to the surface [94]. Among these studies, a study on actin polymerization is briefly described below.

Actin filaments have a structural polarity, so that the polymerization kinetics is different at the two ends of the filaments; a higher rate at the barbed end than at the pointed end. Previous fluorescence microscopy studies on the polymerization dynamics with individual actin filaments have suggested that a polymerization unit is not monomers alone but an average of monomers, oligomers and fragments [187, 188]. However, analysis of precise molecular events occurring at the filament edges was difficult due to the limited spatial resolution.

In the high-speed AFM observation, partially biotinylated actin filaments were attached to a surface of streptavidin 2D crystal formed on biotin-lipid bilayers in the presence of non-biotinylated G-actin in the bulk solution. Instead of finding actin filaments ends, they were produced by breaking an actin filament by applying an increased tapping force. This manipulation allows simultaneous visualization of both barbed and pointed ends in a relatively small imaging area. After breaking, elongation was only observed at one of the newly created ends in the observation period and its rate was 0.11 μ m/min, which is comparable to the values obtained previously by fluorescence microscopy. The elongation step size is distributed around two values (3.1 nm and 5.2 nm), indicating monomer and dimer addition to the barbed end in light of the axially staggered two-stranded structure. Interestingly, a stepwise decrease (3.5 ± 1.4 nm) in the length was occasionally observed, indicating that monomer dissociation is predominant. Moreover, the stepwise decrease in the length often occurred after monomer addition. The end structure is the same for both cases of monomer and dimer addition. Nevertheless, monomer dissociation more frequently occurred after monomer addition. This suggests that the added monomer possesses ATP while the added dimer possesses ADP.

The approach of manipulation followed by imaging will have a wide variety of applications. As exemplified above, self-assembly processes can be studied by tracing re-assembly processes after breaking an assembled structure. One of other useful applications is to examine a complicated molecular architecture of a large protein with several domains or a molecular assembly with different subunits. This approach is particularly useful when its structure projected to a 2D plane is known from the electron micrographs but its 3D structure is unknown. Another application is to examine the cooperative interaction between subunits of a protein. For example, ring-shaped AAA proteins, which are often hexamers of identical ATPase subunits, are supposed to show large structural changes during ATP hydrolysis via cooperative interplay between subunits. The cooperative structural changes will be abolished by removing one or two subunits from the ring.

This approach was recently utilized to reveal the cooperative interplay between β subunits in the $\alpha_3\beta_3$ subcomplex of F₁-ATPase (a pseudo-sixfold symmetric ring) [114]. The cooperative structural change in β propagated in the counterclockwise direction during ATP hydrolysis but the occasional subunit dissociation completely stopped the rotary propagation of the conformational state.

5.3. Summary of high-speed AFM imaging studies

As described above, dynamic AFM imaging has already been conducted for a variety of samples. About half of the studies in the last three years seem to aim at exploring the capability of this new microscopy and groping for effective applications. This situation is quite similar to that in the earlier bio-AFM studies after the advent of AFM in 1986. The dynamic AFM imaging studies thus far carried out can be classified according to the information obtained.

(1) Imaging of dynamic events occurring in the interaction between molecules, in which the observed structure of individual molecules has no importance in the derived conclusion. Typical examples of this class are the studies on membrane-mediated protein-protein interaction [101] and dynamic interaction of isolated bR trimers with the edge of bR 2D crystals [81].

(2) Imaging of dynamic events occurring in the interaction between molecules, in which the structure of individual molecules is not well resolved. Typical examples of this class are the studies on unidirectional translocation of cellulase along cellulose fibers [83, 115] and transportation of synthetic DNA motor along a series of DNA stators [118]. The study on translocation of *Eco*RII restriction enzyme along DNA [74] also belongs to this class.

(3) Imaging of dynamic structural changes of molecules in action. Typical examples in this class are studies on walking myosin V along an actin filament [91], photo-induced structural change in bR [92, 113] and rotationally catalyzing $\alpha_3\beta_3$ subcomplex of F₁-ATPase [114].

Thus, there are two types of dynamics studied by high-speed AFM; "interaction dynamics" and "structure dynamics". In the former type of studies, structural dynamics of individual molecules would have allowed more detailed analysis of the observed interaction dynamics. The lack of structural information at the sub-molecular level arises from three factors. The first factor is the insufficient sharpness of a cantilever tip. The tip apex radius of commercially available small cantilevers is ~15 nm, insufficient for sub-molecular resolution imaging. Small cantilevers with EBD-tips are also commercially available but expensive. The apex radius of an EBD-tip is often 4-5 nm, still insufficient for resolving sub-molecular structures of small protein molecules, although ~0.5 nm radius can be sometimes obtained. So, small cantilevers with carbon nanotue tips with apex radius of ~1nm are desired, but the procedure is laborious and therefore cannot be used routinely. We need to explore new methods and different materials for fabricating sharp and rigid EBD tips. The second factor is Brownian motion of molecules, particularly in isolated protein molecules. This is a problem intrinsic to AFM imaging of dynamic events because dynamic AFM imaging requires freedom of movement to molecules to some extent. In some cases, the reduction of the Brownian

motion can be achieved by devising appropriate substrate surfaces, as has been done for imaging walking myosin V molecules [91]. Third factor is the orientation of the sample relative to the substrate surface. AFM imaging can only be done from the direction perpendicular to the substrate surface. When a molecule stands up on the substrate surface, we cannot see the sideways figure of the molecule. In some cases, a molecule can be placed on a substrate surface in a desired orientation by preparing appropriate substrate surfaces, as has been done for imaging the sideways figures of walking myosin V molecules [91]. It can also be done by introducing a functional group to a specific locus of a molecule and by preparing a substrate surface able to bind to the functional group, as demonstrated in the observation of the sideways figure of GroEL molecules [82] and the C-terminal top surface of $\alpha_3\beta_3$ subcomplex of F₁-ATPase [114]. Dynamic AFM imaging of biomolecular processes imposes various requirements on "wet techniques". This issue is described elsewhere [82, 93].

Various conditions are required for successful dynamic AFM imaging. Nevertheless, once successful, acquired molecular movies are striking. Various dynamic events appear unselectively and simultaneously in a molecular movie, which facilitates quick finding of several facts including those inaccessible with conventional approaches. Thus, the high-speed AFM imaging of functioning biological molecules has the potential of transforming the fields of structural biology and single-molecule biology. In addition, this new microscopy is applicable to the observation of non-biological dynamic phenomena occurring at the solid-liquid interfaces [96, 97, 112], including electrochemical reactions, corrosions, catalytic reactions, and cleaning with detergents. This microscopy will, therefore, facilitate creation of new "wet nanotechnology".

6. Future challenges

The current high-speed AFM is powerful in visualizing dynamic events of isolated and purified biological molecules but not applicable to the dynamic observation of the outer surface and the interior of live cells (*i.e.*, *in-situ* imaging). Solutions for these challenges and the improvement of the current high-speed AFM are now being developed.

6.1. Small cantilevers

The feedback bandwidth of the current high-speed AFM apparatus exceeds 100 kHz, and consequently, an imaging rate of 25 fps is achieved under the condition of 200 nm scan size and 100 scan lines. Can we go beyond? The main device limiting the speed is the small cantilevers. Generally, to improve the resonant frequency, one must compromise the stiffness and vice versa. The most advanced small cantilevers developed by Olympus are deemed to have almost achieved the ultimate goal of balancing these two mechanical quantities. Considering their practical use together with the OBD detector, doubling the resonant frequency to \sim 2.4 MHz in water seems to be

the upper resonant frequency limit, provided the cantilever compliance is not sacrificed. Thus, the upper limit of the imaging rate is approximately 40 fps under the same condition as above.

6.2. Scanner

The maximum scan range of a scanner employed in the current high-speed AFM apparatus is limited to 1-2 μ m in the x- and y-directions and ~1 μ m in the z-direction. The scan range in the x- and y-directions has to be increased at least 10-times and that in the z-direction has to be increased > 5-times for imaging cells. As a matter of course, the highest possible imaging rate is reduced. However, the reduction in the speed of x-scanning may not be so significant because the bandwidth of the x-scanner can be increased by an inverse compensation method [7]. After slowly imaging a whole cell surface, a selected small area of the cell surface can be imaged quickly using the same scanner. This imaging can be done for bacterial cells but not for mammalian cells because mammalian cells are extremely soft.

6.3. Next generation of high-speed AFM

6.3.1. High-speed diaphano-AFM

An exciting report was published in Science, in 2005 by Shekhawat and Dravid [189]. They developed scanning near-field ultrasound holography (SNFUH) for high-resolution sub-surface imaging in air. They presented sub-surface images such as gold nanoparticles embedded in a polymer film, voids embedded in polymer coating over nitride trench walls, and malaria in red blood cells. A high-frequency acoustic wave (frequency f_1) is launched from under the sample stage, and this wave then propagates through the sample. The propagated ultrasound can be detected by the tip as well as by the lever of a cantilever with a resonant frequency of f_c . To ensure the near-field detection by the tip and hence high-resolution, another acoustic wave with a frequency f_2 $(|f_1 - f_2| \sim f_c)$ is launched from the cantilever base so that non-linear tip-sample interaction generates an acoustic wave with a frequency $|f_1 - f_2|$, and therefore, the cantilever is efficiently excited by the generated acoustic wave. According to their interpretation for the sub-surface imaging, materials embedded in the sample with different elastic modulus/density ratios modulate the phase and amplitude of the propagating acoustic wave, and hence, the cantilever tip detecting the acoustic wave senses the embedded materials. However, it is very likely that embedded materials affect the elasticity of the sample surface and this effect seems to be detected by the cantilever tip. In fact, when materials are embedded deeply from the sample surface (> 1 μ m; much shorter than the wavelength of ultrasounds with a few MHz frequency), no sub-surface image appears on the acoustic phase image (unpublished data). It has been demonstrated that SNFUH has a capability of imaging artificial solid particles introduced in cells [190]. However, the live cell membranes are extremely soft, and therefore, it is likely that the cantilever tip deeply pushes the cell membrane to

sense the solid particles.

Because of this likely indirect detection of shallowly embedded materials in the contact-mode, SNFUH will not be widely used to image intracellular structures. Alternative techniques need to be developed to materialize true imaging of the interior of live cells at high resolution. One possibility is to increase the spatial resolution of scanning acoustic microscopy (SAM) that can image the interior of cells with low resolution (\sim a few µm), under the non-contact condition [191]. Once an operation principle for high-resolution and high-contrast detection of acoustic wave in SAM is established, it will open an avenue to materialize high-speed diaphano-AFM not for observing individual molecules but for observing intracellular organelles and cytoskeletons in live cells. The non-contact condition can remove feedback operation and thus will make ultra-fast imaging possible. We are currently attempting to find a way to enable high-resolution and high-contrast detection of acoustic wave in SAM.

6.3.2. Non-contact high-speed AFM

As mentioned above, mammalian cells are extremely soft, so that they are largely deformed by the contact with a cantilever tip before detectable cantilever deflection appears. Therefore, interesting dynamic molecular processes occurring on the live cell surface cannot be imaged except for small cellular structures such as dendritic spines. Thus far, true non-contact condition is only achieved under vacuum environments using frequency-modulation (FM) AFM [192, 193]. In-liquid FM-AFM has been used but can only operate in the brief contact condition. In addition, the oscillation amplitude of a cantilever has to be < -0.2 nm to achieve high detection sensitivity of the tip-sample interaction, inapplicable to a surface with large corrugations.

When the sample stage is vibrated in the z-direction at a high frequency, with small amplitude, this vibration transmits to the solution placed on the stage. This transmission pattern may depend on the sample, and therefore, the sample may be able to be detected by a cantilever. However, even in the near-field regime, both tip and lever of the cantilever sense the water vibrations. The lever senses more efficiently than the tip. The tip is aligned in parallel to the direction in which the vibration transmits, leading to low sensitivity. Thus, this approach will not grant single nanometer resolution in the lateral direction.

The noncontact imaging capability in liquids has already been achieved by ion-conductance scanning probe microscopy (ICSPM) [194]. Owing to the progress in fabrication techniques for producing very sharp glass capillaries with a small pore at the apex, the spatial resolution of ICSPM has reached a few nm [195]. Immobile protein molecules with a size of ~14 nm on living cell membranes have been successfully imaged [196]. However, it seems difficult to increase the imaging rate of ICSPM; the bandwidth of ion-conductance detection cannot easily be increased, because of the high impedance to ionic current through the small pore of the capillary electrode.

Thus far, there are no potential methods to visualize dynamic molecular events on extremely

soft mammalian cell surfaces. An alternative and compromising way may be to attach live cells with a thin film having small pores of ~100 nm diameter. Cell surfaces exposed to the pores are rigid and therefore can be imaged.

7. Conclusion

To directly observe biological molecules at work was a holy grail in biology. Efforts over the last two decades at last materialized this long-quested dream. In high-resolution AFM movies, we can see how molecules are dynamically behaving, changing their structure, and interacting with other molecules, and hence, we can quickly understand in stunning detail how molecules operate to function. This new approach will spread over the world and widely applied to a vast array of biological issues, leading to a number of new discoveries. The extension of high-speed AFM to a tool for imaging live cells, which allows direct in-situ observation of dynamic processes of molecules and organelles, remains an exciting challenge but will be made in the near future because it is a right and fruitful goal.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank T Uchihashi, N Kodera, M Shibata, D Yamamoto, H Yamashita, H Kandori, and previous many students in my lab for collaborations and discussions on interesting topics I described in this review. Without their dedication, high-speed bio-AFM would not have been materialized. I would also like to thank the members of the high-speed bio-AFM consortium, P Hinterdorfer, S Scheuring, P-E Milhiet, Ch Le Grimellec, E Lesniewska, and Y Lyubchenko, for shearing ideas and scientific interests. Long-term financial support by NEDO, JST, MEXT, Knowledge Cluster Initiative, and the Mitsubishi Foundation is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] Betzig E and Chichester RJ 1993 Science 262 1422
- [2] Funatsu T, Harada Y, Tokunaga M, Saito K and Yanagida T 1995 Nature 374 555
- [3] Huang B, Bates M, and Zhuang X 2009 Annu. Rev. Biochem. 78 993
- [4] Chapman H N et al. 2006 Nat. Phys. 2 8393
- [5] Vianni MB, Pietrasanta LI, Thompson JB, Chand A, Gebeshuber IC, Kindt JH, Richter M, Hansma HG, and Hansma PK 2000 *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **7** 644
- [6] Ando T, Kodera N, Takai E, Maruyama D, Saito K and Toda A 2001 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **98** 12468
- [7] Ando T, Uchihashi T and Fukuma T 2008 Prog. Surf. Sci. 83 337
- [8] Binnig G, Quate CF and Gerber Ch 1986 Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 930

[9] Gould S, Marti O, Drake B, Hellemans L, Bracker CE, Hansma PK, Keder NL, Eddy MM and Stucky GD 1988 *Nature* **332** 332

[10] Marti O, Ribi HR, Drake B, Albrecht TR, Quate CF and Hansma PK 1988 Science 239 50

[11] Drake B, Prater CB, Weisenhorn AL, Gould SAC, Albrecht TR, Quate CF, Cannell DS, Hansma HG and Hansma PK 1989 *Science* **243** 1586

[12] Müller DJ and Dufrêne Y F 2008 Nat. Nanotechnol. 3 261

[13] Müller DJ, Helenius J, Alsteens D and Dufrêne YF 2009 Nature Chemical Biology 5 383

[14] Schabert FA and Engel A 1994 Biophys. J. 67 2394

[15] Engel A and Müller DJ 2000 Nature Struct. Biol. 7 715

[16] Scheuring S and Sturgis JN 2005 Sceince 309 484

[17] Stroh C, Wang H, Bash R, Ashcroft B, Nelson J, Gruber H, Lohr D, Lindsay SM and Hinterdorfer P 2001 *Proc. Natil. Acad. Sci. USA* **101** 12503

[18] Hinterdorfer P and Dufrêne YF 2006 Nat. Methods 3 347

[19] Williams PM, Fowler SB, Best RB, Toca-Herrera JL, Scott KA, Steward A and Clarke J 2003 *Nature* **422** 446

[20] Fernandez JM and Li H 2004 Science 303 1674

[21] Nakajima H, Kunioka Y, Nakano K, Shimizu K, Seto M and Ando T 1997 *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **234** 178

[22] Touhami A, Nysten B and Dufrêne YF 2003 Langmuir 19 4539

[23] Dague E, Alsteens D, Latgé J-P, Verbelen C, Raze D, Baulard AR and Dufrêne YF 2007 *Nano Lett* **7** 3026

[24] Binnig G, Gerber Ch, Stoll E, Albrecht T and Quate CF 1987 Europhys. Lett. 3 1281

[25] Meyer G, Amer NM. 1988. Novel optical approach to atomic force microscopy. Appl. Phys. Lett. 53: 1045–1947

[26] Zhong Q, Inniss D, Kjoller K and Elings VB 1993 Surf. Sci. Lett. 290 L688

[27] Häberle W, Höber JKH, Ohnesorge F, Smith DPE and Binnig G 1992 Ultramicroscopy **42–44**(Pt. B) 1161

[28] Ohnesorge F, Heckl WM, Häberle W, Pum D, Sara M, Schindler H, Schilcher K, Kiener A, Smith DPE, Sleytr UB and Binnin G 1992 *Ultramicroscopy* **42–44**(Pt. B) 1236

[29] Bezanilla M, Drake B, Nudler E, Kashlev M, Hansma PK and Hansma HG 1994 *Biophys. J.* 67 2454

[30] Guthold M, Bezanilla M, Erie DA, Jenkins B, Hansma HG and Bustamante C 1994 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **91** 12927

[31] Kasas S, Thomson NH, Smith BL, Hansma HG, Zhu X, Guthold M, Bustamante C, Kool ET, Kashlev M and Hansma PK 1997 *Biochemistry* **36** 461

[32] Guthold M, Zhu X, Rivetti C, Yang G, Thomson NH, Kasas S, Hansma HG, Smith B, Hansma PK and Bustamante C 1999 *Biophys. J.* 77 2284

[33] Lin H, Glegg DO and Lal R 1999 Biochemistry 38 9956

[34] Oberleithner H, Schillers H, Wilhelmi M, Butzke D and Danker T 2000 *Pflüger Arch-Eur. J. Physiol.* **439** 251

[35] Radmacher M, Fritz M, Hansma HG and Hansma PK 1994 Sceince 265 1577

[36] Thomson NH, Fritz M, Radmacher M, Cleveland JP, Schmidt CF and Hansma PK 1996 *Biophys. J.* **70** 2421

[37] Parot P, Dufrêne YF, Hinterdorfer P, Le Grimellec Ch, Navajas D, Pellequer J-L and Scheuring S 2007 *J. Mol. Recog.* **20** 418

[38] Ando T, Uchihashi T, Kodera N, Yamamoto D, Taniguchi M, Miyagi A and Yamashita H 2008 *Pflügers Archiv -Eur. J. Physiol.* **456** 211

[39] Barrett RC and Quate CF 1991 J Vac Sci Technol B 9 302.

[40] Sulchek T, Minne SC, Adams JD, Fletcher DA, Atalar A and Quate CF 1999 *Appl Phys Lett* **75** 1637

[41] Rogers B, Manning L, Sulchek T and Adams JD 2004 Ultramicroscopy 100 267

[42] Minne SC, Yaralioglu G, Manalis SR, Adams JD, Zesch J, Atalar A and Quate CF 1998 *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 72:2340–2342.

[43] Minne SC, Adames JD, Yaralioglu G, Manalis SR, Atalar A and Quate CF 1998 *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **73** 1742.

[44] Sulchek T, Grow RJ, Yaralioglu GG, Minne SC, Quate CF, Manalis SR, Kiraz A, Aydine A and Atalar A 2001 *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **78** 1787

[45] Rogers B, Sulchek T, Murray K, York D, Jones M, Manning L, Malekos S, Beneschott B, Adams JD, Cavazos H and Minne SC 2003 *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **74** 4683.

[46] Walters DA, Cleveland JP, Thomson NH and Hansma PK 1996 Rev. Sci. Instrum. 67 3583

[47] Schäffer TE, Cleveland JP, Ohnesorge F, Walters DA and Hansma PK 1996 *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **80** 622

[48] Viani MB, Schäffer TE, Chand A, Rief M, Gaub HE and Hansma PK 1999 *J. Appl. Phys.* 86 2258.

[49] Viani MB, Schäffer TE, Paloczi GT, Pietrasanta LI, Smith BL, Thompson JB, Richter M, Rief M, Gaub HE, Plaxco KW, Cleland AN, Hansma HG and Hansma PK 1999 *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **70** 4300

[50] Ando T, Kodera N, Maruyama D, Takai E, Saito K and Toda A 2002 Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 41 4851

[51] Kodera N, Yamashita H and Ando T 2005 Rev. Sci. Instrum. 76 053708

[52] Kodera N, Sakashita M and Ando T 2006 Rev. Sci. Instrum. 77 083704

[53] Uchihashi T, Yamashita H and Ando T 2006 Appl. Phys. Lett. 89 213112

[54] Fantner GE, Hegarty P, Kindt JH, Schitter G, Cidade GAG and Hansma PK 2005 *Rev. Sci. Insturm.* **76** 026118

[55] Fantner GE, Schitter G, Kindt JH, Ivanov T, Ivanova K, Patel R, Holten-Andersen N, Adams J, Thurner PJ, Rangekiw IW and Hansma PK 2006 *Ultramicroscopy* **106** 881

[56] Kindt JH, Fantner GE, Cutroni JA and Hansma PK 2004 Ultramicroscopy 100 259.

[57] Humphris ADL, Miles MJ and Hobbs JK 2005 Appl. Phys. Lett. 86 034106

[58] Picco LM, Bozec L, Ulcinas A, Engledew DJ, Antognozzi M, Horton MA and Miles MJ 2007 *Nanotechnology* **18** 044030

[59] Schitter G and Phan N 2008 Proc. Am. Contr. Conf. art. no. 4586899 2690

[60] Kitazawa M, Shiotani K and Toda A 2003 Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 42 4844

[61] Ando T and Uchihashi T 2011 "Nanoscale liquid interfaces: Wetting patterning and force microscopy at molecular scale", Pan Stanford Publishing, Singapore, ed. Ondarçuhu T and Aimé J-P

[62] Ando T, Uchihashi T, Kodera N, Miyagi A, Nakakita R, Yamashita H and Matada K 2005 *e-J. Surf. Sci. Nanotechnol.* **3** 384

[63] Fukuma T, Okazaki Y, Kodera N, Uchihashi T and Ando T 2008 Appl. Phys. Lett. 92 243119

[64] Anczykowski B, Cleveland JP, Kruger D, Elings V and Fuchs H 1998 *Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process.* **66** 885

[65] Sulchek T, Hsieh R, Adams JD, Yaralioglu GG, Minne SC, Quate CF, Cleveland JP, Atalar A and Adderton DM 2000 *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **76** 1473

[66] Schiener J, Witt S, Stark M and Guckenberger R 2004 Rev. Sci. Instrum. 75 2564

[67] Ando T, Kodera N, Naito Y, Kinoshita T, Furuta K and Toyoshima YY 2003 *Chem. Phys. Chem.* **4** 1196

[68] Hobbs JK, Vasileva C and Humphris ADL 2005 Polymer 46 10226

[69] Ando T, Uchihashi T, Kodera N, Miyagi A, Nakakita R, Yamashita H and Sakashita M 2006 *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **45** 1897

[70] Yokokawa M, Wada C, Sakai TN, Yagi A, Yoshimura SH and Takeyasu K 2006 *EMBO J.* 25 4567

[71] Yokokawa M, Yoshimura SH, Naito Y, Ando T, Yagi A, Sakai N and Takeyasu K 2006 *IEE Proc Nanobiotechnol.* **153** 60

[72] Ulcinas A, Butler MF, Heppenstall-Butler M, Singleton S and Miles MJ 2007 J. Crystal Growth **307** 378-

[73] Shinohara K, Kodera N and Ando T 2007 Chem. Lett. 36 1378

[74] Crampton N, Yokokawa M, Dryden DTF, Edwardson JM, Rao DN, Takeyasu K, Yshimura SH and Henderson RM 2007 *Proc. natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **104** 12755

[75] Kobayashi M, Sumitomo K and Torimitsu K 2007 Ultramicroscopy 107 184-190

[76] Yamamoto D, Uchihashi T, Kodera N and Ando T 2008 Nanotechnol. 19 384009

[77] Miyagi A, Tsunaka Y, Uchihashi T, Mayanagi K, Hirose S, Morikawa K and Ando T 2008 *Chem. Phys. Chem.* **9** 1859

[78] Picco LM, Dunton PG, Ulcinas A, Engledew DJ, Hoshi O, Ushiki T and Miles MJ 2008 *Nanotechnology* **19** 384018

- [79] Sugasawa H, Sugiyama Y, Morii T and Okada T 2008 Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 47 6168
- [80] Shinozaki Y, Siitonen AM, Sumitomo K, Furukawa K and Torimitsu K 2008 Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 47 6164

[81] Yamashita H, Voïtchovsky K, Uchihashi T, Antoranz Contera S, Ryan JF and Ando T 2009 *J. Struct. Biol.* **167** 153

[82] Yamamoto D, Nagura N, Omote S, Taniguchi and Ando T 2009 Biophys. J. 97 2358

[83] Igarashi K, Koivula A, Wada M, Kimura S, Penttilä M and Samejima M 2009 *J. Biol. Chem.* **284** 36186

[84] Gilmore JL, Suzuki Y, Tamulaitis G, Siksnys V, Takeyasu K and Lyubchenko YL 2009 *Biochemistry* **48** 10492

- [85] Vicary JA and Miles MJ 2009 Nanotechnology 20 095302
- [86] Casuso I, Kodera N, Le Grimellec Ch, Ando T and Scheuring S 2009 Biophys J, 97 1354
- [87] Shinohara K, Kodera N and Ando T 2009 Chem. Lett. 38 690
- [88] Endo M and Sugiyama H 2009 Nucleic Acids Sympo. Ser. 53 81
- [89] Endo M, Hidaka K, Kato T, Namba K and Sugiyama H 2009 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131 15570

[90] Shinozaki Y, Sumitomo K, Tsuda M, Koizumu S, Inoue K and Torimitsu K 2009 *PLoS Biol.* 7 e1000103

- [91] Kodera N, Yamamoto D, Ishikawa R and Ando T 2010 Nature 468 72
- [92] Shibata M, Yamashita H, Uchihashi T, Kandori H and Ando T 2010 Nat. Nanotechnol. 5 208
- [93] Yamamoto D, Uchihashi T, Kodera N, Yamashita H, Nishikori S, Ogura T, Shibata M and Ando T 2010 *Methods Enzymol.* **475** (B) 541

[94] Yamamoto D, Taoka A, Uchihashi T, Sasaki H, Watanabe H, Ando T and Fukumori Y 2010 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **107** 9382

- [95] Fantner GE, Barbero RJ, Gray DS and Belcher AM 2010 Nat. Nanotechnol. 5 280-285
- [96] Itani T and Santillan JJ 2010 J. Photopoly. Sci. Technol. 23 639
- [97] Itani T and Santillan JJ 2010 Appl. Phys. Express 3 061601

[98] Giocondi M-C, Yamamoto D, Lesniewska E, Milhiet PE, Ando T, and Le Grimellec Ch 2010 *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.-Biomembranes* **1978** 703

[99] Milhiet PE, Yamamoto D, Berthoumieu O, Dosset P, Le Grimellec Ch, Verdier J-M, Marchal S and Ando T 2010 *PLos One* **5** e13240

[100] Sugimoto S, Yamanaka K, Nishikori S, Miyagi A, Ando T and Ogura T 2010 *J. Biol. Chem.* **285** 6648

- [101] Casuso I, Sens P, Rico F and Scheuring S 2010 Biophys. J. 99 L47
- [102] Shinohara K, Kodera N and Oohashi T 2010 J. Polym. Sci. (A): Polym. Chem. 48 4103
- [103] Endo M, Katsuda Y, Hidaka K and Sugiyama H 2010 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 49 9412

- [104] Endo M, Katsuda Y, Hidaka K and Sugiyama H 2010 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132 1592
- [105] Sannohe Y, Endo M, Katsuda Y, Hidaka K and Sugiyama H 2010 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132 16311
- [106] Endo M, Sugita T, Katsuda Y, Hidaka K and Sugiyam H 2010 Chem. Eur. J. 16 5362
- [107] Rajendran A, Endo M, Katsuda Y, Hidaka K and Sugiyama H 2010 ACS Nano 5 665
- [108] Suzuki Y, Higuchi Y, Hizume K, Yokokawa M, Yoshimura SH, Yoshikawa K and Takeyasu K 2010 *Ultramicroscopy* **110** 682
- [109] Yokokawa M, Carnally SM, Henderson RM, Takeyasu K and Edwardson JM 2010 FEBS Lett.584 3107
- [110] Tanaka F, Mochizuki T, Liang X, Asanuma H, Tanaka S, Suzuki K, Kitamura S, Nishikawa A, Ui-Tei K and Hagiya M 2010 *Nano Lett.* **10** 3560
- [111] Shinozaki Y, Sumitomo K, Furukawa K, Miyashita H, Tamba Y, Kasai N, Nakashima H and Torimitsu K 2010 *Appl. Phys. Express* **3** 027002
- [112] Inoue S, Uchihashi T, Yamamoto D and Ando T 2011 Chem. Commun. 47 4974
- [113] Shibata M, Uchihashi T, Yamashita H, Kandori H and Ando T 2011 *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **50** 4410
- [114] Uchihashi T, Iino R, Ando T and Noji H 2011 Science 333 755
- [115] Igarashi K, Uchihashi T, Koivula A, Wada M, Kimura S, Okamoto T, Penttilä M, Ando T and Samejima M 2011 *Science* (in press)
- [116] Suzuki A, Hori T, Nishino T, Usukura J, Miyagi A, Morikawa K and Fukagawa T 2011 *J. Cell Biol.* **193** 125
- [117] Endo M, Hidaka K and Sugiyama H 2100 Org. Biomol. Chem. 9 2075
- [118] Wickham SFJ, Endo M, Katsuda Y, Hidaka K, Bath J, Sugiyama H and Turberfield AJ 2011 *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **6** 166
- [119] Yamamoto S-I, Okada T, Uraoka Y, Yamashita I and Hasegawa S 2011 J. Appl. Phys. 109 034901
- [120] Yifrach O. and Horovitz A 1994 J. Mol. Biol. 243 397
- [121] Schmidt M, Rutkat K, Rachel R, Pfeifer G, Jaenicke R, Viitanen P, Lorimer G and Buchner J 1994 *Science* **265** 656
- [122] Halford SE, Welsh AJ, Szczelkun MD 2004 Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct 33 1
- [123] Sears A, Peakman LJ, Wilson GG and Szczelkun MD 2005 Nucleic Acids Res 33 4775
- [124] Ramanathan Sp, van Aelst K, Sears A, Pekman LJ, Diffin FM, Szczelkun MD and Seidel R 2009 *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **106** 1748
- [125] Dryden DTF, Edwardson JM and Henderson RM 2011 Nucleic Acids Res 39 4525
- [126] Masuda M and Shimizu T 2004 Langmuir 20 5969
- [127] Roux A, Cuvelier D, Nassoy P, Prost J, Bassereau P and Goud B 2005 EMBO J. 24 1537
- [128] Ellis MJ and Hebert H 2001 Micron 32 541

- [129] Reviakine I, Bergsma-Schutter W and Brisson A 1998 J. Struct. Biol. 121 356
- [130] Wang SW, Robertson CR and Gast AP 1999 Langmuire 15 1541
- [131] Müller DJ, Heymann JB, Oesterhelt F, Möller C, Gaub H, Büldt G and Engel A 2000 *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1460** 27
- [132] Müller DJ, Sass H-J, Müller SA, Büldt G and Engel A 1999 J. Mol. Biol. 285 1903
- [133] Haupts U, Tittor J and Oesterhelt D 1999 Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. 28 367
- [134] Lanyi JK 2004 Annu. Rev. Physiol. 66 665.
- [135] Kimura Y, Vassylyev DG, Miyazawa A, Kidera A, Matsushima M, Mitsuoka K, Murata K, Hirai T and Fujiyoshi Y 1997 *Nature* **389** 206
- [136] Luecke H, Schobert B, Richter HT, Cartailler JP and Lanyi JK 1999 J. Mol. Biol. 291 899
- [137] Luecke H, Schobert B, Lanyi JK, Spudich EN and Spudich JL 2001 Science 293 1499
- [138] Sass HJ, Buldt G, Gessenich R, Hen D, Neff D, Schleisinger R, Berendzen J and Ormos P 2000 *Nature* **406** 649
- [139 Subramaniam S and Henderson R 2000 Nature 406 653
- [140] Otto H, Marti T, Holz M, Mogi T, Lindau M, Khorana HG and Heyn MP 1989 Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 86 9228
- [141] Druckmann S, Friedman N, Lanyi JK, Needleman R, Ottolenghi M and Sheves M 1992 56 1041
- [142] Duque D, Li XJ, Katsov K and Schick M 2002 J. Chem. Phys. 116 10478
- [143] Phillips R, Ursell T, Wiggins P and Sens P 2009 Nature 459 379
- [144] Ihara K, Abe T, Sugimura K and Mukohata Y 1992 J. Exp. Biol. 172 475
- [145] Dunker AK, Brown CJ, Lawson JD, Lakoucheva LM and Obradovic Z 2002 *Biochemistry* **41** 6573
- [146] Dyson HJ and Wright PE 2005 Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 6 197
- [147] Belotserkovskaya R, Oh S, Bondarenko VA, Orphanides G, Studitsky VM and Reinberg D 2003 *Science* **301** 1090
- [148] Shimojima T, Okada M, Nakayama T, Ueda H, Okawa K, Iwamatsu A, Handa H and Hirose S 2003 *Genes Dev.* **17** 1605
- [149] Rivetti C, Guthold M and Bustamante C 1996 J. Mol. Biol. 264 919
- [150] Dietz H and Rief M 2004 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101 16192
- [151] Li H, Oberhauser AF, Redick SD, Carrion-Vazquez M, Erickson HP and Fernandez JM 2001
- Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98 10682
- [152] Smith SB, Cui Y and Bustamante C 1996 Science 271 795
- [153] Smith SB, Finzi L and Bustamante C 1992 Science 258 1122
- [154] Müller DJ, Baumeister W and Engel A 1999 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96 13170
- [155] Sellers JR and Weisman LS 2008 A Superfamily of Molecular Motors, Proteins and Cell Regulation, Springer (ed. Coluccio L.) 289

[156] Mehta AD, Rock RS, Rief M, Spudich JA, Mooseker MS and Cheney RE 1999 *Nature* **400** 590

[157] Sakamoto T, Amitani I, Yokota E and Ando T 2000 *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 272 86
[158] Yildiz A, Forkey JN, McKinney SA, Ha T, Goldman YE and Selvin PR 2003 *Science* 300 2061

- [159] Forkey JN, Quinlan ME, Shaw MA, Corrie JET and Goldman YE 2003 Nature 422 399
- [160] Sakamoto T, Webb MR, Forgacs E, White HD and Sellers JR 2008 Nature 455 128

[161] De La Cruz EM, Wells AL, Rosenfeld SS, Ostap EM and Sweeney HL 1999 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **96** 13726

[162] Wells AL, Lin AW, Chen LQ, Safer D, Cain SM, Hasson T, Carragher BO, Milligan RA and Sweeney HL 1999 *Nature* **401** 505

[163] Huxley HE 1969 Science 164 1356

[164] Rosenfeld SS and Sweeney HL 2004 J. Biol. Chem. 279 40100

[165] Veigel C, Schmitz S, Wang F and Sellers JR 2005 Nat. Cell Biol. 7 861

[166] Purcell TJ, Sweeney HL and Spudich JA 2005 Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 102 13873

[167] Teeri TT 1997 Trends Biotechnol. 15 160

[168] Teeri TT, Koivula A, Linder M, Wohlfahrt G, Divne C and Jones TA 1998 *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **26** 173

[169] Divne C, Stahlberg J, Reinikainen T, Ruohonen L, Pettersson G, Knowles JK, Teeri TT and Jones TA 1994 *Science* **265** 524

- [170] Kipper K, Väljamäe P and Johansson G 2005 Biochem. J. 385 527
- [171] Khakh BS and North RA 2006 Nature 442 527
- [172] North RA 2002 Physiol. Rev. 82 1013

[173] Kawate T, Michel JC, Birdsong WT and Gouaux E *Nature* **460** 592

[174] Khakh BS, Bao XR, Labarca C and Lester HA 1999 Nat Neurosci. 2 322

[175] Pepys MB 2006 Annu. Rev. Med. 57 223

[176] Ohnishia S and Takanobu K 2004, Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 61 511

[177] Gerbaud V, Pignol D, Loret E, Bertrand JA, Berland Y, Fontecilla-Camps JC, Canselier JP, Gabas N and Verdier JM 2000 *J. Biol. Chem.* **275** 1057

[178] Lee BI, Mustafi D, Cho W and Nakagawa Y 2003 J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 8 341

[179] De Caro A, Lohse J and Sarles H 1979 Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 87 1176

[180] Sekikawa A, Fukui H, Fujii S, Nanakin A, Kanda N, Uenoyama Y, Sawabu T, Hisatsune H,

Kusaka T, Ueno S, Nakase H, Seno H, Fujimori T and Chiba T 2005 Gut 54 1437

[181] Cerini C, Peyrot V, Garnier C, Duplan L, Veesler S, Le Caer JP, Bernard JP, Bouteille H,

Michel R, Vazi A, Dupuy P, Michel B, Berland Y and Verdier JM 1999 J. Biol. Chem. 274 22266

[182] Laurine E, Gregoire C, Fandrich M, Engemann S, Marchal S, Thion L, Mohr M, Monsarrat B,

Michel B, Dobson CM, Wanker E, Erard M and Verdier J-M 2003 J. Biol. Chem. 278 51770

[183] Grégoire C, Marco S, Thimonier J, Duplan L, Laurine E, Chauvin J-P, Michel B, Peyrot V and Verdier J-M 2001 EMBO J 20 3313 [184] Seeman NC 2003 Nature 421 427 [185] Tian Y, He Y, Peng Y and Mao C 2005 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 44 4355 [186] Bath J, Green SJ and Turberfield AJ 2005 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 44 4358 [187] Fujiwara I, Takahashi S, Tadakuma H, Funatsu T and Ishiwata S 2002 Nat. Cell Biol. 4 666 [188] Kuhn JR and Pollard TD 2005 Biophys. J. 88 1387 [189] Shekhawat G and Dravid VP 2005 Science 310 89 [190] Tetard L, Passian A, Venmar KT, Lynch RM, Voy BH, Shekhawat G, Dravid VP and Thundat T 2008 Nat. Nanotechnol. 3 501 [191] Hilderbrand JA, Rugar D, Johnston RN and Quate CF 1981 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78 1656 [192] Albrecht TR, Grütter P, Horne D and Rugar D 1991 J. Appl. Phys. 69 668 [193] Giessibl FJ 1995 Science 267 68 [194] Hansma PK, Drake B, Marti O, Gould SA, Prater CB 1989 Science 243 641 [195] Ying L, BruckbauerA, Zhou D, Gorelik J, Shevchuk A, Lab M, Korchev Y and Klenerman D 2005 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 7 2859 [196] Shevchuk AI, Frolenkov GI, Sanchez D, James PS, Freedman N, Lab MJ, Jones R, Klenerman D and Korchev YE 2006 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 45 2212

Figure legends

Figure 1: Schematic presentation of tapping mode AFM system.

Figure 2: Feedback loop of tapping mode AFM and main time delays. The input to the feedback loop is the variation of sample surface height and the output is the displacement of z-scanner. The time delay in the loop is mainly produced by the cantilever response time (τ_a), the parachuting time (τ_p), the time required to measure the cantilever oscillation amplitude (τ_m), the integral time of error signal at the PID controller, and the z-scanner response time (τ_z).

Figure 3: Small cantilever and EBD tip. (a) SEM image of a small cantilever. (b, c, d) SEM images of EBD tips. The apex radius of the tip formed by EBD is not small (c) but can be reduced by nitrogen or oxygen plasma etching to 4-5 nm (d).

Figure 4: Schematic presentation of objective lens type of OBD detection system. The collimated laser beam is reflected up by the dichroic mirror and incident on the objective lens. The beam

reflected back from the cantilever is collimated by the objective lens, separated from the incident beam by the polarization beam splitter and $\lambda/4$ wave plate, and reflected onto the split photodiode. The objective lens is also used to view the cantilever, the focused laser spot, and the sample stage through the CCD camera.

Figure 5: High-speed scanner and active damping. (a) Sketch of high-speed scanner. A sample stage (glass rod) is glued on the top surface of the z-piezoactuator using nail enamel (a dummy stage attached to the bottom surface of the z-piezoactuator is hidden). The z-piezoactuator is held at the four rims parallel to the displacement direction. The dimensions ($W \times L \times H$) of the z-piezoactuator are $3 \times 3 \times 2$ mm². The gaps in the supporting base are filled with an elastomer for passive damping. (b) Active damping of z-scanner vibrations. The LRC circuit (mock z-scanner) is constructed so that its transfer function is similar to that of the real z-scanner.

Figure 6: High-speed AFM images showing the process of SLB formation. Lipid membranes were made of a ternary mixture made of DOPC/DOPS/biotin-cap-DPPE in buffer (10 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 2mMCaCl2, pH 7.4). The liposome suspension (0.5 mg/ml) was then directly diluted into the buffer present in the liquid cell equipped in the high-speed AFM instrument and the bilayer formation was imaged at 1.03 fps (scan size $800 \times 800 \text{ nm}^2$). SLB was formed from LNT (white arrows, approximately 20 nm in height) and liposomes (arrowheads, 7.5–30 nm in height). Z color scale, 25 nm. The light-blue arrow indicates the interaction between a patch of SLB and one end of a LNT.

Figure 7: Defect diffusion in streptavidin 2D crystal. (a) Schematic of a streptavidin molecule on biotinylated lipid bilayer. Two biotin binding sites occupied by biotin are indicated by the closed circles. The open circles indicate biotin binding sites facing the aqueous solution and are biotin-free. (b) High-speed AFM images of streptavidin 2D crystal with *C222* lattice and monovacancy defects therein. The monovacancy defects are enclosed by dashed squares and circles. The directions of the lattice vectors of the crystal are also indicated. Successive images were obtained at an imaging rate of 2 fps with a scan area of $150 \times 150 \text{ nm}^2$, and the number of pixels was 200×200 . (c) Schematic of streptavidin arrays in *C222* crystal. Unit lattice vectors are indicated. The a-axis includes rows of contiguous biotin-unbound subunits, while the b-axis includes rows of contiguous biotin-unbound subunits.

Figure 8: Structural change in bR induced by light absorption. (a) Successive high-speed AFM images of the cytoplasmic surface of D96N bR under dark or illuminated conditions. Frame rate, 1 fps; pixel size, 200×200 pixels. A bR trimer is highlighted by the white triangle. The green bars indicate illumination of 532-nm green light of 0.5 μ W. (b) Schematic of arrangement of bR

molecules and bR trimers under dark. The arrows indicate the directions in which respective bR molecules displace upon light illumination. (c) Schematic of arrangement of bR molecules and bR trimers under illumination. The three bR molecules in a trefoil form a transient assembly. The arrows indicate the directions in which respective bR molecules displaced upon light illumination. (d, e) Surface maps of the magnified images in the dark (d) and under illumination (e). The position of each trimer centre is denoted by the white dots. A monomer in the dark is indicated by a single white arrow. Under illumination, the topography of the monomer splits into major and minor protrusions, as indicated by green arrows 1 and 2, respectively. The positions of seven transmenbrane α helices (A-G) are schematically indicated on the left bottom trimer images captured under dark.

Figure 9: Cooperative effects on the decay kinetics in D96N bR. (a) Displacement of mass centre positions as a function of time measured for six bR monomers forming two different trefoils $(M_n1-M_n3; n \text{ indicates different trefoils})$ under different light intensities. The green regions correspond to the illumination, and their shade level indicates the relative light intensity (0.007 and 0.5 μ W). The blue arrows indicate the conformational changes of the monomers $(M_13, M_21 \text{ and } M_23)$ that are activated subsequent to the activation of other monomers in the respective trefoils. (b) The top figure shows the decay of activated bR monomers (as depicted by the red circle) while the other monomers (the grey circles) within the respective trefoils are not in the activated state. The exponential decay constant is 7.3 s. On the other hand, when one or two nearest-neighbor molecules (as depicted by green circles in the middle figure) in a trefoil are already activated, the bR monomer activated latest (as depicted by the blue circles in the middle figure) decays faster. The exponential time constant is shortened to 2.0 s. In contrast, the early activated molecules within a trefoil (as depicted by green circles in the bottom figure) show a non-exponential broad distribution of decay time with an average of 13.3 s.

Figure 10: Membrane-mediated interaction between c-rings. (a) Successive high-speed AFM images of c-rings in a dimer. Two c-rings are in a dimer over a time span of 1309 ms. (b) Successive high-speed AFM images of c-ring dimer that dissociates and reforms. One c-ring is intermediately monomeric for ~400 ms (white arrows in frames: 561 ms, 748 ms, 935 ms) and subsequently reforms a dimer that resembles the initial assembly. (c) Histogram of the center-to-center distance of c-rings. (d) Membrane-mediated two-protein interaction energy landscape.

Figure 11: ID regions of FACT protein. (a) Successive high-speed AFM images of oligomerized FACT protein. A lumpy shape and four tail-like structures can be seen (see inset schematic). The position of the tail-like structures fluctuates markedly over time. The images were processed by

using brightness-equalizing software. Imaging rate, 6 fps; scan range, $150 \times 150 \text{ nm}^2$. (b) Persistence length analysis of ID region of M2 mutant (ID region of SPT16 subunit is deleted). The square point-to-point distance is plotted as a function of the macroscopic contour length between the corresponding two points. The red line indicates the best-fit curve of equation (1) with p = 12.1 nm. The inset image indicates the relationship between the microscopic contour and the macroscopic structure. The microscopic contour is schematically drawn with a thin yellow line.

Figure 12: High-speed AFM images of tail-truncated M5 (M5-HMM) interacting with actin filaments. (a) Schematic of two-headed bound M5-HMM. (b) Successive high-speed AFM images showing processive movement of M5-HMM in 1 μ M ATP. Frame rate, 7 fps; scan area, 130 × 65 nm². (c) Successive high-speed AFM images showing hand-over-hand movement in 1 μ M ATP. Frame rate, 7 fps, scan area, 150 × 75 nm². The swinging lever is highlighted with a thin white line. (d) Schematic explaining the images in (c). (e) AFM images showing unwinding of the short coiled-coil tail of two-headed bound M5-HMM observed in 50 mM ADP (left panel, before unwinding; right panel, after unwinding). (f) An AFM image of two-headed bound M5-HMM captured under the nucleotide-free condition. The leading head is sharply bent. (g) An AFM image of two-headed bound M5-HMM captured in the presence of 50 mM ADP. The leading head is approximately straight. Vertical or horizontal dashed lines in (b), (c), (f), and (g) show the centers of mass of the motor domains, and a plus sign is used to indicate the plus end of actin.

Figure 13: Structure of P2X₄ receptor. (a) Atomic structure of N and C termini deletion mutant of homotrimeric P2X₄ receptor viewed parallel to the membrane. Each subunit is depicted in a different color. The grey bars suggest the boundaries of the outer (out) and inner (in) leaflets of the membrane bilayer. (b) Atomic structure of N and C termini deletion mutant of homotrimeric P2X₄ receptor viewed from the molecular three-fold axis (from the extracellular side). (c) Successive AFM images showing ATP-induced structural changes of P2X₄ receptor. Before activation, P2X₄ receptor was in circular shape and exhibits some fluctuation (–2.5 s to ~0.0 s). Caged ATP (200 μ M) was uncaged at 0 s. After uncaging, the structure of P2X₄ receptor changed to a trimer structure within 0.5 s. Then, P2X₄ receptor exhibited a further structural change and adopted a pore dilation-like conformation. Note that the images are not directly captured ones but are those obtained by averaging images of ten P2X₄ receptor particles to reconstruct 3-fold symmetric images at given elapse of time.

Figure 14: High-speed AFM images showing time lapse of lithostathine protofibril elongation and association. Elongation of single protofibrils is shown with the white arrows in (a), (b) and (d). Some protofibrils do not grow in length, as indicated with white arrowheads in (a) and (b). Interestingly, the edge of an elongating protofibril can be fused with that of a pre-existing

protofibril, forming a continuous structure, as marked with a light-blue arrow in (b). Protofibrils are often laterally associated with another, at least for one part of their length. The complete sideways association between two protofibrils occurs in a zipper-like mechanism (pink arrows). Elongation of a protofibril also occurs being stacked on a fibril, as indicated with the light-blue arrowheads in (c). The growth of a protofibril or fibril is stopped when the growing end encounters with a side edge of a second fibril, forming false branching point with a certain range of contact angle, as indicated with red arrowheads in (d). Winding of two protofibrils can form helical fibrils (e) (the inset is a filtered image). Scale bars, 30 nm.

Figure 15: DNA motor movement along the track of DNA stators formed on a DNA origami tile. (a) Motor mechanism: a nicking enzyme (triangles) cuts the stator (green) when the stator is bound to the motor (magenta), revealing a toehold at the 3' end of the motor that facilitates transfer of the motor to the adjacent intact stator by branch migration. This chain of process is repeated and thus the motor continuously moves along the track of stators until reaching to the track end. (b) Successive AFM images showing discrete steps of a single DNA motor. The highest point on each profile along the yellow line is interpreted as the motor position and marked with the red circle. The motor steps between four stators (blue lines). Imaging rate, 0.1 fps; vertical scale bar, 50 nm.

Captions for supplementary movies

Movie S1: Actin filament gliding over myosin V-coated surface. Frame rate, 5.6 fps (real-time playback). The movie is cropped from an original scan size of $200 \times 200 \text{ nm}^2$ [62].

Movie S2: GroES binding to GroEL upon ATP release by flash-photolysis of caged-ATP. UV flash light was applied shortly before the frames marked with 'F'. Imaging rate, 1 fps (real-time playback); scan size, $300 \times 300 \text{ nm}^2$ [62].

Movie S3: Progressive accumulation of DNA supercoiling as a result of translocation by EcoP15I. Imaging rate, 3 fps (\times 5 playback); scan size, 1,000 \times 600 nm² [74].

Movie S4: Aggregates of surfactant (2.7 mM hexadecyltrimethylammonium chloride dissolved in 34 mM CsCl solution) on mica surface. Imaging rate, 1 fps (\times 10 playback); scan size, 200 \times 200 nm² [112].

Movie S5: SLB formation from liposomes on mica surface. Imaging rate, 1.03 fps (\times 20 playback); scan size, 800 × 800 nm² [98].

Movie S6: Anisotropic diffusion of single point defects in streptavidin 2D crystal. Imaging rate, 2 fps (real-time playback); scan size, $150 \times 150 \text{ nm}^2$ [76].

Movie S7: Structural change of D96N bR mutant at the cytoplasm surface at pH 7.0 during dark-illumination cycles. Imaging rate, 1 fps (\times 10 playback); scan size, 20 \times 20 nm² [92].

Movie S8: Structural change of D96N bR mutant at the cytoplasm surface at pH 7.0 with increasing light intensity. Imaging rate, 1 fps (×10 playback); scan size, $27 \times 27 \text{ nm}^2$ [92].

Movie S9: Structural change of D96N bR mutant at cytoplasmic surface (pH 8.0) during dark-green light illumination cycle with 10 s intervals. Imaging rate, 1 fps (×10 playback). The movie is cropped from an original scan size of $25 \times 25 \text{ nm}^2$. The green bars indicate green light illumination. Once activated by green light, the conformation of most bR molecules appears to remain in the activated state even after the light is turned off because the lifetime of the activated state of D96N bR at pH 8 is longer than the duration of the on-off cycle of illumination used [113].

Movie S10: Structural change of D96N bR mutant at cytoplasmic surface (pH 8.0) during alternate green/blue light illumination with 10 s intervals. Imaging rate, 1 fps (×10 playback). The movie is cropped from an original scan size of 25 ×25 nm². The green and blue bars shown in the AFM movie indicate green and blue light application, respectively. The conformation of most of bR molecules alternately changes in synchrony with the alternate green/blue light application [113].

Movie S11: Dynamic interaction bR trimers with the crystal edge of a purple membrane. Imaging rate, 3.3 fps (×10 playback); scan size, $50 \times 50 \text{ nm}^2$ [81].

Movie S12: Rotorless F₁-ATPase ($\alpha_3\beta_3$ subcomplex without γ -subunit)) in 2 μ M ATP. The C-terminus side is imaged. Imaging rate, 12.5 fps (real-time playback); scan size, $17 \times 13 \text{ nm}^2$. The structural change in β s is propagating counterclockwise [114].

Movie S13: Oligomerized FACT protein with four tail-like structures. Imaging rate, 6 fps (real-time playback); scan size, $150 \times 150 \text{ nm}^2$. The movie was processed using brightness-equalizing software [77].

Movie S14: Unidirectional processive movement of M5-HMM along actin filament, in 1 μ M ATP. The imaging rate, 7 fps (real-time playback); scan size, 130 × 65 nm² [91].

Movie S15: Hand-over-hand movement of M5-HMM. Additional streptavidin molecules were

placed on the biotin-containing surface to slow the step movement. Imaging rate, 7 fps (real-time placyback). (a), (b) In 1 μ M ATP. Scan size, 150 × 75 nm². (c) In 2 μ M ATP. Scan size, 130 × 65 nm². (d), (e) In 1 μ M ATP. Scan size, 110 × 75 nm² [91].

Movie S16: Unwinding of short coiled-coil tail of M5-HMM bound to actin filament in 50 μ M ADP. Imaging rate, 3 fps (real-time playback); scan size 90 × 90 nm² [91].

Movie S17: Foot stomping at the leading head of M5-HMM observed in 1 μ M ATP. The leading head just before and after the foot stomp is indicated by light-blue triangles. Imaging rate, 7 fps (real-time playback); scan size, 150 × 75 nm² [91].

Movie S18: Sharp bending of the leading head of M5-HMM observed in the absence of ATP. Events of foot stomping at the leading and trailing heads are marked with light-blue triangles and red triangles, respectively. Imaging rate, 3 fps (real-time playback); Scan size, 80×80 nm² [91].

Year	n*	Targets & Subjects	Research Group
2001	1	Brownian motion of myosin V [6]	Ando
2002	0	None	
2002	•	Brownian motion of myosin V [50]	Ando
2003	2	• Kinesin movement along MT & CaM dissociation from myosin V [67]	Ando
2004	0	None	
		• Actin gliding, structural change of dynein C, GroES-GroEL interaction [62]	Ando
2005	3	• Polyethylene oxide films during crystal growth [68]	Hobbs
		• Polymer films during crystallization from the melt [57]	Miles
		• MT destruction & conformational change of myosin V [69]	Ando
2006	3	Conformational change of GroEL & GroEL-GroES interaction [70]	Takeyasu
		DNA-nuclease interaction [71]	Takeyasu
2007		• Crystallization of a CaCO ₃ thin film from supersaturated solution [72]	Miles
		Ultrafast imaging of collagen [58]	Miles
	5	• Brownian motion & photo-degradation of π -conjugated polyrotaxane [73]	Shinohara
		• DNA translocation and looping by type III restriction enzyme [74]	Takeyasu
		Biotinylated DNA-streptavidin interaction [75]	Trimitsu
		Anisotropic diffusion of point defects in streptavidin 2D crystals [76]	Ando
		 Identification of intrinsically disordered regions of proteins [77] 	Ando
2008	5	Human chromosomes in liquid [78]	Miles
		• DNA-nuclease interaction [79]	RIBM
		• Effect of Ca ²⁺ on vesicle fusion [80]	Trimitsu
		• Dynamic equilibrium at the edge of bR 2D crystals [81]	Ando
		• Structural change of CaM and actin polymerization on streptavidin 2D crystals [82]	Ando
		• Unidirectional translocation of cellulase along cellulose fibers [83]	Igarashi
		• I ranslocation of <i>Eco</i> RII restriction enzyme along DINA [84]	Lyubchenko
2009	10	• Fabrication and imaging of hard material surface [85]	Schouring
		• Furple memorane in contact-mode HS-AFM [80] • Thermal motion of π -conjugated polymer chain [87]	Scheuring
		• Opening of 3D hollow structure of DNA Origami [88]	Sugiyama
		• Opening of 3D hollow structure of DNA Origani [89]	Sugiyama
		• ATP-induced conformational change in P2X ₄ recentors [90]	Trimitsu
2010		• Walking myosin V along an actin filament [91]	Ando
		• Photo-induced structural change in bR [92]	Ando
		• 2D crystal formation of annexin A-V and height change of p97 [93]	Ando
		• Analysis of components covering magnesotome surface [94]	Ando
		• Time course of cell death by antimicrobial peptide [95]	Fantner
		• Dissolution of extreme UV exposed resist films under developing [96]	Itani
		• Dissolution of extreme UV exposed resist films under developing [97]	Itani
		Process of forming supported planar lipid bilayer [98]	Le Grimellec
		Self assembly of amyloid-like fibrils [99]	Milhiet
		• Effect of ClpX on FtsZ polymerization [100]	Ogura
	22	• Membrane-mediated protein-protein Interaction [101]	Scheuring
		• Photodegradation of chiral helical π -conjugated polymer chain [102]	Shinohara
		• Base-excision repair of damaged DNA in DNA Origami scattold [103]	Sugiyama
		• Tension effect on DNA methylation [104] • Formation/discuption of G guadrunlay in DNA noncocoffold [105]	Sugiyama
		• Formation/distuption of O-quadruptex in DNA nanoscanoid [105]	Sugiyama
		• Programmed selfassembly of DNA Origami jigsaw pieces [100]	Sugiyama
		• Dissociation of histone from nucleosome [108]	Takeyasu
		• Height change of acid-sensing ion channel 1a upon acidification [109]	Takeyasu
		• Breaking of nano-capsules of DNA-azobenzene conjugate by UV light [110]	Tanaka
		• Structural change of bR trimer in a stretched membrane [111]	Trimitsu
		Conformations of AMPA receptor [112]	Trimitsu
2011 up to September 2		Morphological transformation of detergent micells [113]	Ando
		• bR in response to alternate two-color illumination [114]	Ando
		• Rotary catalysis of rotorless F ₁ -ATPase [115]	Ando
	Q	Dislocation of cellulase hydrolyzing cellulose fibers [116]	Ando
	0	• CENP-W complexed with CENP-T [117]	Fukagawa
		Opening of DNA cuboid [118]	Sugiyama
		• Synthetic molecular motor [119]	Turberfield
		Ferritin adsorption onto a silicon substrate [120]	Yamamoto

Table 1: Chronological progress of publications on high-speed AFM imaging

**n* represents the number of publications in respective years.











Figure 3













Figure 7



Figure 8



Figure 9









Figure 13







