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Trace element compositions of jadeite (+omphacite) in jadeitites from the Itoigawa-Ohmi district, Japan: Implications for fluid processes in subduction zones
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Abstract Trace-element compositions of jadeite (+omphacite) in jadeitites from the Itoigawa-Ohmi district of Japan, analyzed by a laser-ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry technique showed chemical zoning within individual grains and variations within each sample and between different samples. Primitive mantle-normalized patterns of jadeite in the samples generally showed high large-ion lithophile element contents, high light rare earth element/heavy rare earth element ratios and positive anomalies of high field strength elements. The studied jadeitites have no signatures of the protolith texture or mineralogy. Shapes and distributions of minerals coupled with chemical zoning within grains suggest that the jadeitites were formed by direct precipitation of minerals from aqueous fluids or complete metasomatic modification of the precursor rocks by fluids. In either case, the geochemical characteristics of jadeite are highly affected by fluids enriched in both large-ion lithophile elements and high field strength elements. The specific fluids responsible for the formation of jadeitites are related to serpentinitization by slab-derived fluids in subduction zones. This process is followed by dissolving high field strength elements in the subducting crust as the fluids continue to circulate into the subducting crusts and serpentinitized peridotites. The fluids have variations in chemical compositions corresponding to various degrees of water–rock interactions.
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INTRODUCTION

Jadeitite principally consists of jadeite (NaAlSi2O6) and typically appears as tectonic inclusions in serpentinite-matrix mélanges at subduction/collision tectonic settings (Harlow & Sorensen 2004). Jadeitites form over a wide range of pressure and temperature (P-T) conditions from the lawsonite-eclogite-facies to blueschist-facies, i.e. a subduction zone (Harlow & Sorensen 2004) (Fig. 1). Previous genetic models of jadeitite include metamorphism/metasomatism of precur-
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in fluids. Jadeite coexisting with quartz is a generally rare occurrence (Okay 1997; Banno et al. 2000a; Harlow et al. 2004; Shigeno et al. 2005).

In any case, jadeites appear to reflect hydrothermal metasomatic processes in subduction zones. Aqueous fluids are released from subducting slabs by dehydration of hydrous minerals. In order to gain a better understanding of the geochemical behavior of trace elements in subduction zones, chemical evaluation of fluids, related to the formation of jadeites, may provide unique data regarding the role of slab dehydration and/or water–rock interactions in subduction zones.

The Itoigawa-Ohmi district was the first area where natural occurrences of jadeites were reported in Japan (Kawano 1939; Ohmori 1939). The district is located in the high-P/T type Renge Metamorphic Belt, dating to the Late Paleozoic age (Shibata & Nozawa 1968; Nishimura 1998; Tsujimori & Itaya 1999; Kunugiza et al. 2004; Tsukada et al. 2004) (Fig. 2). Tsujimori (2002) suggested that blueschist to eclogite metamorphism may be related to the subduction of oceanic crust. Miyajima et al. (1999, 2001, 2002) and Morishita (2005) suggested that some jadeites in the Itoigawa-Ohmi district were formed from fluids. Therefore it is probable that the fluids that are related to the formation of jadeites in the Itoigawa-Ohmi district were formed from fluids. This paper presents trace-element compositions of jadeite (±omphacite) in jadeites from the Itoigawa-Ohmi district. We will also discuss chemical compositions of fluids related to the formation of jadeites in the context of fluid-assisted element circulation in subduction zones.
GEOLOGICAL OUTLINE AND GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF JADEITITES IN THE STUDIED AREA

Jadeites and eclogites in this area are thought to be tectonic inclusions in serpentinite-matrix mélanges (Banno 1958; Chihara et al. 1979; Nakamizu et al. 1989; Komatsu 1990; Nishimura 1998; Tsujimori et al. 2000; Tsujimori 2002). The ultramafic rocks in serpentinite-matrix mélanges are mainly serpentinitized dunite-harzburgite and serpentine-carbonate rock (Iwao 1953; Yokoyama 1985) with trace amounts of chromitites (Yamane et al. 1988; Tsujimori 2004). Jadeites in the studied area are divided into several types in terms of variations in color corresponding to mineral phases, such as white jadeite (nearly pure jadeite), blue jadeite (titanian omphacite and sodic amphibole), lavender jadeite (Ti-bearing jadeite), green jadeite (omphacite) and black jadeite (graphite) (Iwao 1953; Chihara 1971, 1989; Oba et al. 1992; Miyajima et al. 2001).

Sr-bearing minerals (itoigawaitite, rengeite and matsubaraite) sometimes occur as interstitial phases between subhedral to euhedral jadeites and/or as veins in jadeitites (Miyajima et al. 1999, 2001, 2002). The rengeite and matsubaraite are also enriched in Ti (+Zr) and are associated with titanite, zircon and rutile (Miyajima et al. 2001, 2002). Kunugiza et al. (2002) determined sensitive high mass-resolution ion microprobe (SHRIMP) zircon ages of 510–520 Ma in jadeitites from the Itoigawa-Ohmi district, and interpreted these ages as the formation ages of the jadeitites. These ages are much older than those of high-P/T metamorphic rocks in the studied area, which dates to ca 300 Ma (Shibata & Nozawa 1968; Nishimura 1998; Tsujimori & Itaya 1999; Kunugiza et al. 2004). The differences in ages between jadeitites and metamorphic rocks suggests jadeitites were not formed under the same P-T conditions for the metamorphic rocks (Kunugiza et al. 2004). Sr-, Ba-, and Ti-bearing minerals were also found in metasomatic rocks, such as albitites and prehnite rocks, associated with serpentinite and jadeitites in the studied area (Komatsu et al. 1973; Chihara et al. 1974; Sakai & Akai 1994; Miyajima et al. 2003).

SAMPLE DESCRIPTIONS

We examined two jadeite samples in detail: (i) a lavender-colored jadeite; and (ii) a light green-colored omphacite-bearing jadeite (Lavender-Jade and Green-Omph-Jade, respectively, hereafter) (Fig. 3). Both jadeitites contained more than 90% volume of jadeite except for a part of the Lavender-Jade (see below). Albitezation, replacement of jadeite by albite, was not apparent, and quartz had not been found in either of the samples. These rock samples covered several varieties of jadeitites in terms of differences in color, reflecting major element compositions and coexisting minerals. Another important point is that samples with abundant grains of more than 50 µm in width, without visible inclusions/cleavages were needed for determining trace element compositions by the analytical method in this study. The cleavages were commonly well developed in the jadeite grains of our samples.

LAVENDER-JADE

The Lavender-Jade varied in color on a centimeter scale: purple, white, and pale green to blue areas reflecting the differences in mineral assemblages (Fig. 3). The purple area consisted of randomly
oriented prismatic jadeite (typically <0.5 mm × <0.1 mm in size) in analcime matrix with a small amount of pectolite. Aggregates of the fine-grained titanite associated with analcime were commonly found at the center of the purple area (Fig. 4a). The white area was an aggregate of randomly oriented prismatic jadeitite (typically <0.3 mm × <0.2 mm in size) with small amounts of pectolite, displaying a decussate texture (Fig. 4b). The pale green to blue area consisted of randomly oriented subhedral to euhedral prismatic jadeite (typically <0.3 mm × <0.1 mm in size) in a prehnite matrix with minor amounts of pectolite (Fig. 4c). The volume of jadeite was less abundant in the pale green to blue area than in the other areas. Barian feldspar was rarely distributed in the pale green to blue area. Veins of pectolite associated with a small amount of wollastonite were found.

**GREEN-OMPH-JADE**

The Green-Omph-Jade (Fig. 3) mainly consists of anhedral jadeite grains (typically <0.3 mm × <0.3 mm and rarely up to 1 mm, in size) (Fig. 5a,b). Omphacite mainly occurs as an aggregate of anhedral grains (Fig. 5c) and rarely as a discrete grain in a jadeite-dominant area (Fig. 3). Coexistence of omphacite and jadeite has already been reported in jadeitites from the studied area (Yokoyama & Sameshima 1982; Oba et al. 1992). Barian feldspar is also found as a minor interstitial phase in the jadeite matrix or in the form of thin veins with pectolite (<5 mm in thickness). Prehnite veins (<5 mm in thickness) cut through both the jadeite-dominant area and omphacite aggregates (Figs 3,5c).

**MINERAL CHEMISTRY**

**ANALYTICAL METHODS**

Major element compositions of jadeite and omphacite were analyzed with a JEOL JXA-8800 at the Center for Cooperative Research of Kanazawa University. The analyses were performed with an accelerating voltage of 15–20 kV and a beam current of 15–20 nA using a 3-µm diameter beam.
JEOL software using ZAF corrections was used. In this study, Fe$^{3+}$ content of clinopyroxenes and the mole proportions of jadeite-, aegirine- and augite-end-member components were calculated after Matsumoto and Hirajima (2005) as follows. The Fe$^{3+}$ was estimated using Fe$^{3+}$ = Na – Al (where Al = Al$^{\text{total}}$ if Si = >2 on the basis of O = 6, or Al = Al$^{\text{total}}$ – (2-Si) if Si < 2). The enstatite and ferrosilite components were ignored. $X_{\text{Jd}}$, $X_{\text{Aeg}}$ and $X_{\text{Aug}}$ were calculated as mole % of $\text{Al}^{\text{VI}}/\text{(Na + Ca)}$, Fe$^{3+}/\text{(Na + Ca)}$ and Ca/(Na + Ca), respectively.

Trace element compositions (Li, Sc, Ti, V, Cr, Nb, Ba, selected rare earth elements (REE), Hf and (Pb) were analyzed by laser ablation (193 nm ArF excimer: MicroLas GeoLas Q-plus)-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (Agilent 7500S) (LA-ICP-MS) at the Incubation Business Laboratory Center of Kanazawa University (Ishida et al. 2004). Each analysis was carried out by ablating 30 µm, at 5 Hz. The NIST SRM 612 glass was used as the primary calibration standard and was analyzed at the beginning of each batch of fewer than eight unknown analyses, with a linear drift correction applied between each calibration. The element concentration of NIST SRM 612 for the calibration was selected from the preferred values of Pearce et al. (1997). Data reduction was facilitated using $^{29}\text{Si}$ as an internal standard element, based on SiO$_2$ contents obtained by EPMA analysis, and followed a protocol essentially identical to that outlined by Longerich et al. (1996). Details of the analytical method and data quality for EPMA and LA-ICP-MS system at Kanazawa University were described in Morishita (2005) and Morishita et al. (2005a,b), respectively.

RESULTS

CHEMICAL ZONING IN MAJOR- AND TRACE-ELEMENT COMPOSITIONS IN JADEITE

Harlow and Sorensen (2004) suggested that jadeite in jadeitites are cryptically to rhythmically zoned based on the cathodoluminescence imaging of many jadeite samples collected from around the world, including the studied area. Sorensen et al. (2003) reported chemical zoning in trace elements in jadeite from Guatemalan jadeitites. In fact, Morishita (2005) reported a Ca-rich rind (less than a few µm in width) in a jadeite from the pale green to blue area in the Lavender-Jade. X-ray intensity maps of elements in the studied samples using EPMA revealed that jadeites, particularly the Lavender-Jade, are irregularly zoned in major and minor elements (Fig. 6). Furthermore signal intensity of some elements, particularly high field strength elements (HFSE), Ba, Sr and light rare earth elements (LREE), in jadeite were sometimes significantly changed during laser sampling. Some of the elements demonstrated fluctuations not correlating with those of other elements (Fig. 7). No visible inclusions were observed in any
of the ablated spots. The cylindrical pits attainable with the laser-sampling suggest that it could be used to bore through grains and thereby produce vertical chemical profiles. Therefore, the variations in trace-element signal intensities observed during laser sampling were probably caused by chemical zoning within each grain rather than ablating unrecognized phases (Jackson et al. 1992). In this study, chemical zoning of trace elements in jadeite was not discussed because of limitations in spatial resolution of LA-ICP-MS analyses (30 µm in pit diameter × 30 µm in depth). Trace element compositions of jadeite we present here represent average compositions of each analytical volume in a jadeite grain with irregular chemical zoning. Representative analyses of major element and trace element compositions of jadeite and omphacite are shown in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively.

**LAVENDER-JADE**

Jadeite in the Lavender-Jade is nearly pure jadeite (X_{Jd} = 95–99) but is slightly different in CaO and TiO₂ contents, corresponding to the differences in colors (Morishita 2005) (Table 1). The CaO contents in jadeite from the pale green to blue area (0.1–0.8 wt%) are slightly higher than those from other areas (<0.1 wt%). The TiO₂ content in jadeite is higher in the purple area (0.3–0.7 wt%) than in other areas (<0.3 wt%). The minute size (less than a few µm) of the Ca-rich rind of jadeite in the pale green to blue areas renders analysis difficult, and as such chemical compositions have never been determined (Morishita 2005).

Trace element compositions of jadeite also vary in abundance corresponding to the differences in colors, but show similar chondrite- and primitive mantle-normalized patterns among grains.
Trace elements in jadeite tend to be more abundant in the purple area than in other areas (Figs 8, 9). LREE contents in the Lavender-Jade are high compared to middle rare earth element (MREE) to heavy rare earth element (HREE) (+Y) which are usually lower than the detection limit of the analyses (0.02–0.1 p.p.m.: Table 1). Chondrite-normalized REE pattern of jadeite in the Lavender-Jade is characterized by high LREE/HREE ratio and has no apparent positive Eu anomaly (Fig. 8). The Cr content, which is a good indicator of contributions from surrounding peridotites in serpentinite-matrix mélanges, is lower than the detection limit (less than 5–7 p.p.m.). Abundances of Sr, Ba and Li, which are fluid-mobile elements, are 10–110 p.p.m., 2–13 p.p.m and 5–15 p.p.m., respectively. However, Pb content, which is expected to be abundant in subduction-related fluids, is lower than the detection limit (less than 0.3 p.p.m.). A primitive mantle-normalized trace element pattern of jadeite is characterized by strong positive anomalies of HFSE (Fig. 9). It is interesting to note that the primitive mantle-normalized trace element patterns of jadeites from the white and pale green to blue areas also show strong positive anomalies of HFSE, although HFSE-rich minor minerals are not found near the analyzing spot. Trace-element characteristics of jadeite are similar to those in Guatemalan jadeitites high in Li.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Lavender-colored jadeite</th>
<th>Pale green to blue jadeite</th>
<th>Green-omphacite jadeite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 11)</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>(n = 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>59.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO⁺</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>&lt;0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>100.3</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>100.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers of cations on the basis of O = 6

N, numbers of analyses; SD, standard deviation, FeO⁺ and Fe⁺ = total Fe.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anal</th>
<th>Lavender-jade Purple</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Green-blue Jadeite</th>
<th>Green-omph-jade</th>
<th>Omphacite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>&lt;2100</td>
<td>&lt;2000</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>6300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>4200</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>3100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>&lt;6</td>
<td>&lt;6</td>
<td>&lt;7</td>
<td>&lt;6</td>
<td>&lt;6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.07</td>
<td>&lt;0.07</td>
<td>&lt;0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>17.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anal, analytical point name.
Rb, Be, REE, Zr and Ti (Sorensen et al. 2003). Li content of jadeite in the Lavender-Jade ranges from 4 to 14 p.p.m. (Fig. 10, Table 2).

GREEN-OMPH-JADE

Jadeite in the Green-Omph-Jade is also nearly pure jadeite ($X_{jd} = 98$) (Table 1). The CaO and TiO$_2$ contents in jadeite are less than 1.0 wt% and less than 0.1 wt%, respectively. Omphacite shows slight differences in major-element compositions between omphacite aggregates and discrete grains (Table 1). Omphacite in omphacite aggregates is relatively homogeneous in major-element compositions ($X_{jd} = 48 \pm 3$, $X_{Aeg} = 49 \pm 3$ and $X_{Aug} = 3 \pm 1$) whereas omphacite in jadeite-dominant areas tends to be high in Na$_2$O and FeO$^{total}$ contents, $X_{jd}$ (50–54) and $X_{Aeg}$ (4–11). The TiO$_2$ and Cr$_2$O$_3$ contents of omphacite are lower than the detection limit of the analysis (<0.04 wt%).

Abundance of trace elements in jadeite in the Green-Omph-Jade is low compared with those in the Lavender-Jade (Figs 8,9). Jadeite in the Green-Omph-Jade is usually low in REE contents, typically lower than the detection limit of the analysis (<0.02–0.1 p.p.m.) (Fig. 8), but still contains detectable amounts of some large-ion lithophile elements (LILE) (Ba, Sr) and HFSE (Nb, Zr) (Fig. 9). It is noted that Li content of jadeite is high ($ca$ 30 p.p.m.) relative to those of omphacite (14–17 p.p.m.) and jadeite in the Lavender-Jade (Fig. 10) (Table 2). Due to its minute size, omphacite existing as discrete grains in the jadeite-dominant matrix has never been analyzed. Omphacite in omphacite aggregates is only discussed in terms of its trace-element content hereafter. Omphacite is more abundant in trace-element contents than jadeite, except for Li. Chondrite-normalized REE patterns of omphacite show a
Leeman (Moriguchi & Nakamura 1998; Chan 2004); eclogites (whole-rock, altered MORB (H17003) serpentinized abyssal peridotites (basalt (MORB) (H17005)). The text mentions the study of jadeitites, which are shown in the diagram. The text discusses the formation of jadeite in high-pressure metamorphism (Fig. 1). The systematic absence of quartz coexisting with jadeite in the studied jadeitites, however, precludes formation by this reaction in a closed system. The protolith texture or mineralogy cannot be recognized in the studied jadeitites. Jadeite in the studied jadeitites is characterized in trace elements by enrichment of LILE and HFSE relative to HREE. Geochemical characteristics of jadeite are not directly consistent with those expected for feldspars. Jadeite in the studied sample is heterogeneous in chemical compositions and could possibly show cryptic trace element zoning within each grain (Fig. 5). Some jadeites have euhedral shapes and are randomly oriented in matrix phases (Fig. 4c), indicating a low degree of plastic deformation. These lines of evidence favor that the jadeitites were directly crystallized from aqueous fluids, as suggested by Harlow and Sorensen (2001, 2004). Miyajima et al. (1999, 2001, 2002) and Morishita (2005) suggested that Sr-Ba-rich minerals were formed from residual fluids after the formation of jadeite because Sr and Ba are incompatible with clinopyroxene (Green & Adam 2003).

Recently Shigeno et al. (2005) reported the presence of quartz inclusions in the core of jadeite in some jadeitites from the Nishisonogi metamorphic rocks, Japan. However, they also reported quartz-free margins in the same jadeite grain. They suggested that the core of jadeite was formed by the isochemical reaction, albite = jadeite + quartz, at high P-T conditions. On the other hand, the quartz-free margin of the jadeite was interpreted as being formed by removal of the SiO2 component as an aqueous silica species in the intergranular fluid during the reaction. We cannot completely exclude the possibility that the studied jadeitites were formed by metamorphism coupled with complete metasomatic modification of the precursor rocks by fluids. Even in this case, however, geochemical characteristics of the studied sample should be strongly affected by chemical compositions of fluids passing through it.

Estimation of P-T conditions for the formation of the jadeitites is critical to the interpretations of jadeite petrogenesis. Jadeite lacking quartz requires only $P > 0.5–0.6$ GPa to form (Harlow 1994; Harlow & Sorensen 2004) (Fig. 1). Jadeite-analcime relationships in the Lavender-Jade provide information on pressure and temperature. Analcime is closely associated with Ti-rich jadeite in a lavender-colored area of the Lavender-Jade, although it is not common in the other areas. A reaction, jadeite + H2O = analcime is a relatively temperature-insensitive reaction (0.6–0.8 GPa at 300–450°C) (Fig. 1). Prehnite coexists with jadeite in a pale green to blue area of the Lavender-Jade. Prehnite is common in low-grade metamorphic rocks (<350°C) (Frey et al. 1991; Spear 1993;
The presence of excess chlorite does not exceed 0.45 GPa for an average metabasite. Therefore, these results are not directly attributed to the studied jadeite. The stability field of prehnite is limited to 350°C at a pressure of approximately 0.6 GPa and T less than or equal to 400°C although the solvus has never been constrained well enough to interpret the chemical gap between jadeite and omphacite. Studies of fluid inclusions and oxygen isotopes in some jadeitites from different localities have generally yielded temperatures of 250–400°C (Johnson & Harlow 1999; Shi et al. 2003, 2005a,b; Sorensen et al. 2003). Morishita (2005) suggested that barian feldspar in the Lavender-Jade was formed at a pressure of approximately 0.6 GPa and a temperature of less than 350°C (Fig. 1). Because barian feldspars appear in the interstitial phase and sometimes cut jadeite, they apparently developed after the formation of jadeite. In conclusion, P-T conditions recorded in the studied jadeitites are P = ca 0.6 GPa and T less than or equal to 400°C, i.e. a high-P-T regime, consistent with a subduction zone setting.

FLUID PROCESSES RELATED TO THE FORMATION OF THE STUDIED JADEITITES

Geochemical characteristics of jadeite are demonstrated to be high in LILE and HFSE, and are expected to reflect fluid compositions related to the formation of the jadeitites as suggested above. Strontium and Ba (i.e. LILE) are generally thought to be fluid-mobile element, whereas mobility of HFSE is considered to be very low in aqueous fluids. It should be emphasized that jadeite (+omphacite) located far from titanite aggregate and the Green-Omph-Jade are also enriched in HFSE. Some minor Sr- and Ba-rich minerals in jadeitites from the studied area are enriched in HFSE (Miyajima et al. 2001, 2002). In addition, Kunugiza et al. (2002) examined the cathode luminescence (CL) image and trace-element compositions of zircons in jadeitites collected from the studied area and suggested that zircons were formed from fluids, rather than from the physical introduction of zircons into jadeitites from the country rocks. We concluded that the fluids related to the formation of jadeitite had unique geochemical characteristics enriched in both LILE and HFSE.

Several field studies demonstrate that HFSE-rich minerals, such as rutile and zircons, were crystallized from fluids, indicating that HFSE is somewhat mobile in certain environments (Van Baalen 1993; Vard & Williams-Jones 1993; Rubatto et al. 1998, 1999; Beard & Hopkinson 2000; Dubińska et al. 2004; Carswell & van Roermund 2005). The very high pH conditions of fluids are a plausible reason for high concentrations of HFSE in fluids (Vard & Williams-Jones 1993; Dubińska et al. 2004). Dubińska et al. (2004) reported many zircons of hydrothermal origin in rodingite (Sudetic ophiolite), which is a Ca-rich, SiO2-under-saturated rock formed by Ca-metasomatism of silicate rocks accompanying serpentinization. High pH is typical of fluids derived from serpenitized ultramafic rocks (Barnes et al. 1967, 1978; Barnes & O’Neil 1969; Kelley et al. 2001). Jadeite typically occurs as tectonic inclusions in serpentinite-matrix mélanges (Harlow & Sorensen 2004). The estimated P-T conditions for the studied jadeitites are also consistent with those for the serpentinite hosts in the studied area (Tsujimori 2004). Johnson and Harlow (1999) examined O and H isotope compositions of jadeitites (and albitites) from the Motagua Valley, Guatemala, and suggested that metasomatic fluids related to the formation of the jadeitites were derived from serpentinization reactions in peridotites. Therefore, serpentinization is probably an important process for the production of fluid related to the formation of jadeitite in subduction environments.

A plausible explanation for the formation of the jadeitites is as follows. At the initial stage of subduction the mantle wedge peridotites just above the subducting slab will be pervasively serpentinized by LILE-H2O-rich fluid, supplied by the subducting slab. Widespread metasomatism may occur along the boundary between the mantle wedge and subducting slab, where serpentinite is expected to be incorporated into the subducting plate (Maekawa et al. 2004) (Fig. 11). A specific fluid potential for the formation of jadeitite is generated at this time and place. If this fluid continues to circulate into the subducting slab, it dissolves...
elements including HFSE from the country rock (subducting crustal rocks) and transports them, at least, over short distances (Fig. 11). Highly variable trace-element abundances in jadeite within a sample, as well as among the samples, suggest that diverse fluid compositions are recorded by different jadeitite blocks in the same geological unit. Fluids involved in jadeitite crystallization are possibly derived from various sources and/or various degrees of water–rock interaction in the subduction system.

Omphacite shows LREE enrichment and positive anomalies of Sr and Eu in a primitive mantle-normalized diagram. Metamorphic minerals, having positive anomalies of Eu and Sr, can be interpreted as resulting from plagioclase recrystallization reactions. It is, however, noted that the omphacite is also characterized by a strong positive HFSE anomaly (Fig. 9). Although, general trace-element characteristics of albite are not clear, igneous plagioclase usually shows strong depletion of HFSE (Cortesogno et al. 2000). There is direct evidence that hydrothermal fluids in the serpentinized peridotite-hosted Rainbow hydrothermal system at 36°N on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge is characterized by LREE enrichment and positive Eu anomaly (Douville et al. 2002). Furthermore, LREE enrichment and positive Eu anomalies observed in fluids from the experiment involving serpentinized peridotite and are remarkably similar to patterns of REE mobility in vent fluids issuing from basalt- and serpentinized peridotite-hosted hydrothermal systems (Allen & Seyfried 2005). Irrespective of differences in P-T conditions and tectonic settings, these data are not inconsistent with the hypothesis that the studied jadeitites were formed by hydrothermal fluids related to serpentinization in subduction zones.

SIGNIFICANCE OF JADEITE FOR ELEMENTAL FRACTIONATION IN SUBDUCTION ZONES

Trace-element compositions of jadeite (+omphacite) in this study indicate that jadeitites are a unique geochemical reservoir for several elements in the subduction zone. Subduction zone magmas are generally characterized by depletion of HFSE with respect to REE of similar incompatibility (McCulloch & Gamble 1991; Tatsumi & Eggins 1995; Elliott et al. 1997). The relative HFSE depletion in subduction zone magmas has been attributed to processes of mineralogical retention. For example, rutile serves an important role to retain HFSE in subduction zone eclogites (i.e. a subducted slab) (Rudnick et al. 2000). In this case, a HFSE-depleted metasomatizing agent is expected to be transported to the overlying mantle wedge (Brenan et al. 1995; Stalder et al. 1998; Foley et al. 2000; Rudnick et al. 2000). Our results indicate that HFSE are circulated as solute in subduction zone-related fluids, at least in specific P-T conditions suitable for the formation of jadeitites. Furthermore, jadeite (+omphacite) incorporates HFSE relative to REE. These results suggest that residual fluids after formation of jadeitites are depleted in HFSE relative to REE. Although jadeitite is an uncommon rock type on the surface of the earth, it would be widely formed in subduction zones, particularly where old (cold) slabs are subducting. We may need further discussions concerning fluid–rock interactions on fractionation of HFSE relative...
to REE in subduction zones. There is now abundant evidence for a progressive release of Li from the subducting slab at subduction zones because Li is highly soluble in aqueous fluids (You et al. 1996; Moriguchi & Nakamura 1998; Seyfried et al. 1998; Zack et al. 2003). Furthermore, large isotopic fractions in the $^{7}\text{Li}/^{6}\text{Li}$ ratio of natural samples is expected during low-temperature reactions with water (Moriguchi & Nakamura 1998; Chan et al. 1996; Moriguchi & Nakamura 1998; Seyfried et al. 2002; Zack et al. 2003; Leeman et al. 2004; Nishio et al. 2004). Hence, Li isotopes have become a great potential tool for understanding fluid processes at subduction zones and slab input, via fluids, to the mantle wedge. Data of Li compositions of jadeitites are, however, scarce thus far.

Because jadeitites principally consist of jadeite, bulk Li contents of the studied jadeitites can be thought to be considered roughly the same as those of constituent jadeites. These values can be compared with published data on Li contents of variable rock types. The altered basalt, upper part of subducting slabs, collected from Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Hole 504B near the Costa Rica Rift is enriched in Li (5.6–27.3 p.p.m.) and have heavy isotopic compositions relative to fresh mid-ocean ridge basalt (MORB) (Chan et al. 2002) (Fig. 10). Li contents of the studied jadeitites fall into the middle to low range of those in altered MORB (Chan et al. 2002). On the other hand, studies on eclogites of high-pressure metamorphic oceanic crusts suggest a progressive loss of Li by subduction-related dehydration (Zack et al. 2003), although some eclogites still retain significant amounts of Li particularly in their clinopyroxenes (Woodland et al. 2002). Li contents of the studied jadeite overlap the middle to high range of clinopyroxene from eclogites-eclogititic rocks of high-pressure metamorphic oceanic crusts (Zack et al. 2003) (Fig. 10). Jadeitites are therefore a significant sink for Li in subduction zones.

Zack et al. (2003) speculated that heavy Li is selectively released into the forearc mantle wedge in subduction zones. Simon et al. (2004) measured Li isotopic composition on Guatemalan jadeitites, characterized by high Li concentrations and reported their low δ $^{7}\text{Li}$ values (0 to −4). Decitre et al. (2002) examined behavior of Li during serpentinization of abyssal peridotites collected from the southwest Indian Ridge. They suggested that serpentine preferentially incorporates $^{6}\text{Li}$. It is thus obvious that Li cycling in subduction zones is still not fully understood. Further systematic Li isotope analyses of jadeitites, as well as their host serpentinites, are required to fully understand quantitative element fractionation due to fluid circulation in subduction zones.

CONCLUSIONS

This study determined trace-element compositions of jadeite (±omphacite) in a lavender-colored jadeitite and green-colored omphacite-bearing jadeitite from the Itoigawa-Ohmi district of Japan, using an LA-ICP-MS technique. The origin of the jadeitites was discussed in the context of fluid-assisted element circulation in subduction zones. The results are summarized below.

1. Trace-element compositions of jadeite show chemical zoning within individual grains, and show variations within each sample and between samples.

2. Primitive mantle-normalized patterns of jadeite in the samples are generally demonstrated to be high in LILE, have high LREE/HREE ratio and positive HFSE anomaly.

3. Textures and mineralogy in the studied jadeitites suggest that the jadeitites were formed as direct precipitation of minerals from aqueous fluids or complete metasomatic modification of the precursor rocks by fluids.

4. The specific fluids responsible for the formation of jadeitites are enriched in both LILE and HFSE. The fluids are related to serpentinization by slab-derived fluids in subduction zones. This process is followed by dissolving HFSE in the subducting crust as the fluids continue to circulate into the subducting crusts and serpentinized peridotites.
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