AtNFXL1, an Arabidopsis homologue of the human transcription factor NF-X1, functions as a negative regulator of the trichothecene phytotoxin-induced defense response

著者	Asano Tomoya, Masuda Daisuke, Yasuda Michiko, Nakashita Hideo, Kudo Toshiaki, Kimura Makoto, Yamaguchi Kazuo, Nishiuchi Takumi
著者別表示	浅野 智哉, 山口 和男, 西内 巧
journal or publication title	Plant Journal
volume	53
number	3
page range	450-464
year	2008-02-01
URL	http://doi.org/10.24517/00028405

doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03353.x

the plant journal

***AtNFXL1*, an *Arabidopsis* homologue of the human transcription factor NF-X1, functions as a negative regulator of the trichothecene phytotoxin-induced defense response.**

Journal:	<i>The Plant Journal</i>
Manuscript ID:	TPJ-00751-2007.R1
Manuscript Type:	Full Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Asano, Tomoya; Kanazawa University, Advanced Science Research Center Masuda, Daisuke; Kanazawa University, ASRC Yasuda, Michiko; RIKEN, Environmental Molecular Biology Laboratory Nakashita, Hideo; RIKEN, Environmental Molecular Biology Laboratory Kudo, Toshiaki; RIKEN, Environmental Molecular Biology Laboratory Kimura, Makoto; RIKEN, Discovery Research Institute (DRI), Plant & Microbial Metabolic Engineering Research Unit Yamaguchi, Kazuo; Kanazawa University, ASRC Nishiuchi, Takumi; Kanazawa university, ASRC
Key Words:	trichothecene, phytotoxin, microarray, transcription factor, Fusarium, defense response, SA biosynthesis

***AtNFXL1*, an *Arabidopsis* homologue of the human transcription factor NF-X1, functions as a negative regulator of the trichothecene phytotoxin-induced defense response.**

Tomoya Asano^{1, 2}, Daisuke Masuda¹, Michiko Yasuda³, Hideo Nakashita³, Toshiaki Kudo³, Makoto Kimura⁴, Kazuo Yamaguchi^{1, 5} and *Takumi Nishiuchi^{1, 5}

¹Division of Functional Genomics, Advanced Science Research Center, Kanazawa University, 13-1 Takaramachi, Kanazawa 920-0934, Japan; ²Shigeta Animal Pharmaceuticals Inc., 4569-1, Komoridani, Oyabe City, Toyama Prefecture, 932-0133 Japan; ³Environmental Molecular Biology Laboratory, RIKEN, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan; ⁴Plant & Microbial Metabolic Engineering Research Unit, Discovery Research Institute (DRI), RIKEN, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan; ⁵Division of Life Science, Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan

*Author for correspondence (e-mail: tnish9@kenroku.kanazawa-u.ac.jp)

total word count: **7,134**

Running title: Trichothecene-inducible *AtNFXL1* gene

key words: phytotoxin, trichothecene, transcription factor, defense response

CONFIDENTIAL

Abstract

Trichothecenes are a closely related family of phytotoxins produced by phytopathogenic fungi. In *Arabidopsis*, expression of *AtNFXL1*, a homologue of the putative human transcription repressor *NF-X1*, was significantly induced by application of type A trichothecenes, such as T-2 toxin. An *atnfxl1* mutant growing on medium lacking trichothecenes showed no phenotype, whereas a hypersensitivity phenotype was observed in T-2 toxin-treated *atnfxl1* mutant plants. Microarray analysis indicated that several defense-related genes (i.e. *WRKYs*, *NBS-LRRs*, *EDS5*, *ICS1*, etc.) were upregulated in T-2 toxin-treated *atnfxl1* mutant compared to wild type plants. In addition, enhanced salicylic acid (SA) accumulation was observed in T-2 toxin-treated *atnfxl1* mutant plants, which suggests that *AtNFXL1* functions as a negative regulator of these defense-related genes via an SA-dependent signaling pathway. We also found that expression of *AtNFXL1* was induced by SA and flg22 treatment. Moreover, the *atnfxl1* mutant was less susceptible to a compatible phytopathogen, *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato strain DC3000 (*Pst* DC3000). Taken together, these results indicate

that *AtNFXL1* plays an important role in the trichothecene response, as well as the general defense response in *Arabidopsis*.

CONFIDENTIAL

Introduction

Trichothecenes are a major type of mycotoxin, and are important in human health due to the risk of ingesting contaminated food (Kimura *et al.*, 2006). Phytopathogenic fungi capable of producing trichothecenes are found throughout the world, and include certain species of *Fusarium*, *Myrotherium* and *Stachybotrys* (Eudes *et al.*, 2001). The production of mycotoxins by these species of phytopathogenic fungi is determined by genetic factors and environmental growth conditions. Trichothecenes have a sesquiterpenoid ring structure, and can be classified according to the presence or absence of characteristic functional groups (Shifrin and Anderson, 1999). Type A trichothecenes, such as T-2 toxin, and type B trichothecenes, such as deoxynivalenol (DON), are natural contaminants of certain agricultural commodities, as well as commercial foods (Sudakin, 2003). Among the trichothecenes, type A trichothecenes are highly toxic at low concentrations.

Trichothecenes inhibit peptidyltransferase activity in eukaryotic cells by binding to the 60S ribosomal subunit. The antiproliferative activity of trichothecenes is presumed to be a consequence of their ability to inhibit protein synthesis (Shifrin and

Anderson, 1999). Thus, trichothecenes also function as phytotoxins. Specific disruption of a trichothecene synthase gene (*Tri5*) in *F. graminearum* resulted in a strain that was less virulent in the infection of wheat compared to wild type strains (Desjardins *et al.*, 2000). For this reason, Desjardins *et al.* have suggested that in certain *Fusarium* species, trichothecenes act as virulence factors in the infection of plants (Desjardins *et al.*, 2000). Trichothecene-producing *Fusarium* species have strain-specific trichothecene metabolite profiles (Ward *et al.*, 2002), and these trichothecene chemotypes are also believed to play a role in the virulence of individual strains of *Fusarium*.

Recently, we reported that type A trichothecenes, such as T-2 toxin, have an elicitor-like activity in *Arabidopsis thaliana* at a concentration of 1 μ M (Nishiuchi *et al.*, 2006). Type A trichothecene-inducible lesions were also formed in SA-, jasmonic acid (JA)- and ethylene (ET)-mutants, and in SA-deficient *NahG* transgenic plants (Nishiuchi *et al.*, 2006). These results implied that T-2 toxin-induced cell death has little to do with these host defense pathways; rather, the toxin contributes directly to the virulence of necrotrophic phytopathogens. In contrast to T-2 toxin, 10 μ M DON inhibited protein translation in *Arabidopsis* cells, whereas it failed to activate the

elicitor-like signaling pathway (Nishiuchi *et al.*, 2006), which suggests that *Fusarium* utilizes DON as a non-defense-inducing translational inhibitor during the spread of disease in host plants (Bai *et al.*, 2001). Thus, the role of type B trichothecenes in virulence might be different from that of type A trichothecenes. Urban *et al.* reported that the DON-producing, wheat-attacking fungal pathogens *F. graminearum* and *F. culmorum* can infect the flowers of *Arabidopsis* contaminated with DON (Urban *et al.*, 2002).

We recently reported that *AtNFXL1* is upregulated in T-2 toxin-treated *Arabidopsis* (Masuda *et al.*, 2007). *AtNFXL1* encodes a putative transcription factor with similarity to the human transcription repressor NF-X1 (Lisso *et al.*, 2006). Human NF-X1 was identified as a binding factor for the conserved X1 box regulatory element in the proximal promoters of class II *MHC* genes, and contains a nuclear localization signal (NLS), a RING-CH finger domain, several NF-X1-type zinc (Zn) finger domains, and an R3H domain (Song *et al.*, 1994). Song *et al.* suggested that NF-X1 is involved in regulating disease states by suppressing the expression of class II *MHC* genes (Song *et al.*, 1994). The RING-CH finger domain is implicated in the targeting of proteins for

ubiquitination (Lorick *et al.*, 1999). The yeast *NF-X1* homologue, *FAP1*, was identified in a genetic screen for suppressors of rapamycin toxicity (Kunz *et al.*, 2000). *FAP1* interacted physically with a FK506-binding protein 12 (FKBP12) *in vivo* and *in vitro*, and suppressed the cytotoxic effects of rapamycin (Kunz *et al.*, 2000). Strombakis *et al.* suggested that the *Drosophila NF-X1* homologue, *shuttle craft (stc)*, is essential for embryogenesis by regulating the activity of a subset of genes that play a role in either the guidance or spatial maintenance of axon tracts (Strombakis *et al.*, 1996). Taken together, these results suggest that the NF-X1 family of proteins has unique functions in different organisms.

In this paper, we demonstrated that *atnfxl1* mutant plants exhibit a hypersensitivity phenotype to a type A trichothecene, T-2 toxin. Microarray analysis revealed that many defense-related genes are upregulated in the *atnfxl1* mutant in the presence of trichothecenes, compared to wild type plants. High levels of SA accumulated in T-2 toxin-treated *atnfxl1* mutant plants compared to wild type plants, which suggests that *AtNFXL1* functions as a negative regulator of defense-related genes via an SA-dependent signaling pathway. In addition, we found that the expression of *AtNFXL1*

is induced by application of SA. Moreover, the *atnfxl1* mutant was less susceptible to the compatible phytopathogen *Pst* DC3000. Thus, *AtNFXL1* also appears to play an important role in the defense response to compatible phytopathogens in *Arabidopsis*.

Results

AtNFXL1 belongs to the NF-X1 family of proteins

Based on its predicted amino acid sequence, *AtNFXL1* encoded a protein with a molecular weight of 130 kDa that has similarity to the human transcription repressor NF-X1 (Supplemental Figures 1a and b). *AtNFXL1* contains several functional regions and domains, including an NLS, a RING-CH finger domain, and nine NF-X1-type Zn finger domains (Supplemental Figure 1a). These domains are also conserved in *Oryza sativa* OsNF-X1, *Homo sapiens* NF-X1, *Drosophila melanogaster* STC, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* FAP1. The R3H domain, which is involved in binding of single stranded RNA, is present only in NF-X1 family proteins of non-plant eukaryotes (Supplemental Figure 1a). Phylogenetic analysis indicated that plant NF-X1-like proteins are more closely related to human NF-X1 than to FAP1 or STC (Supplemental

Figure 1b). *AtNFXL1* contains an intron in its 5'UTR (data not shown). The NF-X1-type Zn finger domains are unique motifs, and the Zn finger repeats are conserved in *AtNFXL1* (Supplemental Figure 1c). It has been reported that a green fluorescent protein (GFP)-*AtNFXL1* fusion protein localizes to the nucleus in onion epidermal cells (Lisso et al., 2006). We also examined the localization of a GFP-*AtNFXL1* fusion protein in *Arabidopsis*, and found that GFP-*AtNFXL1* localizes to the nucleus in *Arabidopsis* T87 suspension cultured cells (Supplemental Figure 2).

The *atnfxl1* mutant displays a hypersensitivity phenotype to the type A trichothecene, T-2 toxin.

We recently demonstrated that *AtNFXL1* is a trichothecene-inducible gene (Masuda et al., 2007). To determine the function of *AtNFXL1*, we investigated the trichothecene response of *atnfxl1* (*atnfxl1-1*) mutant plants. The *atnfxl1-1* mutant was generated by transferred-DNA (T-DNA) insertion at position +2,082 (relative to the first basepair of the initiation codon at +1) of the open reading frame of *AtNFXL1* (Munich Information Center for Protein Sequence designation At1g10170), as previously described (Figure

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 1a; Lisso *et al.*, 2007). In wild type plants, *AtNFXL1* was weakly expressed in the
8
9
10 absence of T-2 toxin, whereas it was induced by 1 μ M T-2 toxin treatment, as previously
11
12
13 reported (Figure 1b; Masuda *et al.*, 2007). In the *atnfxl1* mutant, we observed a
14
15
16 truncated transcript of *AtNFXL1* (Figure 1b). The deduced amino acid sequence of the
17
18
19 truncated mRNA in the *atnfxl1* mutant lacked two of the nine NF-X1-type Zn finger
20
21
22 domains. Therefore, it is likely that the truncated form of *atnfxl1* mRNA in mutant
23
24
25 plants does not encode a functional protein. The *atnfxl1* mutant exhibited no apparent
26
27
28 phenotype on MS agar medium alone (without trichothecene) compared to wild type
29
30
31 plants (Figures 1c and 1d). In addition, general phenotypes, such as growth rate, organ
32
33
34 development, and morphology of untreated *atnfxl1* mutant were similar to wild type
35
36
37 plants (data not shown). In contrast, *atnfxl1* mutant exhibited a severe growth defect on
38
39
40 MS medium containing 0.1 μ M T-2 toxin (Figures 1c and 1d). As previously reported
41
42
43 (Masuda *et al.*, 2007), cell death was not induced when seedlings were transferred to
44
45
46 0.1-1 μ M T-2 toxin-containing medium. The T2 segregation ratio of the
47
48
49 toxin-hypersensitivity phenotype was nearly 1:3 in self-pollinated offspring of
50
51
52 heterozygous *atnfxl1* plants, which indicated that the mutation was inherited as a single
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 recessive trait. As shown in Figure 1d, the growth defects of DON-treated *atnfxl1*
8
9
10 mutant were similar to DON-treated wild type plants.
11
12

13
14 To determine whether the T-2 toxin-sensitive phenotype of *atnfxl1* mutant
15
16
17 plants was due to a defect in *AtNFXL1*, we carried out a complementation analysis.
18
19
20 Introduction of a complementation plasmid containing the promoter and the coding
21
22
23 sequence of *AtNFXL1* (*AtNFXL1 promoter::AtNFXL1*, see Experimental Procedures)
24
25
26 into *atnfxl1* mutant plants clearly rescued the hypersensitivity phenotype in the presence
27
28
29 of 0.1 μ M T-2 toxin in 7 of 8 plant lines (Figures 1c and 1d). These results demonstrated
30
31
32 that the hypersensitivity to T-2 toxin of *atnfxl1* mutant plants was due to a defect in
33
34
35 *AtNFXL1*.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 **Defense-related genes are upregulated in trichothecene-treated *atnfxl1* mutant**
46
47
48 **plants.**
49

50
51 We performed a transcriptome analysis of approximately 14,880 genes to obtain the
52
53
54 expression profiles of putative *AtNFXL1*-regulated genes. This analysis was carried out
55
56
57 using two independent wild-type plants, and two independent mutant plant lines. As
58
59
60

seen in Figure 1b, *atnfxl1* mutant plants displayed no visible phenotype in the absence of trichothecenes. In accordance with this result, none of the genes we examined were upregulated more than 3-fold in *atnfxl1* mutant plants compared to wild type plants in the absence of trichothecenes (data not shown). A single gene was down-regulated greater than 3-fold in *atnfxl1* mutant plants compared to wild type plants (data not shown). These results indicated that in the absence of trichothecenes, *AtNFXL1* has a minor effect on the global regulation of gene expression.

In contrast, in 1 μ M T-2 toxin-treated *atnfxl1* mutant plants, 130 genes were upregulated greater than 3-fold compared to T-2 toxin-treated wild type plants (Table 1). As seen in Table 1, 18 of the upregulated genes were putative transcriptional regulators. In particular, 8 *WRKY* family genes were upregulated in T-2 toxin-treated *atnfxl1* mutant plants. *WRKY* transcription factors play pivotal roles in the plant defense response (Eulgem *et al.*, 2000), and expression of some *WRKY* family genes confers enhanced disease resistance in *Arabidopsis* and tobacco (Asai *et al.*, 2002; Liu *et al.*, 2004; Chen and Chen, 2002).

The largest category of putative *AtNFXL1*-regulated genes (28 genes) encoded

cellular communication and signal transduction factors (Table 1). This category included 9 genes that encode serine/threonine protein kinases, including a Pto-like kinase, and 7 genes that encode receptor-like protein kinases, which suggests that these genes function as components of *AtNFXL1*-regulated defense signaling pathways. Several defense-related genes also appeared to be regulated by *AtNFXL1*, including 5 genes that encode disease resistance proteins, as well as *EDS5* and *ICS1*. *EDS5* was identified as an essential component of SA-dependent signaling in resistance to *Pst* DC3000 in *Arabidopsis* (Nawrath *et al.*, 2002). *ICS1* encodes an isochorismate synthase, and is required for biosynthesis of SA (Wildermuth *et al.*, 2001). These results suggested that *AtNFXL1* is involved in SA-dependent defense signaling pathways in trichothecene-treated *Arabidopsis*.

Table 2 lists the genes that were down-regulated greater than 3-fold in T-2 toxin-treated *atnfxl1* mutant plants compared to wild type plants. The list of genes included *LHCB2-4*, which suggests that hyperactivation of the defense response affects the expression of photosynthesis-related genes.

To validate the results of the microarray analysis, we selected 6 genes that

were upregulated, and 1 gene that was down-regulated in T-2 toxin-treated *atnfxl1* mutant plants, and analyzed them by real time PCR. As shown in Table 3, we obtained similar results using real time PCR, although the magnitude of the expression change of some of the genes was greater than what was observed by microarray analysis.

Enhanced SA accumulation in T-2 toxin-treated *atnfxl1* mutant plants.

Microarray analysis revealed that defense-related genes, including genes involved in SA biosynthesis, were upregulated in *atnfxl1* mutant compared to wild type plants. *PR-1* (At2g14160), which is regulated in an SA-dependent manner, was not present on the Agilent *Arabidopsis 1* microarray. When we examined the expression of *PR-1* by RT-PCR, we found that *PR-1* was weakly induced 24 hours (hr) after T-2 toxin treatment in both wild type and *atnfxl1* mutant, as previously described (Masuda et al., 2007). The T-2 toxin-induced expression of *ICS1* was enhanced in *atnfxl1* mutant plants compared to wild type plants (Figure 2a). These results suggested that SA biosynthesis is activated in *atnfxl1* mutant plants. We next measured free and total SA levels in wild type and *atnfxl1* mutant plants in the presence or absence of T-2 toxin. As seen in

Figures 2b and 2c, T-2 toxin-induced SA accumulation was enhanced in *atnfxl1* mutant plants compared to wild type plants. Taken together, these results suggested that enhanced SA accumulation in *atnfxl1* mutant plants leads to the induction of defense-related genes (Table 1).

SA and flg22 activate the transcription of *AtNFXL1*.

To investigate the expression pattern of *AtNFXL1* in more detail, we generated transgenic plants carrying an *AtNFXL1 promoter::β-glucuronidase (GUS)* gene fusion construct. As shown in Figure 3a, in seedlings of *AtNFXL1::GUS* transformants, in the absence of trichothecene, GUS activity was present in the vascular bundle and meristematic tissue. *AtNFXL1* promoter activity was increased up to approximately 18-fold by 0.1 μM T-2 toxin treatment compared to mock (no trichothecene) treatment (Figures 3a, 3b and 3d). Treatment with 2.5 μM DAS induced an 8-fold increase in promoter activity, while treatment with 10 μM DON resulted in a 3-fold induction of promoter activity (Figure 3d). Since *AtNFXL1* is predicted to play a role in defense signaling, including SA-dependent signaling, we also investigated whether other

elicitors and defense-related signals affected the expression of *AtNFXL1*. *AtNFXL1* promoter activity was increased approximately 5-fold by flg22, a peptide elicitor derived from phytopathogenic bacteria (Figure 3d). SA treatment induced an approximate 40-fold increase in GUS activity in *AtNFXL1* promoter::*GUS* transformants (Figure 3a, 3c, and 3d), and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) and methyl jasmonate (MeJA) induced a 2.5-fold and 3.2-fold increase in promoter activity, respectively (Figure 3d). These results suggested that *AtNFXL1* plays a role not only in the action of trichothecenes, but also in the general defense response of *Arabidopsis*.

The *atnfxl1* mutant is less susceptible to *Pst* DC3000.

To determine whether *AtNFXL1* is involved in disease resistance to phytopathogens, wild type and *atnfxl1* mutant plants were inoculated with the compatible pathogen *Pst* DC3000. As shown in Figure 4a, the growth of *Pst* DC3000 in *atnfxl1* mutant plants was slower than in wild type plants, which indicated that *atnfxl1* mutant plants are less susceptible to *Pst* DC3000. The reduced susceptibility to the compatible pathogen *Pst*

DC3000 was not observed after complementation with wild type *AtNFXL1* (Figure 4b).

These results indicated that the reduced susceptibility phenotype of *atnfxl1* mutant is due to a defect in *AtNFXL1*. These results also provided further evidence that *AtNFXL1* functions not only in the trichothecene response, but also in the general defense response in *Arabidopsis*.

Discussion

The action of trichothecenes in host plants can not simply be attributed to general toxicity, such as inhibition of translation. For example, we previously reported that some type A trichothecenes have an elicitor-like activity in infiltrated *Arabidopsis* leaves (Nishiuchi *et al.*, 2006). Both DON and DAS preferentially inhibit root elongation, whereas T-2 toxin-treated seedlings exhibit dwarfism and aberrant morphological changes (Masuda *et al.*, 2007). In contrast, neither feature was observed in seedlings treated with a general translational inhibitor, cycloheximide (CHX). These results indicate that the action of trichothecenes in plants differs significantly according to molecular species, and highlight the importance of examining the site of

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 action of trichothecenes in host plants. In this study, we demonstrated that *AtNFXL1* is
8
9
10 an important regulator of trichothecene action in *Arabidopsis*. Our results may provide a
11
12
13 key to understanding the molecular mechanism of phytotoxic trichothecenes in host
14
15
16
17 plants.

18
19
20 *AtNFXL1* was upregulated not only by type A trichothecenes, but also SA and
21
22
23 flagellin (Figure 3). SA, in particular, drastically induced the expression of *AtNFXL1*.
24
25
26 We identified several putative *AtNFXL1*-regulated genes using microarray analysis,
27
28
29 including many defense-related genes, such as *WRKYs*, *RLKs*, and *NBS-LRRs* (Table 1).
30
31
32 Since these genes are putative regulators of defense signaling pathways in *Arabidopsis*,
33
34
35 it is likely that *AtNFXL1* functions as a component of these pathways, particularly the
36
37
38 SA-dependent signaling pathway. Dong *et al.* reported that many of the *Arabidopsis*
39
40
41 *WRKY* family genes are induced by pathogen-infection and/or SA treatment, including
42
43
44 the putative *AtNFXL1*-regulated *WRKY* genes that we identified in the current study
45
46
47
48 (Dong *et al.*, 2003). Overexpression of *WRKY6* and *WRKY53* results in a dwarfed
49
50
51
52 phenotype in transgenic plants (Robatzek and Somssich, 2002; Ulker and Somssich,
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 2004); thus, upregulation of these two genes in *atnfxl1* mutant plants may contribute to

the severe growth defects of these plants in the presence of type A trichothecenes. *EDS5*, which is an essential component of SA-dependent signaling in resistance to *Pst* DC3000 in *Arabidopsis* (Nawrath *et al.*, 2002), and *ICS1*, which encodes an isochorismate synthase that is required for biosynthesis of SA (Wildermuth *et al.*, 2001), were also upregulated in T-2 toxin-treated *atnfxl1* mutant plants. In fact, *AtNFXL1* appeared to be involved in the negative regulation of SA biosynthesis in response to T-2 toxin (Figures 2b and 2c), and possibly other elicitors and infectious pathogens as well. In this manner, *AtNFXL1* may act to suppress the hyperactivation of defense responses to elicitors or pathogens. In support of this hypothesis, *atnfxl1* mutant plants displayed less susceptibility to the compatible phytopathogen *Pst* DC3000 (Figure 4). The *atnfxl1* mutant could not repress the defense response induced by type A trichothecenes, resulting in severe growth defects in trichothecene-treated *Arabidopsis* seedlings. This phenotype was similar to that of the constitutive defense response mutant *cpr1* (Bowling *et al.*, 1994).

Lisso *et al.* reported that *AtNFXL1* is induced by salt stress and osmotic stress, and that *atnfxl1* mutant plants display reduced survival rates after salt stress compared

to wild type plants (Lisso *et al.*, 2006). In addition, certain salt-responsive genes, such as *COR15A*, *KINI*, and *RAB18*, showed weaker expression levels in *atnfxl1* mutant under salt stress compared to the wild type plants (Lisso *et al.*, 2006). The expression of *COR15A*, *KINI*, and *RAB18* is also induced by ABA in *Arabidopsis* (Baker *et al.*, 1994; Kurkela and Franck, 1990; Lang and Palva, 1992). In contrast, transgenic 35S::*AtNFXL1* plants exhibited an enhanced survival rate under salt stress, and higher expression of salt-responsive genes. These results indicate that AtNFXL1 functions as a positive regulator of expression of salt-inducible genes under salt stress conditions (Figure 5). We demonstrated that AtNFXL1 negatively regulates the expression of several defense-related genes in trichothecene-treated *Arabidopsis* plants (Figure 5). Thus, it seems likely that AtNFXL1 has opposing functions in the salt stress response and defense response. ABA plays a negative role in defense signaling pathways, including SA-, JA-, and ET-dependent signaling pathways (Mauch-Mani and Mauch, 2005). Therefore, AtNFXL1-controlled stress signaling might depend on components of both the defense and the ABA signaling pathways.

Human NF-X1 binds directly to *cis*-elements in target genes *in vitro*, and

regulates transcription through these elements *in vivo* (Song *et al.*, 1994; Gewin *et al.*, 2004). However, activation or repression domains have not been identified in any NF-X1 family protein to date. AtNFXL1 contains a RING-CH finger domain, which is a binding motif for the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2s (Lorick *et al.*, 1999). Thus, AtNFXL1 may function as a repressor by mediating the degradation of its binding partners. NF-X1 exists as two isoforms: NFX1-123 and NFX1-91. Recently it was shown that NFX1-123 and c-Myc function cooperatively to activate the *hTERT* promoter, whereas NFX1-91 repressed *hTERT* promoter activity (Gewin *et al.*, 2004). These results raise the possibility that NF-X1 family proteins function as negative regulators of their targets. In support of this hypothesis, Lisso *et al.* reported that another *Arabidopsis* NF-X1-like protein, AtNFXL2, is a negative regulator of the salt stress response (Lisso *et al.* 2006). It has been reported that some elicitor-responsive RING-H2 finger proteins have roles in plant defense signaling pathways (Takai *et al.*, 2002; Serrano and Guzman, 2004). Thus, the RING-CH finger domain of AtNFXL1 may have a role in regulating the stability of defense-related target proteins.

NF-X1 represses INF- γ -inducible expression of class II *MHC* genes in

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 INF- γ -treated cells, whereas it has no effect on the expression of these genes in
8
9
10 untreated cells (Song *et al.* 1994). In addition, *FAP1* was identified as a suppressor of
11
12
13 rapamycin toxicity. FAP1 physically interacts with FKBP12 *in vivo* and *in vitro* to
14
15
16 suppress the function of rapamycin, and FAP1 is targeted to the nucleus by rapamycin
17
18
19 treatment. In the current study, we showed that *atnfxl1* mutant plants are hypersensitive
20
21
22 to the type A trichothecene, T-2 toxin (Figure 2), but display no phenotype in the
23
24
25 absence of chemical. Taken together, these results suggest that *AtNFXL1*, *NF-X1*, and
26
27
28 *FAP1* are together involved responding to chemical stimuli, but have no apparent
29
30
31 phenotype in the absence of chemicals.
32
33
34
35
36

37
38 In summary, we have presented evidence that the trichothecene-inducible
39
40
41 gene *AtNFXL1* negatively regulates many defense-related genes, at least in part through
42
43
44 the regulation of SA biosynthesis (Figure 5). Additional studies that investigate how
45
46
47 *atnfxl1* mutant behave when challenged by necrotrophic pathogens, such as
48
49
50 trichothecene-producing fungi, are needed. While we have not established a
51
52
53 *Fusarium-Arabidopsis* pathosystem for interaction studies, it has been reported that *A.*
54
55
56 *thaliana* is susceptible to type B DON-producing species of *Fusarium* (Urban *et al.*,
57
58
59
60

2002). Studies to determine whether *Arabidopsis* is susceptible to T-2 toxin-producing fungi such as *Fusarium sporisorides* are ongoing, and will further our understanding of the role of *AtNFXL1* in host plant resistance to trichothecene-producing fungi.

Experimental procedures

Plant growth and trichothecene treatment

The Columbia (Col-0) ecotype of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh was used as the wild type plant in this study. Sterile seeds were sown on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium that contained 3% (w/v) sucrose and 0.3% (w/v) gelrite (San-Ei Gen F.F.I., Inc.) in plastic petri dishes, and then stratified for 2 days (d) at 4°C in the dark. Plants were grown at 22°C under long day conditions (16 hours (hr) light/8 hr dark cycles or continuous light) in a growth chamber. A T-DNA insertion mutant (*atnfxl1-1*) of *AtNFXL1* (N501399) was obtained from the *Arabidopsis* Biological Resource Center, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio. For trichothecene or defense-related molecule treatment, *Arabidopsis* seeds were sown on MS agar medium containing the indicated

substance, and plants were continuously grown. Alternatively, *Arabidopsis* plants were first grown on MS medium without treatment, and then transferred to MS medium containing the indicated molecules. Additional details of each treatment are noted in the text or figure legends.

Generation of transgenic plants

A region of the *AtNFXL1* promoter (-795 basepairs relative to the start site at +1) was amplified by PCR using primers 1 (5'-GCGAAGCTTACTGGTTAGATTGGTTTAAG-3') and 2 (5'-GCGGGATCCATTCTGCCTTGACTCCACAAA-3'), and then introduced into the *HindIII* and *BamHI* sites of pBI121. For complementation analysis, a *SacI* fragment of the F14N23 BAC clone containing the promoter region and coding region of *AtNFXL1* was introduced into the *SacI* site of pSMAH621. Plasmids were introduced into wild type or *atnfxl1* mutant plants by *in planta* transformation, as previously described (Asano *et al.*, 2004). Several independent transformants were obtained, and detailed analysis was carried out on T2 and T3 plants.

Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis

In a total volume of 20 μ l, cDNAs were synthesized from 1 μ g of total RNA using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) with a oligo(dT)₁₆ primer, and then 0.5 μ l of the cDNA was subsequently used for PCR analysis. All PCR reactions were performed in a total volume of 10 μ l, for 24-28 cycles under the following conditions: denaturation, 94°C, 30 seconds (s); annealing, 55°C, 30 s; extension, 72°C, 30 s. The following gene-specific primers were used: *AtNFXL1* 120-438, 5'-CCCATATGCCTCCTAATACAGATAGAAATTC-3' and 5'-ACGTCGACCTCAGGAGCATTATTTCTTCTATG-3'; *AtNFXL1* 2363-3568, 5'-CGCCATATGCATGTGGTCGTATAACCGCTA-3' and 5'-GACGTCGACCTCACATACCTTCTCCCAGT-3'; *ACT2/8*, 5'-CATCACACTTTCTACAATGAGCT-3' and 5'-CGACCTTAATCTTCATGCTGC-3'.

Real time PCR analysis

Real time PCR was performed using the LightCycler Quick System 350S (Roche

Diagnostics K.K., Tokyo, Japan) with SYBR Premix Ex Taq (TAKARA BIO INC., Shiga, Japan). The PCR reaction contained 1 x SYBR Premix Ex Taq, 0.2 µM of each primer, and the appropriate dilution of cDNA in a final volume of 20 µl. The following PCR program was used: initial denaturation, 95°C, 10 s; 40 cycles of 95°C, 5 s and 60°C, 20 s with a temperature transition rate of 20°C/s; melting curve analysis, 95°C, 0 s, 65°C, 15 s, and an increase to 95°C with a temperature transition rate of 0.1°C/s. To generate a standard curve, homologous standards were used as external standards in all experiments. Template DNA was quantified using the second derivative maximum methods of the LightCycler Software Ver.3.5 (Roche Diagnostics), then normalized to *Actin2/8* mRNA. The following gene-specific primers were used: *At5g25930*, 5'-ACATTGCTCCAGAATACGC-3' and 5'-CATCGCCTCAGTCGTG-3'; *WRKY15*, 5'-TGCTCGAAGAAAAGAAAGATAAAAC-3' and 5'-AGTAACAATCAACATGGACG-3'; *At5g41750*, 5'-AAAGGAACAGGTACTGAATCT-3' and 5'-TGTAGTAACCTAACAGGAGGTAT-3'; *Hsf21*, 5'-GCCAGCTTAACACATATGGT-3' and 5'-TCTGATTATTCATTCTCACTCGT-3'; *EDS5*, 5'-GGTACATTGCTGGCGG-3'

and 5'-GTATGCCTCCAGGCGA-3'; At3g60420,
5'-AGATCAAGGTGGCTATTGAA-3' and 5'-CTCAAAGGCTTGTGCAG-3'; *MYB29*,
5'-TTCTCGCGGCAACAAG-3' and 5'-GCTGGTTATCTCCGGTACA-3'; *Actin2/8*,
5'-GGTAACATTGTGCTCAGTGGTGG-3' and
5'-AACGACCTTAATCTTCATGCTGC-3'; *ICS1*, 5'-
ATGAGATTCAGCCTCGCTGT-3' and 5'-TGATGGATCTCCAATCGTCA-3'; *PR-1*,
5'-ATTACTTCATTAGTATGGCTTCT-3' and 5'-CTTGTCTGGCGTCTCC-3'. All kits
were used according to the manufacture's protocols.

Microarray analysis

Ten-day-old seedlings of wild type and *atnfxl1* mutant plants were grown on MS plates and harvested after mock or 1 μ M T-2 toxin treatment for 24 hr. Samples for microarray analysis were taken at the middle stage of the light period. Total RNA was prepared from T-2 toxin-treated or untreated *Arabidopsis* shoots using a guanidine hydrochloride–phenol-chloroform extraction method, as previously described (Nishiuchi et al., 2006). The quality of RNA was assessed using the RNA 6000 Nano

LabChip Kit (Bioanalyzer 2100; Agilent Technologies, Inc.), then the microarray experiment was carried out using the Agilent *Arabidopsis* 1 Oligo Microarray (Agilent Technologies, Inc.), according to the Agilent 60-mer Oligo Microarray Processing Protocol (Agilent Technologies, Inc.). Total RNA (5 µg) from wild type and *atnfxl1* mutant plants was used to prepare Cy3- and Cy5-labeled cDNAs, respectively, using a Fluorescent Direct Labeling Kit (Agilent Technologies). The two different fluorescently labeled cDNAs were combined and purified using an RNeasy RNA purification Kit (Qiagen Inc.). Following hybridization and washing, arrays were scanned under maximum laser intensity with both the Cy3 and Cy5 channels using an Agilent microarray scanner (G2565BA; Agilent Technologies). Images were analyzed with Feature Extraction Software (version 7.0; Agilent Technologies). Two independent experiments were carried out using different plant samples to demonstrate the reproducibility of the microarray analysis. Upregulated or downregulated genes were designated as such if a 3-fold or greater change in expression relative to wild type plants was observed. All changes in gene expression were statistically significant ($P < 0.01$).

SA measurement.

SA and SAG levels in mock- or T-2 toxin-treated samples were measured as described previously (Nakashita et al., 2002).

GUS assays

For GUS staining, plants were continuously treated with the indicated substance for 8 days. The *AtNFXL1 promoter::GUS* transformants were fixed in 90% acetone at -20°C, then incubated in a solution containing 0.5 mM $K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$, 0.5 mM $K_4[Fe(CN)_6] \cdot 3H_2O$, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM X-Gluc in 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH7.2) at 37 °C for 2 hr. Samples were destained by a series of ethanol washes. For the fluorometric assay, 8-day-old plants were transferred to medium containing the indicated substance, incubated for 24 hr, and then subjected to quantification of GUS activity. The fluorometric assay of GUS activity was performed as previously described (Nishiuchi et al., 1995).

Bacterial Infection

The *Pst*DC3000 infection assay was performed as previously described (Yasuda *et al.*, 2003).

Visualization of the GFP-AtNFXL1 fusion protein.

The entire coding region of *AtNFXL1* was amplified from cDNA by PCR using the following primers: 5'-CACCATGAGCTTTCAAGTCAGGCG-3' and 5'-TCACTCACATACCTTCTCCC-3'. The PCR fragment was inserted into the pENTRTM/D-TOPO entry vector (Invitrogen Inc, Germany), then introduced into pH7WGF2 (Karimi *et al.*, 2002). Protoplasts of *Arabidopsis* T87 suspension culture cells were transiently transfected with the GFP-AtNFXL1 plasmid using the polyethylene glycol (PEG) method (Abel and Theologis, 1994). GFP was visualized by microscopy (BX-50; Olympus Optical, Tokyo) using a built-in BX-FLA epifluorescent unit.

Acknowledgement

We thank Dr. Hiroaki Ichikawa for kindly providing the binary vector, pSMAH621 containing the hygromycin-resistance gene (*hpt*) as a selection marker.

References

- Abel, S. and Theologis, A.** (1994) Transient transformation of *Arabidopsis* leaf protoplasts: a versatile experimental system to study gene expression. *Plant J.* **5**, 421-427.
- Asai, T., Tena, G., Plotnikova, J., Willmann, M.R., Chiu, W.L., Gomez-Gomez, L., Boller, T., Ausubel, F.M. and Sheen, J.** (2002) MAP kinase signalling cascade in *Arabidopsis* innate immunity. *Nature* **415**, 977-983.
- Asano, T., Yoshioka, Y., Kurei, S., Sakamoto, W., Sodmergen and Machida, Y.** (2004) A mutation of the *CRUMPLED LEAF* gene that encodes a protein localized in the outer envelope membrane of plastids affects the pattern of cell division, cell differentiation, and plastid division in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* **38**, 448-459.
- Bai, G.H., Desjardins, A.E. and Plattner, R.D.** (2001) Deoxynivalenol-nonproducing *Fusarium graminearum* causes initial infection, but does not cause disease spread in wheat spikes. *Mycopathologia* **153**, 91-98.

Baker, S.S., Wilhelm, K.S. and Thomashow, M.F. (1994) The 5'-region of *Arabidopsis thaliana cor15a* has *cis*-acting elements that confer cold-, drought- and ABA-regulated gene expression. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **24**, 701-713.

Bowling, S.A., Guo, A., Cao, H., Gordon, A.S., Klessig, D.F. and Dong, X. (1994) A mutation in *Arabidopsis* that leads to constitutive expression of systemic acquired resistance. *Plant Cell* **6**, 1845-1857.

Chen, C. and Chen, Z. (2002) Potentiation of developmentally regulated plant defense response by AtWRKY18, a pathogen-induced *Arabidopsis* transcription factor. *Plant Physiol.* **129**, 706-716.

Desjardins, A.E., Bai, G., Plattner¹, R.D. and Proctor¹, R.H. (2000) Analysis of aberrant virulence of *Gibberella zeae* following transformation-mediated complementation of a trichothecene-deficient (*Tri5*) mutant. *Microbiology* **146**, 2059-2068.

Dong, J., Chen, C. and Chen, Z. (2003) Expression profiles of the *Arabidopsis* WRKY gene superfamily during plant defense response. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **51**, 21-37.

Eudes, F., Comeau, A., Rioux, S. and Collin, J. (2001) Impact of trichothecenes on

Fusarium head blight [*Fusarium graminearum*] development in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Can. J. Plant Pathol.* **23**, 318-322.

Eulgem, T., Rushton, P.J., Robatzek, S. and Somssich, I.E. (2000) The WRKY superfamily of plant transcription factors. *Trends Plant Sci.* **5**, 199-206.

Gewin, L., Myers, H., Kiyono, T. and Galloway, A.D. (2004) Identification of a novel telomerase repressor that interacts with the human papillomavirus type-16 E6/E6-AP complex. *Genes Dev.* **18**, 2269-2282.

Kimura, M., Takahashi-Ando, N., Nishiuchi, T., Ohsato, S., Tokai, T., Ochiai, N., Fujimura, M., Kudo, T., Hamamoto, H. and Yamaguchi, I. (2006) Molecular biology and biotechnology for reduction of *Fusarium* mycotoxin contamination. *Pestic. Biochem. and Physiol.* **86**, 117-123.

Karimi, M., Inze, D. and Depicker, A. (2002) Gateway vectors for *Agrobacterium*-mediated plant transformation. *Trends Plant Sci.* **7**, 193-195.

Kunz, J., Loeschmann, A., Deuter-Reinhard, M. and Hall, M.N. (2000) FAP1, a homologue of human transcription factor NF-X1, competes with rapamycin for binding to FKBP12 in yeast. *Mol. Microbiol.* **37**, 1480-1493.

Kurkela, S. and Franck, M. (1990) Cloning and characterization of a cold- and ABA-inducible *Arabidopsis* gene. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **15**, 137-144.

Lang, V. and Palva, E.T. (1992) The expression of a *rab*-related gene, *rab18*, is induced by abscisic acid during the cold acclimation process of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **20**, 951-962.

Lisso, J., Altmann, T. and Mussig, C. (2006) The *AtNFXL1* gene encodes a NF-X1 type zinc finger protein required for growth under salt stress. *FEBS Lett.* **580**, 4851-4856.

Liu, Y., Schiff, M. and Dinesh-Kumar, S.P. (2004) Involvement of MEK1 MAPKK, NTF6 MAPK, WRKY/MYB transcription factors, *COI1* and *CTR1* in *N*-mediated resistance to tobacco mosaic virus. *Plant J.* **38**, 800-809.

Lorick, K.L., Jensen, J.P., Fang, S., Ong, A.M., Hatakeyama, S. and Weissman, A.M. (1999) RING fingers mediate ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E2)-dependent ubiquitination. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **96**, 11364-11369.

Masuda, D., Ishida, M., Yamaguchi, K., Yamaguchi, I., Kimura, M. and Nishiuchi, T. (2007) Phytotoxic effects of trichothecenes on the growth and morphology in

Arabidopsis thaliana. *J. Exp. Bot.* **58**, 1617-1626.

Mauch-Mani, B. and Mauch, F. (2005) The role of abscisic acid in plant-pathogen interactions. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **8**, 409-414.

Nawrath, C., Heck, S., Parinthawong, N. and Metraux, J.P. (2002) EDS5, an essential component of salicylic acid-dependent signaling for disease resistance in *Arabidopsis*, is a member of the MATE transporter family. *Plant Cell* **14**, 275-286.

Nishiuchi, T., Masuda, D., Nakashita, H., Ichimura, K., Shinozaki, K., Yoshida, S., Kimura, M., Yamaguchi, I. and Yamaguchi, K. (2006) *Fusarium* phytotoxin trichothecenes have an elicitor-like activity in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, but the activity differed significantly among their molecular species. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **19**, 512-20.

Nishiuchi, T., Nakamura, T., Abe, T., Kodama, H., Nishimura, M. and Iba, K. (1995) Tissue-specific and light-responsive regulation of the promoter region of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* chloroplast ω -3 fatty acid desaturase gene (*FAD7*). *Plant Mol. Biol.* **29**, 599-609.

Robatzek, S. and Somssich, I.E. (2002) Targets of AtWRKY6 regulation during plant senescence and pathogen defense. *Genes Dev.* **16**, 1139-1149.

Rogers, E.E., and Ausubel, F.M. (1997) *Arabidopsis* enhanced disease susceptibility mutants exhibit enhanced susceptibility to several bacterial pathogens and alterations in *PR-1* gene expression. *Plant Cell* **9**, 305-316.

Serrano, M. and Guzman, P. (2004) Isolation and gene expression analysis of *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutants with constitutive expression of *ATL2*, an early elicitor-response RING-H2 zinc-finger gene. *Genetics* **167**, 919-29.

Shifrin, V.I. and Anderson, P. (1999) Trichothecene mycotoxins trigger a ribotoxic stress response that activates c-Jun N-terminal kinase and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase and induces apoptosis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 13985-13992.

Song, Z., Krishna, S., Thanos, D., Strominger, J.L. and Ono, S.J. (1994) A novel cysteine-rich sequence-specific DNA-binding protein interacts with the conserved X-box motif of the human major histocompatibility complex class II genes via a repeated Cys-His domain and functions as a transcriptional repressor. *J. Exp. Med.* **180**, 1763-1774.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **Stroumbakis, N.D., Li, Z. and Tolias, P.P.** (1996) A homolog of human transcription
8
9
10 factor NF-X1 encoded by the *Drosophila shuttle craft* gene is required in the
11
12
13 embryonic central nervous system. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **16**, 192-201.
14
15

16
17 **Sudakin, D.L.** (2003) Trichothecenes in the environment: relevance to human health.
18
19
20 *Toxicol. Lett.* **143**, 97-107.
21
22

23
24 **Takai, R., Matsuda, N., Nakano, A., Hasegawa, K., Akimoto, C., Shibuya, N. and**
25
26
27 **Minami, E.** (2002) EL5, a rice *N*-acetylchitooligosaccharide elicitor-responsive
28
29
30 RING-H2 finger protein, is a ubiquitin ligase which functions *in vitro* in co-operation
31
32
33 with an elicitor-responsive ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, *OsUBC5b*. *Plant J.* **30**,
34
35
36
37
38 447-455.
39

40
41 **Ulker, B. and Somssich, I.E.** (2004) WRKY transcription factors: from DNA binding
42
43
44 towards biological function. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **7**, 491-498.
45
46

47
48 **Urban, M., Daniels, S., Mott, E. and Hammond-Kosack, K.** (2002) *Arabidopsis* is
49
50
51 susceptible to the cereal ear blight fungal pathogens *Fusarium graminearum* and
52
53
54 *Fusarium culmorum*. *Plant J.* **32**, 961-973.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **Ward, T.J., Bielawski, J.P., Kistler, H.C., Sullivan, E. and O'Donnell, K. (2002)**
8

9
10 Ancestral polymorphism and adaptive evolution in the trichothecene mycotoxin gene
11
12 cluster of phytopathogenic *Fusarium*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **99**, 9278-9283.
13
14
15

16
17 **Wildermuth, M.C., Dewdney, J., Wu, G. and Ausubel, F.M. (2001)** Isochorismate
18
19
20 synthase is required to synthesize salicylic acid for plant defence. *Nature* **414**,
21
22
23
24 562-565.
25
26

27 **Yasuda, M., Nakashita, H., Hasegawa, S., Nishioka, M., Arai, Y., Uramoto, M.,**
28
29
30
31 **Yamaguchi, I. and Yoshida, S. (2003)** *N*-cyanomethyl-2-chloroisonicotinamide
32
33
34 induces systemic acquired resistance in *Arabidopsis* without salicylic acid
35
36
37
38 accumulation. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **67**, 322-328.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Upregulated genes in 1 μ M T-2 toxin-treated *atnfx1* mutant plants compared to T-2 toxin-treated wild type p

Functional category	Accession	Log2 fold change	FC	P-value	exp. 1	exp. 2
Cellular Communication / Signal Transduction						
protein kinase						
	A1509820	serine threonine kinase-like protein	7.8	1.9E-05	6.0	5.5E-05
	A1539670	serine threonine kinase-like protein	6.6	3.6E-05	4.9	1.4E-04
	A1539680	protein kinase-like protein	4.8	3.8E-04	5.3	1.7E-03
	A1411890	NAK-like protein kinase	5.1	1.2E-04	4.8	1.6E-04
	A1423200	similar to serine/threonine/tyrosine-specific protein	4.7	2.0E-04	3.4	1.7E-03
	A1506880	serine threonine kinase - like protein	3.4	1.3E-03	4.2	3.5E-04
	A1507080	protein kinase-like protein (MAPKKK15)	4.2	3.3E-04	3.1	5.8E-03
	A1506500	protein kinase-like protein	3.5	1.2E-03	3.3	6.4E-03
receptor-like protein kinase						
	A1519470	putative receptor-like protein kinase	6.3	4.2E-05	6.1	4.9E-05
	A1325600	similar to receptor-like serine/ threonine protein kinase	3.5	1.0E-03	5.3	9.8E-05
	A1404500	receptor-like protein kinase-like	5.7	7.6E-05	3.1	2.3E-03
	A1503930	receptor protein kinase-like protein	4.2	3.6E-04	3.8	6.1E-04
	A1347480	receptor protein kinase-like protein	4.6	2.0E-04	3.3	1.4E-03
	A1406850	receptor protein kinase-like protein	3.3	1.5E-03	4.2	3.8E-04
	A1509020	S-receptor kinase homolog 2 precursor	3.6	8.6E-04	3.7	1.3E-03
	A1423280	similar to disease resistance protein kinase Pto	3.6	8.9E-04	3.6	1.9E-03
	A1503000	serine threonine-specific protein kinase-like (RLK1)	3.9	5.6E-04	3.1	2.2E-03
calcium-binding protein						
	A12941100	putative calcium-binding protein (TCH3)	6.8	3.2E-05	7.5	2.1E-05
	A1417680	hypothetical protein, EF-hand calcium-binding domain	6.0	7.3E-05	6.0	1.6E-04
	A1427280	calcium-binding protein-like	3.4	1.4E-03	9.5	9.8E-06
	A1542380	putative caltractin	5.9	5.6E-05	4.2	3.2E-04
	A1505440	putative protein, EF-hand calcium-binding domain	5.0	1.3E-04	4.9	1.7E-04
calmodulin-related protein						
	A1301830	calmodulin-binding-like protein	5.6	7.2E-05	6.0	5.2E-05
	A1513320	calmodulin-related protein	5.8	6.0E-05	5.7	6.5E-05
	A1423150	calmodulin-like protein	4.1	4.2E-04	3.2	2.2E-03
	A1501560	calmodulin, putative	3.1	2.5E-03	4.1	4.2E-04
others						
	A1437010	caltractin-like protein	4.1	4.4E-04	4.1	5.9E-04
	A1439890	small GTP-binding protein-like	3.0	3.0E-03	4.1	7.3E-04
Transcription						
WRKY family protein						
	A1293320	putative WRKY-type DNA-binding protein (WRKY15)	5.3	9.7E-05	5.1	1.2E-04
	A1513080	WRKY-like protein (WRKY75)	6.5	3.8E-05	3.3	1.7E-03
	A1423810	similar to WRKY transcription factor AR411 (WRKY53)	4.0	4.4E-04	4.4	2.9E-04
	A1504820	similar to WRKY-type DNA binding protein (WRKY48)	3.4	1.4E-03	4.7	2.2E-04
	A1293220	putative WRKY-type DNA binding protein (WRKY25)	3.4	1.2E-03	4.4	2.5E-04
	A1162300	transcription factor WRKY6	4.5	2.4E-04	3.2	2.1E-03
	A1522570	WRKY transcription factor 36 (WRKY36)	3.2	2.2E-03	3.3	3.1E-03
	A1505170	WRKY transcription factor 50 (WRKY50)	3.1	2.1E-03	3.2	2.3E-03
NAC family protein						
	A1502280	NAC-domain protein-like (ANAC096)	3.3	1.7E-03	10.1	1.4E-05
	A12917040	NAM (no apical meristem)-like protein (ANAC036)	3.5	1.0E-03	4.0	4.8E-04
others						
	A1127730	salt-tolerance zinc finger protein (Zat10)	5.7	7.0E-05	6.3	4.3E-05
	A1505820	zinc finger protein Zat12	6.0	5.3E-05	5.5	7.7E-05
	A1396710	SiG4 binding protein	4.5	2.2E-04	5.7	6.9E-05
	A1394600	scarecrow-like 11 protein	3.2	1.9E-03	4.1	4.0E-04
	A1118570	putative myb transcription factor (MYB51)	3.7	1.0E-03	3.2	2.4E-03
	A1418880	heat shock transcription factor 21 (AHSP21)	3.4	1.2E-03	3.2	1.9E-03
	A1501010	putative protein	3.3	1.5E-03	3.3	1.6E-03
	A1168840	putative DNA-binding protein (RAV2-like)	3.3	1.6E-03	3.1	2.3E-03
Defense Stress and Detoxification						
disease resistance protein						
	A1504750	disease resistance protein-like	5.9	5.7E-05	6.0	5.2E-05
	A1167630	disease resistance protein RPP1-WsB, putative	5.9	5.7E-05	3.2	1.7E-03
	A1501740	disease resistance protein-like	4.3	3.0E-04	4.4	2.7E-04
	A1172900	virus resistance protein, putative	3.8	6.5E-04	3.9	5.1E-04
	A1433300	similar to NBS/LRR disease resistance protein (RFL1)	3.5	1.1E-03	3.8	6.4E-04
glutathione S-transferase						
	A1171710	putative glutathione transferase	6.2	4.5E-05	6.0	5.1E-05
	A12947730	glutathione S-transferase (GST6)	3.4	1.4E-03	3.4	1.3E-03
others						
	A1502780	putative protein, similar to In2	7.6	2.1E-05	5.7	7.4E-05
	A1295980	similar to harpin-induced protein hrp1 from tobacco	6.1	5.0E-05	5.0	1.2E-04
	A1414630	germin precursor oxidase	5.1	1.2E-04	3.1	2.2E-03
Cellular Transport and Transport Mechanisms						
ABC transporter						
	A1115520	ABC transporter, putative	7.2	2.5E-05	3.3	1.4E-03
	A12947000	putative ABC transporter	3.3	1.5E-03	4.0	4.4E-04
calcium-ATPase						
	A1363380	Ca ²⁺ -transporting ATPase-like protein	4.1	4.0E-04	3.7	7.0E-04
	A1322910	calmodulin-stimulated calcium-ATPase, putative	5.3	9.4E-05	4.6	2.0E-04
others						
	A1421880	peptide transporter-like protein	3.3	1.6E-03	9.5	1.1E-05
	A1435180	amino acid permease-like protein	5.4	8.9E-05	5.2	1.1E-04
	A12913810	putative aspartate aminotransferase	6.3	4.6E-05	3.7	8.0E-04
	A1528340	hexose transporter-like protein	4.2	3.4E-04	3.9	5.0E-04
	A1352400	synthase-like protein synt4	3.6	8.2E-04	4.1	3.8E-04
	A1501900	copine-like protein	3.1	2.4E-03	4.6	2.1E-04
	A15040780	amino acid permease	3.7	6.7E-04	3.4	1.2E-03
	A1108930	putative sugar transporter (ERD6)	3.1	2.6E-03	4.0	4.3E-04
Metabolism						
UDP-glucose glucosyltransferase						
	A1124200	UDP-glucose glucosyltransferase, putative	6.3	4.6E-05	3.1	2.3E-03
	A1294380	putative glucosyltransferase	4.0	4.7E-04	3.6	9.3E-04
	A1290140	putative glucosyltransferase	4.1	4.1E-04	3.5	1.1E-03
	A1105560	UDP-glucose indole 3-acetate beta-D-	4.1	4.1E-04	3.1	2.1E-03
	A1424131	glucosyltransferase -like protein	3.3	1.6E-03	3.9	5.6E-04
cytochrome P450 family						
	A1392830	putative cytochrome P450	9.8	9.1E-06	4.5	2.2E-04
	A1545340	cytochrome P450	3.7	9.3E-04	7.3	7.9E-05
	A1437370	cytochrome P450-like protein	4.4	2.4E-04	3.9	5.4E-04
FAD-linked oxidoreductase family						
	A1544360	berberine bridge enzyme	4.3	2.9E-04	4.9	2.0E-04
	A1420830	reticuline oxidase-like protein	4.3	3.1E-04	4.4	2.6E-04
	A1402860	berberine bridge enzyme-like protein	3.7	7.0E-04	4.3	3.1E-04
flavonone 3-hydroxylase-like protein						
	A1524530	flavonone 3-hydroxylase-like protein	4.8	1.5E-04	4.3	3.0E-04
	A1319010	oxidase like protein	3.5	1.0E-03	3.1	2.1E-03
others						
	A1322600	predicted GPI-anchored protein	8.1	1.6E-05	4.5	2.2E-04
	A1405810	xylotriphosphate endo-1,4-beta-D-glucanase (XTR-6)	4.9	1.5E-04	3.7	3.3E-05
	A1542830	N-hydroxycinnamoyl benzoyltransferase-like protein	5.6	7.4E-05	5.4	9.4E-05
	A1295650	similar to latex allergen from Hevea brasiliensis	6.2	4.5E-05	4.7	1.7E-04
	A1517330	glutamate decarboxylase 1 (GAD 1) (sp Q4291)	5.0	1.2E-04	4.1	1.1E-04
	A1528800	InE protein-like	5.1	1.2E-04	4.1	3.7E-04
	A1501830	fructokinase 1	3.6	8.5E-04	5.2	1.1E-04
	A1429830	putative L-ascorbate oxidase	3.6	8.5E-04	4.7	1.9E-04
	A1407070	putative phosphoribosylanthranilate transferase	4.7	1.7E-04	3.3	1.5E-03
	A1401700	putative chitinase	3.8	8.2E-04	3.6	9.2E-04
	A1538710	proline oxidase, mitochondrial precursor-like protein	3.3	1.7E-03	3.9	1.3E-03
	A1147410	isochorismate synthase (ics)	3.7	7.2E-04	3.3	1.5E-03
	A1519440	cinnamyl-alcohol dehydrogenase-like protein	3.4	1.2E-03	3.1	2.3E-03
DNA Synthesis and Processing						
	A1293020	putative alanine acetyl transferase	3.3	1.6E-03	4.8	1.5E-04
	A1501100	putative protein	3.6	1.3E-03	4.3	3.3E-03
	A1439030	enhanced disease susceptibility 5 gene (ED55)	4.0	4.5E-04	3.5	1.1E-03
Protein Fate						
	A1506800	putative protein, similar to GMFP5	4.1	4.1E-04	8.6	1.5E-05
	A1350930	BCS1 protein-like protein	5.2	1.1E-04	5.7	6.8E-05
Cellular Structural Organization						
	A1504310	arabinogalactan-protein (gA127823.1)	5.2	1.1E-04	4.6	2.0E-04
Enzyme						
	A1132350	oxidase, putative	7.8	1.9E-05	3.7	7.1E-04
Protein Synthesis						
	A1416680	RNA helicase	4.4	2.9E-04	3.7	1.7E-03
Unclassified Protein						
	A1360420	putative protein	11.7	5.7E-06	14.1	3.9E-06
	A1540990	GDSL-motif lipase hydrolase-like protein	12.0	6.3E-06	9.2	5.5E-05
	A1119250	unknown protein	7.1	2.7E-05	6.8	3.6E-05
	A1291600	class 1 non-symbiotic hemoglobin (AHB1)	5.6	7.5E-05	7.8	1.8E-05
	A1511140	putative protein, similar to pEARL1 4	5.8	6.1E-05	5.4	9.0E-05
	A1401670	predicted protein of unknown function	3.7	7.1E-04	6.9	2.9E-05
	A1165500	unknown protein	4.4	2.6E-04	5.1	1.1E-04
	A1122890	unknown protein	4.4	2.6E-04	4.6	2.0E-04
	A1508410	indole-gated ion channel protein-like (AGLR1.3)	5.8	6.6E-05	3.4	2.5E-03
	A1527420	RING-H2 zinc finger protein-like	4.7	1.9E-04	4.2	7.0E-04
	A1314225	unknown protein	5.3	2.9E-04	3.5	3.9E-03
	A1156800	hypothetical protein	6.1	5.3E-05	3.0	2.9E-03
	A1422530	putative protein	4.3	2.9E-04	4.0	4.5E-04
	A1549700	putative protein	3.4	1.2E-03	5.0	2.0E-04
	A1549400	ligand-gated ion channel protein-like; glutamate receptor	5.0	1.3E-04	3.2	2.1E-03
	A1155450	hypothetical protein	3.6	8.5E-04	4.3	2.9E-04
	A1494020	putative protein, similar to myosin heavy chain	3.5	1.4E-03	4.5	9.6E-04
	A1503230	putative protein	3.8	8.4E-04	3.9	6.2E-03
	A1294600	polygalacturonase inhibiting protein 1; PGIP1 (gp	3.4	1.2E-03	3.8	6.1E-04
	A1412720	growth factor like protein	3.8	6.0E-04	3.1	2.6E-03
	A1123710	unknown protein	3.5	1.0E-03	3.3	1.7E-03
	A1163840	putative RING zinc finger protein	3.7	7.5E-04	3.1	2.6E-03
	A1504000	3',5'-cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase (SAL2)	3.0	3.2E-03	3.7	1.9E-03
	A1428540	monooxygenase 2 (MO2)	3.0	2.7E-03	3.7	8.3E-04
	A1428180	putative protein	3.1	2.5E-03	3.5	1.0E-03
	A1502180	putative protein, similar to PMOC3	3.0	2.8E-03	3.3	3.2E-03
	A1502810	putative protein	3.1	2.3E-03	3.0	2.8E-03

Ten-day-old seedlings of wild type and *atnfx1* mutant plants were grown MS plates and harvested after 1 μ M T-2 toxin treatment for 24 h

Table 2. Downregulated genes in the T-2 toxin-treated *atnfxl1* mutant plants compared to T-2 toxin-treated w

AGI code	Descriptions	exp.1		exp.2	
		FC ^a	P value ^b	FC	P value
At4g19170	neoxanthin cleavage enzyme-like protein	0.164	5.6E-03	0.073	2.0E-03
At4g16830	nuclear antigen homolog	0.111	3.3E-03	0.164	5.7E-03
At5g50950	fumarate hydratase	0.150	4.8E-03	0.137	4.3E-03
At5g23010	2-isopropylmalate synthase-like	0.206	8.7E-03	0.090	2.5E-03
At4g13770	cytochrome P450 monooxygenase (CYP83A1)	0.193	7.7E-03	0.111	3.2E-03
At5g07690	myb family transcription factor (MYB29)	0.200	8.7E-03	0.116	3.6E-03
At1g14250	nucleoside phosphatase family protein / GDA1/CD39 family protein	0.200	8.3E-03	0.128	4.0E-03
At5g03760	glycosyl transferase family 2 protein	0.161	5.8E-03	0.174	7.3E-03
At4g21650	subtilisin proteinase - like	0.206	8.9E-03	0.151	5.0E-03
At3g27690	chlorophyll A-B binding protein (LHCB2:4)	0.196	7.9E-03	0.164	5.6E-03
At5g12250	tubulin beta-6 chain	0.189	7.3E-03	0.186	7.2E-03
At4g21960	peroxidase 42 (PER42)	0.217	9.8E-03	0.199	8.1E-03

Ten-day-old seedlings of wild type and *atnfxl1* mutant plants were grown MS plates and harvested after mock or 1 μ M T-2 toxin treatment f
The expression of MYB29 (bold-face) was verified by real time RT-PCR analysis (see Table 3).

^aDownregulated genes were designated as such based on a 3-fold or greater change in the normalized signal of T-2 toxin-treated *atnfxl1* n
^bAll of these changes in gene expression were statistically significant, with at P<0.01.

Table 3. Validation of microarray results in the 1 μ M T-2 toxin-treated plants by real time PCR.

Fold change (<i>atnfxl1</i> mutant vs wild type)			
AGI code	Microarray ^a	real time PCR ^b	Description
Upregulated Genes			
At5g25930	4.00	11.6 $\pm$ 1.39	receptor protein kinase-like protein
At2g23320	5.17	4.87 $\pm$ 0.26	putative WRKY-type DNA-binding protein (WRKY15)
At4g18880	3.30	5.21 $\pm$ 0.68	heat shock transcription factor 21 (AtHSF21)
At5g41750	5.97	12.08 $\pm$ 1.56	disease resistance protein-like
At4g39030	3.76	7.52 $\pm$ 1.04	enhanced disease susceptibility 5 gene (EDS5)
At3g60420	12.84	11.56 $\pm$ 2.16	putative protein
Downregulated genes			
At5g07690	0.15	0.12 $\pm$ 0.02	Myb family transcription factor (MYB29)

Ten-day-old seedlings of wild type and *atnfxl1* mutant were grown MS plate and harvested after 1 μ M T-2 toxin treatment.

^aFold change in microarray results is the average value of two arrays.

^bFold change in real time PCR is an average value of four independent biological sample sets.

Figure legends

Figure 1. An *atnfxl1* mutant (*atnfxl1-1*) is hypersensitive to type A trichothecenes. (a) Schematic diagram of *AtNFXL1* in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Boxes indicate exons. The organization of the exon-intron boundary was predicted by the nucleotide sequence of the full length cDNA, and is identical to our results. The T-DNA insertion site is indicated by a triangle. Two different regions (basepairs 120-438 and 2368-3568) of *AtNFXL1* for RT-PCR analysis is indicated by thick lines. (b) A truncated transcript of *AtNFXL1* was observed in the *atnfxl1-1* mutant. Ten-day-old seedlings of wild type and *atnfxl1-1* mutant plants were grown on MS plates and harvested after mock treatment, or 1 μ M T-2 toxin treatment for 24 hr. Total RNA was prepared from the seedlings and used for RT-PCR analysis. Two different regions (basepairs 120-438 and 2368-3568) of *AtNFXL1* were amplified by specific primer sets. *Actin2/8* was used as a loading control. (c) Representative photographs of wild type, *atnfxl1*, and complementation plant lines that were mock-treated (upper row), or treated with 0.1 μ M T-2 toxin (lower row). Sterile seeds were sown on MS medium with or without 0.1 μ M T-2 toxin, and then stratified for 2 d at 4°C in the dark. Plants were grown for 8 days in a growth chamber,

and then photographed. Scale bars = 1 cm. (d) The fresh weight of each plant is expressed relative (%) to mock-treated wild type. Plants were treated with 0.1 μ M T-2 toxin or 10 μ M DON without trichothecenes, as stated above. *atnfxl1:PAtnFXL1::AtNFXL1* (line #5) refers to an *atnfxl1* mutant carrying an *AtNFXL1 promoter::AtNFXL1* gene fusion. Data is representative of two independent experiments. *, $P < 0.01$, based on the Student's *t*-test. Similar results were obtained in other six independent complementation lines.

Figure 2. *AtNFXL1* is involved in SA biosynthesis and expression of SA-related genes. Eight-day-old plants were either mock-treated or treated with 1 μ M T-2 toxin for 24 hr and then subjected to Real time PCR analysis (a) or SA quantification (b-c). (a) Real time PCR analysis of *PR-1* and *ICS1* of *atnfxl1* mutant and wild type plants. Total RNA was isolated from each sample and then subjected to Real time PCR analysis. The levels of mRNA were determined by real-time RT-PCR, and normalized with that of *Actin2/8*. Expression levels are relative to that of mock-treated wild type samples. Data is the average of three independent samples. Error bars indicate the standard deviation. (b-c)

Enhanced accumulation of SA in T-2 toxin-treated *atnfxl1* mutant plants. (b) Free and (c) total SA levels were quantified by high-performance liquid chromatography (data represents the means $\pm$ standard deviation, n=4).

Figure 3. GUS staining and quantification of GUS activity in *AtNFXL1 promoter::GUS* stable transformants in response to elicitor, phytohormone, or trichothecene treatment. GUS staining of mock- (a), T-2 toxin- (b) or SA-treated 8 day old plants (c). Sterile seeds were sown on MS agar medium with 0.1 μ M T-2 or 100 μ M SA, and then stratified for 2 d at 4°C in the dark. Plants were grown for 8 days in a growth chamber, and then subjected to GUS staining. Scale bars = 1 mm. (d) Quantification of GUS activity in *AtNFXL1 promoter::GUS* stable transformants treated with the indicated substances. Plants were grown for 8 days on MS agar medium in a growth chamber, and then either mock-treated or treated with the indicated substance for 24 hr and used for quantitative GUS assays. GUS activity in treated samples relative to mock-treated samples was measured using a fluorometric GUS assays (n=4). Data is representative of two independent experiments.

Figure 4. Reduced susceptibility of *atnfxl1* mutant plants to the compatible pathogen *Pst* DC3000. (a) Leaves of *atnfxl1* mutant (closed circles) and wild type (open circles) plants were collected 0, 1, 2 and 4 days post-inoculation and homogenized in 10 mM MgCl_2 . The number of colony-forming units (CFU) was estimated by growth on nutrient broth agar plates after the appropriate dilution. Data represents the averages $\pm$ standard deviation (n=6). A significant difference between wild type and *atnfxl1* mutant plants was observed in the number of CFU/g fresh weight ($p < 0.05$, ANOVA). Data is representative of two independent experiments. (b) Complementation analysis of the reduced susceptibility to *Pst*DC3000 in an *atnfxl1* mutant. Leaves of wild type, *atnfxl1*, and complementation plant line were collected 2 days post-inoculation. Data represents the averages $\pm$ standard deviation (n=6). A significant difference between the number of CFU/g fresh weight of *atnfxl1* mutant plants and wild type/complementation line #4 plants was observed ($p < 0.05$, ANOVA). Similar results were obtained in complementation lines #1 and #3.

Figure 5. Model of opposing functions of *AtNFXL1* in biotic and abiotic stress response.

Biotic stress often causes accumulation of elicitors and/or SA in host plants. SA and elicitors including T-2 toxin induce the expression of *AtNFXL1*. An *atnfxl1* mutant exhibits a hypersensitivity phenotype to T-2 toxin due to excessive defense responses. *AtNFXL1* functions as a negative regulator of defense-related genes via an SA-dependent signaling pathway, which resulting in reduced susceptibility to a virulent pathogen, *Pst* DC3000 in the *atnfxl1* mutant. Abiotic stress such as salt and osmotic stress also induces the expression of *AtNFXL1* (Lisso *et al.*, 2006). *AtNFXL1* functions as a positive regulator of salt-responsive genes (Lisso *et al.*, 2006). The *atnfxl1* mutant exhibited a reduced survival rate under salt stress (Lisso *et al.*, 2006).

Supplemental Figure 1. *AtNFXL1* belongs to the NF-X1 family of proteins.

(a) Schematic diagram of *AtNFXL1* in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Accession no. AAD32867) and comparison of *AtNFXL1* with the following homologues: *OsNF-X1*, *Oryza sativa* (Accession no. BAD46154); *NF-X1*, *Homo sapiens* (Accession no. NP_002495); *STC*, *Drosophila melanogaster* (Accession no. NP_476599); *Fap1*,

Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Accession no. NP_014375). The purple regions indicate the NLS; red and blue indicate the RING-CH finger domain and the nine NF-X1-type Zn finger domains, respectively; green indicates the R3H domain. (b) A rooted maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of AtNFXL1 and AtNFXL1 homologues. DrNF-X1, *Danio rerio* (Accession no. XP_690559); MmNF-X1, *Mus musculus* (Accession no. AAF34700); CeNF-X1, *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Accession no. NP_498394); and SpNF-X1, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (Accession no. CAA21417). (c) Alignment of the amino acid sequences of the nine AtNFXL1-type Zn finger domains. The number to the left of each repeat indicates its position in the AtNFXL1 protein sequence. The consensus sequence for the Zn finger repeat is shown above the sequences, and is based on matches in seven of the nine aligned sequences.

Supplemental Figure 2. Subcellular localization of GFP-AtNFXL1 and GFP proteins in *Arabidopsis* cells. Protoplasts of *Arabidopsis* T87 suspension culture cells were transfected using the polyethylene glycol (PEG) method. GFP-AtNFXL1 fusion protein localized to the nucleus of *Arabidopsis* cells (a-c). In contrast, GFP localized to the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

cytosol (d-f). GFP fluorescence was visualized in using a fluorescence microscope.

CONFIDENTIAL

Figure 1

111x213mm (400 x 400 DPI)

Figure 2

100x210mm (400 x 400 DPI)

Figure 3

80x82mm (400 x 400 DPI)

Figure 4

Figure 5

120x109mm (400 x 400 DPI)