Introduction of nucleophiles or ethyl group to the indole nucleus through nucleophilic substitution and/or radical reactions of 1-methoxyindole-3-and -2-carbox-aldehyde

メタデータ	言語: eng
	出版者:
	公開日: 2017-10-04
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者:
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2297/4328

INTRODUCTION OF NUCLEOPHILES OR ETHYL GROUP TO THE INDOLE NUCLEUS THROUGH NUCLEOPHILIC SUBSTITUTION AND/OR RADICAL REACTIONS OF 1-METHOXYINDOLE-3- AND -2-CARBOXALDEHYDE  $^{\rm 1}$ 

Fumio Yamada, Yoshikazu Fukui, Daisuke Shinmyo, and Masanori Somei\*

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kanazawa University
13-1 Takara-machi, Kanazawa 920, Japan

Abstract—1-Methoxyindole-3- and -2-carboxaldehyde were found to undergo nucleophilic substitution and radical reactions resulting in the introduction of nucleophiles and/or ethyl group at the 2- or 3-position.

In continuing work on verifying our "1-hydroxyindole hypotheses", <sup>2</sup> we discovered that pyrrolo[2,3-b]indoles<sup>2</sup> were generally formed in the intramolecular nucleophilic substitution reactions of 1-hydroxytryptophan and 1-hydroxytryptamine derivatives. <sup>3</sup> Now, we have newly observed that the formation of C-C bond at the 2- or 3-position of 1-methoxyindole-3-carboxaldehyde (1a) occurs readily by the displacement of 1-methoxy group followed by intermolecular attack with nucleophiles<sup>2,4</sup> and/or radicalic reagents, as predicted. Nucleophilic substitution reactions also occurred in the case of 1-methoxyindole-2-carboxaldehyde<sup>5</sup> (2).

Treatment of 1a with potassium cyanide (KCN) in N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF) and water at 75~80°C produced 2-cyanoindole-3-carboxaldehyde (3a) in 98% yield (Scheme 1). Under the same reaction conditions, indole-3-carboxaldehyde (4a) afforded complete recovery of starting material (4a), suggesting that 3a was produced through the nucleophilic substitution reaction. While,

Scheme 19

the reaction of 1-methoxyindole (1b) itself with KCN in DMF and water at reflux for 15 h afforded, to our surprise, 0.3% yield of 3-methoxyindole (4b) regardless of its quite instability under these reaction conditions, together with 2-cyanoindole (3b) and recovery of 1b in 2 and 48% yields, respectively. These results indicate that the formyl group of 1a plays a role in enhancing the reactivity of 2-position, nevertheless the presence is not necessary for the nucleophilic substitution reactions. When sodium dimethyl malonate was selected as a nucleophile, 1a afforded dimethyl 2-(3-formylindol-2-yl)malonate (5) and methyl 2-(3-formylindol-2-yl)acetate (6) in 26 and 46% yields, respectively.

It should be noted that the reaction of 1a with (3,3-dimethylallyl)trimethylsilane in the presence of tetrabutylammonium fluoride in tetrahydrofuran at room temperature gave the expected 2-(1,1-dimethylallyl)indole-3-carboxaldehyde (7), 2-(3,3-dimethylallyl)indole-3-carboxaldehyde (8), and  $\alpha$ -(1,1-dimethylallyl)-1-methoxyindole-3-methanol (9) in 12, 7, and 14 % yields, respectively, together with 38% yield of recovery. Similar reaction of 1a with allyltrimethylsilane afforded (E)-2-(1-propen-1-yl)indole-3-carboxaldehyde (10) and  $\alpha$ -allyl-1-methoxyindole-3-methanol (11) in 23 and 28% yields, respectively. All attempts to transform 11 to 10 via Cope rearrangement and concomitant oxidation were unsuccessful.

Our hypotheses<sup>2</sup> for introduction of prenyl group to the indole nucleus led us to examine the reaction of **1a** with sodium 3-methyl-2-buten-1-olate in 3-methyl-2-buten-1-ol, resulting in the generation of the predicted 3-(1, 1-dimethylallyl)-2-oxindole (**12**)<sup>6</sup> in 41% yield (Scheme 2). While, the same reaction carried out in DMF at room temperature produced inseparable mixture of 3-formyl-3-(1,1-dimethylallyl)-2-oxindole (**13**) and **12** (54 and 22% yields, respectively, based on 500 MHz <sup>1</sup>H-nmr spectrum), and by the treatment with refluxing methanol the mixture was quantitatively converted to **12**. Therefore, without isolation procedure of the mixture, **12** was obtained in 75% yield in one pot operation from **1a**. The mechanism depicted in

## 

Table I. Preparation of 2-Ethylindole-3-carboxaldehyde from  ${\hbox{$1$-Methoxyindole-3-carboxaldehyde}}^9$ 

1 <b>a</b>					19	+	1 <b>a</b>	
Run	BEt <sub>3</sub>	Reaction Conditions					Yield	(%) of
	(mol. eq.)	Atmosphere	Additives	Temp. (°C)	Time	(h)	19	1 <b>a</b>
1	1. 1	Ar	_	22	2		23	6 9
2	2. 5	Ar	-	20	3		44	49
3	7.0	Ar	-	20	3		38	31
4	2.5	Ar	-	reflux	3		38	38
5	2.5	Ar	AIBN	reflux	3		31	39
6	2. 5	O 2	-	17	3		12	73

Scheme 2 could explain these results.

Nucleophilic substitution reactions were also observed in the case of 2. <sup>2</sup> Thus, the reaction of 2 with dimethylamine in refluxing methanol, potassium phenoxide in refluxing tert. butanol, and sodium thiomethoxide in refluxing methanol, afforded 3-dimethylamino- (14), 3-phenoxy- (15), and 3-methylthioindole-2-carboxaldehydes (16) in 90, 44, and 73% yields, respectively (Scheme 1). Preparation of various derivatives of 16 is in progress aiming at HIV virus transcriptase inhibitors. <sup>7</sup> Interestingly, 2 did not undergo substitution reaction with sodium dimethyl malonate, instead aldol condensation and Michael addition reactions to the product (17) were observed, culminating in the formation of 17 and 18 in 44 and 21% yields, respectively. Under similar reaction conditions, 17 reacted with sodium dimethyl malonate to give 18 in 27% yield together with 40% yield of recovery (17).

On the other hand, the reaction of 1a with triethylborane (Et<sub>3</sub>B, 2.5 mol eq.) in benzene at room temperature produced 2-ethylindole-3-carboxaldehyde (19) in 44% yield together with 49% yield of recovery (1a) and the results are summarized in Table I. Changes in reaction conditions such as the amounts of Et<sub>3</sub>B (runs 1~3), reaction temperature (run 4), addition of 2,2'-azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN, run 5), or change in atmosphere from argon to dioxygen (run 6) could not improve the yield. In the similar reaction of indole-3-carboxaldehyde (4a) with Et<sub>3</sub>B, the best yield of 19 was 7%. Since radicallic nature of Et<sub>3</sub>B is well known, 8 radical reactions of 1-methoxyindoles are under investigation.

The current subject is whether the same type of reactions stated above occur in the case of 1-hydroxytryptophan and/or 1-hydroxytryptamine derivatives, and the results will be reported in due course. With the attempted and versatile building blocks (6, 7, 8, 12, etc.) in hand, we are on the way towards total syntheses of various indole alkaloids.

## REFERENCES AND NOTES

- Dedicated to Prof. E. C. Taylor on the occasion of his 70th birthday.
   This is partly reported, Book of Abstracts, "The 23rd Congress of Heterocyclic Chemistry", Nagoya, Oct., 1992, p. 157. This report is Part 63 of a series entitled "The Chemistry of Indoles". Part 62: K. Nakagawa, N. Aoki, H. Mukaiyama, and M. Somei, Heterocycles, 1992, 34, 2269.
- a) M. Somei, T. Kawasaki, Y. Fukui, F. Yamada, T. Kobayashi, H. Aoyama, and D. Shinmyo, Heterocycles, 1992, 34, 1887 and references cited therein.
   b) Review: M. Somei, Yuki Gosei Kagaku Kyokai Shi, 1991, 49, 205.
- M. Somei, T. Kawasaki, K. Shimizu, Y. Fukui, and T. Ohta, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1991, 39, 1905.
- a) T. Kawasaki, A. Kodama, T. Nishida, K. Shimizu, and M. Somei, Heterocycles, 1991, 32, 221.
   b) Photo rearrangement of 1-methoxyindole: M. Somei and M. Natsume, Tetrahedron Lett., 1973, 2451.
- 5. The yield of 2 was improved to 98%. See reference 4a.
- 6. We have already succeeded in a synthesis of similar building block, 3-(1,1-dimethylpropargyl)-1-methoxy-2-oxindole: M. Somei, H. Sato, N. Komura, and C. Kaneko, Heterocycles, 1985, 23, 1101.
- W. J. Greenlee and P. C. Srinivasan, U. S. Patent 5, 124, 327 (1992)
   [Chem. Abstr., 1992, 117, 124478r].
- 8. A. Suzuki, Yuki Gosei Kagaku Kyokai Shi, 1971, 29, 995.
- 9. Satisfactory spectroscopic and elemental analyses or high resolution mass spectral data have been obtained for all new compounds.

Received, 13th November, 1992