

Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Electrophile Coupling between Benzyl Alcohols and Aryl Halides Assisted by Titanium Co-reductant

メタデータ	言語: eng 出版者: 公開日: 2019-04-01 キーワード (Ja): キーワード (En): 作成者: メールアドレス: 所属:
URL	https://doi.org/10.24517/00053795

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons
Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0
International License.




Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Electrophile Coupling between Benzyl Alcohols and Aryl Halides Assisted by Titanium Co-Reductant

Takuya Suga* and Yutaka Ukaji*

Division of Material Chemistry, Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Kanazawa University, Kakuma, Kanazawa, Ishikawa, Japan


Supporting Information Placeholder



ABSTRACT: A nickel-catalyzed cross-electrophile coupling reaction between benzyl alcohols and aryl halides has been developed using a homolytic C–O bond cleavage protocol that has recently been established. The treatment of a benzyl alcohol and aryl halide with a nickel catalyst and low-valent titanium reagent generated from TiCl_4 (lutidine) (lutidine = 2,6-lutidine) and manganese powder afforded the cross-coupled product in high yield. A mechanistic study indicated the intermediacy of the benzyl radicals that originate from the benzyl alcohols.

Alcohols, although ubiquitous, have found limited direct use as partners in transition metal-catalyzed reactions, except in processes such as allylic alcohol activation¹ and hydrogen-autotransfer catalysis.² The development of an alternative method that could replace esters, halides, or carbonyl compounds with their precursor alcohols in catalytic C–C bond-forming reactions would be a desirable advancement, and could exponentially extend the use of alcohols. Very recently, we have discovered a concise protocol for generating benzyl radicals in one step from benzyl alcohols by using an inexpensive low-valent titanium reagent; this reaction was successfully applied to radical conjugate additions (Scheme 1, eq 1).³ Encouraged by this discovery, we proposed that our protocol could offer an unexploited radical route toward transition metal-catalyzed alcohol transformations.^{4–7} In this report, we describe the nickel-catalyzed radical cross-electrophile coupling reaction^{4,7} between alcohols and aryl halides (Scheme 1, eq 2). Although uncommon in literature, low-valent titanium reagents have been used for incorporating carbon radicals into transition metal catalysis. Cuerva has discovered that low-valent titanium reagents can react with alkylnickel complexes to generate carbon radicals (Scheme 1, eq 3).⁸ Weix has introduced the low-valent titanium-mediated reduction of epoxides into the nickel-catalyzed radical cross-electrophile coupling (Scheme 1, eq 4).⁹ Similarly, Rahaim has merged the reduction of benzonitrile and the cross-electrophile coupling very recently (Scheme 1, eq 5).¹⁰ In this context, our present work newly unlocks alcohols for such titanium/nickel cooperative reactions.

Scheme 1. Background of This Study



Only two precedent diarylmethane syntheses via cross-coupling reactions using benzyl alcohols have been reported.¹¹ Shi has recently described a hydroxy-Kumada-Tamao-Corriu coupling¹² and hydroxy-Suzuki-Miyaura coupling (Scheme 1, eq 6).¹³ These reactions exhibited excellent performance when the substrates were benzyl alcohols that have extended π -conjugation systems at the arene moieties (e.g. 2-naphthalenemethanol). However, monocyclic alcohols were likely to be less suitable. We expected that the broad alcohol scope of our radical generation protocol would overcome this limitation.³

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions^a

Standard conditions: $\text{NiCl}_2(\text{Me}_4\text{Phen})\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ **4a** (1.8 mol %), Mn (2 equiv), $\text{TiCl}_4(\text{lutidine})$ **5** (1.1 equiv), 2,6-lutidine (1.2 equiv), THF (2 mL), 70 °C, 20 h

entry	deviations from standard conditions	3a (%) ^b
1	none	86
2	w/o 4a	0
3	Me_4Phen instead of 4a	0
4	$\text{NiCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ instead of 4a	9
5	$\text{NiBr}_2(\text{dme})$ instead of 4a	25
6	w/o $\text{TiCl}_4(\text{lutidine})$	0
7	$\text{TiCl}_4(\text{tmeda})$ instead of 5	42
8	Cp_2TiCl_2 instead of 5	62
9	benzene instead of THF	>5
10	DMA instead of THF	>5
11	w/o Mn	0
12	Zn instead of Mn	28
13	w/o added 2,6-lutidine	78
14 ^c	$\text{NiBr}_2(\text{dme})$ (1 mol %) + Me_4Phen instead of 1.8 mol % 4a	77
15 ^c	$\text{NiBr}_2(\text{dme})$ (1 mol %) + $(\text{MeO})_2\text{Phen}$ instead of 1.8 mol % 4a	81
16	$\text{NiCl}_2((\text{MeO})_2\text{Phen})\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 4b (1.8 mol %) instead of 1.8 mol % 4a	88 ^d

^aAbbreviations: lutidine = 2,6-lutidine; Me_4Phen = 3,4,7,8-tetramethylphenanthroline; $(\text{MeO})_2\text{Phen}$ = 4,7-dimethoxyphenanthroline; tmeda = *N,N,N',N'*-tetramethylethylenediamine; dme = 1,2-dimethoxyethane. ^b¹H NMR yield unless otherwise noted. ^cCatalyst was prepared by pre-mixing 1 mol % $\text{NiBr}_2(\text{dme})$ and 1.2 mol % ligand in THF. ^dIsolated yield.

We found that the desired cross-coupling took place when a nickel catalyst and aryl halide were introduced into our typical conditions³ for homolytic C–OH bond cleavage (Table 1). For example, the treatment of benzyl alcohol **1a** and 1.2 equiv of iodobenzene **2a** with 1.8 mol % $\text{NiCl}_2(\text{Me}_4\text{Phen})\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ **4a**, $\text{TiCl}_4(\text{lutidine})$ **5** (lutidine = 2,6-lutidine), manganese powder, and 2,6-lutidine in THF at reflux temperature afforded the cross-coupling product **3a** in 86% yield (Table 1, entry 1). To prove the necessity of each component, several control

experiments were conducted. No coupling product was observed in the absence of the nickel catalyst (Table 1, entries 2, 3). The advantage of the phenanthroline ligand was obvious, but other ligands worked to some extent (Table 1, entries 4, 5). Without the titanium co-reductant **5**, again, no coupling reaction took place (Table 1, entry 6). The reaction using $\text{TiCl}_4(\text{tmeda})$ and Cp_2TiCl_2 also afforded **3a**, albeit with decreased yields (Table 1, entries 7, 8). The reaction in benzene or *N,N*-dimethylacetamide just resulted in the recovery of **1a** (Table 1, entries 9, 10). A reductant was indispensable for the reaction (Table 1, entry 11). The use of zinc instead of manganese decreased the yield of **3a** (Table 1, entry 12). The effect of the added 2,6-lutidine was not apparent for **1a** and **2a**, but an obvious positive effect was observed for several other substrates (Table 1, entry 13) (see also Table S2 in Supporting Information (SI)). The yield did not drop significantly, even with 1 mol % nickel catalysts prepared from $\text{NiBr}_2(\text{dme})$ and ligands. Me_4Phen (Table 1, entry 14) and $(\text{MeO})_2\text{Phen}$ (Table 1, entry 15) exhibited the best performance of all the ligands that we tested. Isolated $\text{NiCl}_2((\text{MeO})_2\text{Phen})\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ **4b** was as reactive as **4a**, giving the coupling product in 88% isolated yield (Table 1, entry 16). The results of the further ligand screening are summarized in Table S3 (see SI).

Table 2. Scope of Benzyl Alcohols

4a (1.8 mol %) or 4b (1.8 mol %), Mn (2 equiv), **5** (1.1 equiv), 2,6-lutidine (1.2 equiv), THF (2 mL), 70 °C, 20 h

substrates and yields of 3 (%) ^a			
1a (88%) (with 4b)	1b (92% ^b) (with 4a)	1c (84%) (with 4b)	1d (86%) (with 4a)
1e (90%) (with 4b)	1f (91%) (with 4b)	1g (88%) (with 4b)	1h (90%) (with 4b)
1i (63%) (with 4a)	1j (81%) (with 4b)	1k (93%) (with 4a)	1l (90%) (with 4a)
unsuccessful: 1m 1n		Ni catalysts: 4a 4b	

^aIsolated yield. The catalyst used is shown parenthetically. ^b1.0 mmol scale.

The range of tolerated benzyl alcohols **1** was investigated using iodobenzene **2a** as a coupling partner and either **4a** or **4b** as a catalyst. Similar to the simplest benzyl alcohol (**1a**), polycyclic 2-naphthalenemethanol **1b** afforded the coupling product in high yield. An alkyl substituent at the *para*- or *ortho*-position did not affect the yield (**1c** and **1d**). Various functional

groups were tolerant of the reaction conditions. 4-Fluoro and 4-chlorobenzyl alcohol were suitable (**1e** and **1f**). Electron-deficient benzyl alcohols such as 4-(trifluoromethyl), 4-(methoxycarbonyl) and 4-cyanobenzyl alcohol afforded the desired products in good to high yields (**1g–1i**). Trifluoromethanesulfonate **1j** retained its functionality through the reaction. An electron-donating methoxy group did not interfere in the reaction significantly (**1k**). Heteroaromatic 2-thiophenemethanol **1l** also underwent the coupling reaction efficiently. Unfortunately, in sharp contrast to our previous radical conjugate addition reaction,³ sterically demanding alcohols **1m** and **1n** were not suitable.

Table 3. Scope of Aryl Halides

Reaction scheme: **1a** (0.4 mmol) + **2** (1.2 equiv) with **4b** (1.8 mol %), Mn (2 equiv), **5** (1.1 equiv), 2,6-lutidine (1.2 equiv) in THF (2 mL), 70 °C, 20 h yields **3**.

substrates and yields of 3 (%) ^a			
Iodides:			
 2a (88%)	 2b (86%)	 2c (71%)	 2d (78%) (94%) ^b
 2e (85%)	 2f (79%)	 2g (77%) (89%) ^b	 2h (60%)
 2i (72%)	 2j (45%) ^c		
Bromides:			
 2k 1.2 equiv ^d : (53%) ^b 3.0 equiv ^d : (78%)	 2l 1.2 equiv ^d : (33%) ^b 3.0 equiv ^d : (64%)	 2m (87%) (100%) ^b	

^aIsolated yield. ^b¹H NMR yield before isolation. ^cConsiderable amount of 1,2-diphenylethane was obtained (23% NMR yield). ^dAmount of aryl halide.

The scope of the aryl halides is provided in Table 3. In cases of technical difficulty during isolation, NMR yields are also given. The reaction accepted many representative aryl iodides other than iodobenzene (**2a**). Both 4- and 2-methyliodobenzene **2b** and **2c** afforded coupling products in good yields. 4-Fluoro and 4-chloriodobenzene **2d** and **2e** were also good substrates. Aryl iodides bearing either electron-withdrawing (4-trifluoromethyl, 4-methoxycarbonyl, and 4-cyano) or electron-donating (4-methoxy and 4-dimethylamino) groups underwent the desired reaction, although the presence of cyano and dimethylamino groups decreased the yields (**2f–2j**). Aryl bromides were also suitable substrates. Higher loadings (3 equiv) of the bromides were necessary to obtain satisfactory yields for bromobenzene and 4-bromoanisole **2k** and **2l**, while methyl 4-bromobenzoate **2m** afforded the product quantitatively even with 1.2 equiv. To further ensure the utility of this reaction, we examined all combinations of electron-deficient/electron-rich substrates by employing 4-methoxy/methoxycarbonyl alcohols/iodobenzenes (Scheme 2).

Fortunately, all combinations afforded the coupling products in good to high yields, regardless of the electronic natures of the substrates (63–89% yields).

Scheme 2. Cross Couplings between Both Functionalized Benzyl Alcohols and Iodobenzenes







Figure 1. Time-course dependence of alcohol consumption. The reaction conditions were as follows: **1c** (0.4 mmol), Mn (2.0 equiv), and **5** (1.1 equiv) in THF (2 mL) at 70 °C (oil bath temperature). For the solid line, **2a** (1.2 equiv) and **4b** (1.8 mol %) were added. The reactions were carried out in separate batches for each point. The yields were estimated by ¹H NMR. The detailed data are given in Figure S2 (SI).


Scheme 3. Effect of Catalyst Loading



We conducted several mechanistic studies to rule out the possible two-electron oxidative addition of the C–O[Ti] bond to Ni⁰. First, the time-course dependence of alcohol consumption was compared between reactions with or without nickel catalyst **4b** using 4-*tert*-butylbenzyl alcohol **1c** (Figure 1). In both cases,

the reactions began after 1–2 h induction periods,¹⁵ followed by the gradual consumption of starting material **1c** at similar rates. Compound **1c** was converted to a mixture of the corresponding dimer of the benzyl radical and the deoxygenated product in the absence of **4b** and iodobenzene **2a** (hashed line). Meanwhile, **3c** was nearly the sole product obtained in the presence of **4b** and **2a** (solid line). We also found that an increased catalyst loading did not accelerate the reaction (Scheme 3). For example, reactions with either 1.8 or 9 mol % catalyst loadings afforded the coupling product in ca. 40% yield after 4 h. These results clearly indicate that the reaction rate is dependent only on the self-decomposition of the benzyl titanate intermediate ($\text{ArCH}_2\text{O}[\text{Ti}]$) to generate the benzyl radical, and the Ni catalyst does not participate in the C–O bond cleavage step.

Scheme 4. Proposed Reaction Mechanism



The proposed reaction mechanism is depicted in Scheme 4.⁵ Ni^0 **A** reacts with iodobenzene to generate arylnickel **B**, followed by the one-electron oxidative addition of the benzyl radical to give **C**. Reductive elimination of the product furnishes **D**, and **D** is further reduced to **A** to close the catalytic cycle. The benzyl radical is supplied from the outside of the catalytic cycle by low-valent titanium-mediated homolytic C–O bond cleavage.¹⁶

In summary, we have established a cross-electrophile coupling reaction between benzyl alcohols and aryl halides through titanium-mediated homolytic C–O bond cleavage. This reaction has broad scope for both coupling fragments, and no large excesses of substrates are required, except in the cases of some aryl bromides. Mechanistic studies indicated that the reaction proceeds via a benzyl radical, as we expected. This work provides a new usage for alcohols in transition metal catalysis.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental details, analytical data for new compounds, and additional experimental results are included. The Supporting Information is available free of charge via the ACS Publications website.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

suga-t@se.kanazawa-u.ac.jp
 ukaji@staff.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

Notes

We declare no competing financial interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by a Mitsui Chemicals Award in Synthetic Organic Chemistry, Japan, a UBE Industries Foundation Award, and the Kanazawa University SAKIGAKE project.

REFERENCES

- (1) Sundararaju, B.; Achard, M.; Bruneau, C. Transition metal catalyzed nucleophilic allylic substitution: activation of allylic alcohols via π -allylic species. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 4467–4483.
- (2) (a) Kim, S. W.; Zhang, W.; Krische, M. J. Catalytic Enantioselective Carbonyl Allylation and Propargylation via Alcohol-Mediated Hydrogen Transfer: Merging the Chemistry of Grignard and Sabatier. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2017**, *50*, 2371–2380. (b) Corma, A.; Navas, J.; Sabater, M. J. Advances in One-Pot Synthesis through Borrowing Hydrogen Catalysis. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118*, 1410–1459.
- (3) Suga, T.; Shimazu, S.; Ukaji, Y. Low-Valent Titanium-Mediated Radical Conjugate Addition Using Benzyl Alcohols as Benzyl Radical Sources. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 5389–5392.
- (4) For recent reviews, see: (a) Knappe, C. E. I.; Grupe, S.; Gärtner, D.; Corpet, M.; Gosmini, C.; von Wangelin, A. J. Reductive Cross-Coupling Reactions between Two Electrophiles. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 6828–6842. (b) Weix, D. J. Methods and Mechanisms for Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Csp^2 Halides with Alkyl Electrophiles. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 1767–1775. (c) Gu, J.; Wang, X.; Xue, W.; Gong, H. Nickel-catalyzed reductive coupling of alkyl halides with other electrophiles: concept and mechanistic considerations. *Org. Chem. Front.* **2015**, *2*, 1411–1421. (d) Kaga, A.; Chiba, S. Engaging Radicals in Transition Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling with Alkyl Electrophiles: Recent Advances. *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 4697–4706.
- (5) For mechanistic studies, see: (a) Biswas, S.; Weix, D. J. Mechanism and Selectivity in Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Aryl Halides with Alkyl Halides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 16192–16197. (b) Gutierrez, O.; Tellis, J. C.; Primer, D. N.; Molander, G. A.; Kozlowski, M. C. Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of Photoredox-Generated Radicals: Uncovering a General Manifold for Stereocoherence in Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Couplings. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 4896–4899. (c) Wang, X.; Ma, G.; Peng, Y.; Pitsch, C. E.; Moll, B. J.; Ly, T. D.; Wang, X.; Gong, H. Ni-Catalyzed Reductive Coupling of Electron-Rich Aryl Iodides with Tertiary Alkyl Halides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 14490–14497.
- (6) Selected examples are given in ref 6 and 7. (a) Kalyani, D.; McMurtrey, K. B.; Neufeldt, S. R.; Sanford, M. S. Room-Temperature C–H Arylation: Merger of Pd-Catalyzed C–H Functionalization and Visible-Light Photocatalysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 18566–18569. (b) Tellis, J. C.; Primer, D. N.; Molander, G. A. Single-electron transmetalation in organoboron cross-coupling by photoredox/nickel dual catalysis. *Science* **2014**, *345*, 433–436. (c) Zuo, Z. Ahneman, D. T.; Chu, L.; Terrett, J. A.; Doyle, A. G.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Merging photoredox with nickel catalysis: Coupling of α -carboxyl sp^3 -carbons with aryl halides. *Science* **2014**, *345*, 437–440. (d) Tang, S.; Wang, P.; Li, H.; Lei, A. Multimetallic catalyzed radical oxidative C(sp^3)–H/C(sp)–H cross-coupling between unactivated alkanes and terminal alkynes. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 11676. (e) Heitz, D. R.; Tellis, J. C.; Molander, G. A. Photochemical Nickel-Catalyzed C–H Arylation: Synthetic Scope and Mechanistic Investigations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 12715–12718. (f) Shields, B. J.; Doyle, A. G. Direct C(sp^3)–H Cross Coupling Enabled by Catalytic Generation of Chlorine Radicals. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 12719–12722. (g) Zhang, X.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Alcohols as Latent Coupling Fragments for Metallaphotoredox Catalysis: sp^3 – sp^2 Cross-Coupling of Oxalates with Aryl Halides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 13862–13865.
- (7) (a) Amatore, M.; Gosmini, C. Direct Method for Carbon-Carbon Bond Formation: The Functional Group Tolerant Cobalt-Catalyzed Alkylation of Aryl Halides. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 5848–5852. (b) Everson, D. A.; Shrestha, R.; Weix, D. J. Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Cross-Coupling of Aryl Halides with Alkyl Halides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*

- 2010, *132*, 920–921. (c) Erickson, L. W.; Lucas, E. L.; Tollefson, E. J.; Jarvo, E. R. Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Alkyl Fluorides: Stereospecific Synthesis of Vinylcyclopropanes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 14006–14011. (d) Poremba, K. E.; Kadunce, N. T.; Suzuki, N.; Cherney, A. H.; Reisman, S. E. Nickel-Catalyzed Asymmetric Reductive Cross-Coupling To Access 1,1-Diaryllalkanes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 5684–5687. (e) Woods, B. P.; Orlandi, M.; Huang, C.-Y.; Sigman, M. S.; Doyle, A. G. Nickel-Catalyzed Enantioselective Reductive Cross-Coupling of Styrenyl Aziridines. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 5688–5691. (f) Chen, H.; Jia, X.; Yu, Y.; Qian, Q.; Gong, H. Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Allylation of Tertiary Alkyl Halides with Allylic Carbonates. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 13103–13106. See also ref 5c.
- (8) (a) Campaña, A. G.; Bazdi, B.; Fuentes, N.; Robles, R.; Cuerva, J. M.; Oltra, J. E.; Porcel, S.; Echavarren, A. M. Divergent Titanium-Mediated Allylations with Modulation by Nickel or Palladium. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 7515–7519. (b) Martínez-Peragón, Á.; Millán, A.; Campaña, A. G.; Rodríguez-Márquez, I.; Resa, S.; Miguel, D.; de Cienfuegos, L. Á.; Cuerva, J. M. Ti/Ni-Based Multimetallic System for the Efficient Allylation of Carbonyl Compounds. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, 1499–1503. (c) Millán, A.; de Cienfuegos, L. Á.; Miguel, D.; Campaña, A. G.; Cuerva, J. M. Water Control over the Chemoselectivity of a Ti/Ni Multimetallic System: Heck- or Reductive-Type Cyclization Reactions of Alkyl Iodides. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 5984–5987. (d) Márquez, I. R.; Miguel, D.; Millán, A.; Marcos, M. L.; de Cienfuegos, L. Á.; Campaña, A. G.; Cuerva, J. M. Ti/Ni-Mediated Inter- and Intramolecular Conjugate Addition of Aryl and Alkenyl Halides and Triflates. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 1529–1541.
- (9) (a) Zhao, Y.; Weix, D. J. Nickel-Catalyzed Regiodivergent Opening of Epoxides with Aryl Halides: Co-Catalysis Controls Regioselectivity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 48–51. (b) Zhao, Y.; Weix, D. J. Enantioselective Cross-Coupling of meso-Epoxides with Aryl Halides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 3237–3240.
- (10) Chenniappan, V. K.; Silwal, S.; Rahaim, R. J. Ni/Ti Dual Catalytic Cross-Coupling of Nitriles and Organobromides To Access Ketones. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 4539–4544.
- (11) It should be noted that the diarylmethane synthesis from benzyl alcohol derivatives via one-pot stepwise esterification and Ni-catalyzed radical coupling has been developed. Ackerman, L. K. G.; Anka-Lufford, L. L.; Naodovic, M.; Weix, D. J. Cobalt co-catalysis for cross-electrophile coupling: diarylmethanes from benzyl mesylates and aryl halides. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 1115–1119.
- (12) Yu, D.-G.; Wang, X.; Zhu, R.-Y.; Luo, S.; Zhang, X.-B.; Wang, B.-Q.; Wang, L.; Shi, Z.-J. Direct Arylation/Alkylation/Magnesiumation of Benzyl Alcohols in the Presence of Grignard Reagents via Ni-, Fe-, or Co-Catalyzed sp³ C–O Bond Activation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 14638–14641.
- (13) Cao, Z.-C.; Yu, D.-G.; Zhu, R.-Y.; Wei, J.-B.; Shi, Z.-J. Direct cross-coupling of benzyl alcohols to construct diarylmethanes via palladium catalysis. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 2683–2686.
- (14) Hensen, K.; Lemke, A.; Bolte, M. Lewis Acid/Base Adducts of TiCl₄ and Methylpyridines. *Z. Naturforsch.* **2000**, *55b*, 877–881.
- (15) The initial appearance of the reaction mixture as a gray suspension changes to a black solution when the reaction begins. We tentatively believe this phenomenon corresponds to the reduction of titanium(III) to titanium(II).
- (16) Another possibility is a transmetalation pathway. It has been proposed that carbon radicals can be trapped by low-valent titanium species to afford alkyltitanium species, which possibly undergo transmetalation with a nickel catalyst. Diéguez, H. R.; López, A.; Domingo, V.; Arteaga, J. F.; Dobado, J. A.; Herrador, M. M.; Quílez del Moral, J. F.; Barrero, A. F. Weakening C–O Bonds: Ti(III), a New Reagent for Alcohol Deoxygenation and Carbonyl Coupling Olefination. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 254–259. See also ref 8.
-