

## Some singular measures in $M_p(G)$

Yuichi KANJIN and Enji SATO

(Received April 26, 1991)

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group with dual  $\Gamma = \hat{G}$ ,  $M(G)$  the convolution measure algebra of finite regular Borel measures on  $G$ . For  $\mu \in M(G)$ , let  $\|\mu\|$  denote the total variation norm and  $\mu^*(E) = \overline{\mu(-E)}$  for any Borel set  $E$ . We call  $\mu$  a Hermitian measure if  $\mu^* = \mu$ . For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , let  $L_p(G)$  be the  $L_p$  space on  $G$  with respect to the Haar measure of  $G$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_p$  the norm of  $L_p(G)$ , and  $B(L_p)$  the set of all bounded linear operators on  $L_p(G)$ . For a function  $f(x)$  on  $G$  and  $y \in G$ , we define  $\tau_y f(x) = f(x-y)$ . An operator  $T \in B(L_p)$  is called an  $L_p$ -multiplier if  $T\tau_y = \tau_y T$  for all  $y \in G$ . The set of all  $L_p$ -multipliers will be denoted by  $M_p(G)$  and the norm of  $T \in M_p(G)$  is defined by the operator norm on  $L_p(G)$ . Then  $M_p(G)$  is a commutative Banach algebra with the identity  $I$ , where  $I$  is the identity mapping of  $L_p(G)$ . For  $T \in M_p(G)$ , let  $\text{sp}(T, M_p(G)) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; T - \lambda I \text{ is not invertible in } M_p(G)\}$ , where  $\mathbb{C}$  is the complex numbers. The set  $\text{sp}(T, M_p(G))$  is called the spectrum of  $T$  in  $M_p(G)$ . For  $\mu \in M(G)$ , we define  $T_\mu f(x) = \int_G f(x-y) d\mu(y)$  ( $f \in L_p(G)$ ). Then  $T_\mu \in M_p(G)$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $I = T_{\delta(0)}$ , where  $\delta(0)$  is the Dirac measure with unit mass at  $0$ . We denote  $\text{sp}(\mu, M_p(G)) = \text{sp}(T_\mu, M_p(G))$ . For  $\mu \in M(G)$ , let  $\hat{\mu}$  be the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of  $\mu$ , and  $\|\hat{\mu}\|_\infty = \sup\{|\hat{\mu}(\gamma)|; \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ . For later convenience, we here summarize some known properties of  $M_p(G)$  on a nondiscrete locally compact abelian group  $G$ .

- (1) The mapping  $\mu \rightarrow T_\mu$  gives that  $M(G)$  is isomorphic and isometric to  $M_1(G)$ .
- (2) For  $T \in M_p(G)$ , there uniquely exists  $\hat{T} \in L_\infty(\Gamma)$  such that  $T(\hat{f}) = \hat{T}\hat{f}$  for every  $f \in L_p(G) \cap L_2(G)$ .
- (3)  $M_2(G)$  is isomorphic to  $L_\infty(\Gamma)$ .
- (4)  $M_p(G)$  is isomorphic to  $M_q(G)$  if  $1/p + 1/q = 1$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ).
- (5)  $M_1(G) \subset M_p(G) \subset M_2(G)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ) (cf. [8]).
- (6) For  $\mu \in M(G)$ ,  $\text{sp}(\mu, M_1(G)) \supset \text{sp}(\mu, M_p(G)) \supset \text{sp}(\mu, M_2(G)) = \text{closure}(\hat{\mu}(\Gamma))$  ( $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ), where  $\text{closure}(\hat{\mu}(\Gamma))$  is the closure of  $\hat{\mu}(\Gamma)$  in the complex plane.
- (7)  $\text{sp}(T_f, M_p(G)) = \hat{f}(\Gamma) \cup \{0\}$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $f \in L_1(G)$ .
- (8) There exists  $\mu \in M(G)$  so that  $\text{sp}(\mu, M_1(G)) \not\supseteq \hat{\mu}(\Gamma) \cup \{0\}$  and  $\hat{\mu}(\gamma) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$  ( $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ) (cf. [13]).
- (9) If  $1 < p < 2$ , then  $\text{sp}(\mu, M_p(G)) = \hat{\mu}(\Gamma) \cup \{0\}$ , whenever  $\mu \in M(G)$  with  $\hat{\mu}(\gamma) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\gamma$

$\rightarrow \infty (\gamma \in \Gamma)$  ( [6] ).

One of deep results on spectra of measures as  $L_p$ -multipliers,  $1 < p < 2$  is the following theorem of Igari [7].

**THEOREM A** ( [7] ). *Let  $G$  be a nondiscrete locally compact abelian group. For  $1 < p < 2$ , there exists  $\mu \in M(G)$  such that  $\text{sp}(\mu, M_p(G)) \not\supseteq \text{closure}(\hat{\mu}(\Gamma))$ .*

We remark that  $\mu$  may depend on  $p$ .

On the other hand, Sarnak [15] gave a bound of the region of the spectrum of a measure in  $M_p(G)$ .

**THEOREM B** ( [15] ). *Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group,  $\mu \in M(G)$  a Hermitian measure with  $\|\mu\| = 1$  and  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ . Then  $\text{sp}(\mu, M_p(G))$  is contained in the region bounded by  $\gamma_p = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; \theta(z) = \pi/2 + \pi(p-1)/p\}$ , where  $\theta(z)$  is the angle subtended at  $z$  by the line  $[-1, 1]$ .*

It is natural to ask the existence of a measure  $\mu \in M(G)$  satisfying that the region  $\text{sp}(\mu, M_p(G))$  shrinks to  $\text{closure}(\hat{\mu}(\Gamma))$  as  $p \rightarrow 2$ . The following theorem is an answer.

**THEOREM C** ( [16] ). *Let  $G$  be a nondiscrete locally compact abelian group. Then there exists  $\mu \in M(G)$  a Hermitian probability measure such that  $\text{sp}(\mu, M_p(G)) \not\supseteq \text{closure}(\hat{\mu}(\Gamma))$  for all  $1 \leq p < 2$ .*

In this paper, we shall investigate properties of a measure in THEOREM C in detail. Let  $C(\mu) = \{c \in \mathbb{C}; \gamma_n \rightarrow c \text{ in the weak } * \text{ topology of } L_\infty(\mu) \text{ for some } \{\gamma_n\} \subset \Gamma\}$  for a probability measure  $\mu \in M(G)$ . Then one of our results in §2 is as follows: There exists  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{T})$  a Hermitian probability measure such that  $C(\mu) = [-1, 1]$  and  $\text{sp}(\mu, M_p(\mathbb{T})) \not\supseteq \text{closure}(\hat{\mu}(\Gamma))$  for all  $1 \leq p < 2$  (THEOREM 2.1). In particular,  $\mu$  has strongly independent powers (cf. [4]). Here,  $\mathbb{T}$  is the circle group. We treat spectra of measures in  $M_p(G)$  in the case that  $G$  is a dyadic group in §3, and in the case that  $G$  is a group of bounded order in §4. In the last section §5, we discuss spectra of measures in  $M_p(G)$  when  $G$  is a locally compact abelian group.

**Remark.** By [2], [3] and [11], we obtain that for an infinite compact abelian group  $G$ , there exists  $\mu \in M(G)$  a Hermitian probability measure such that  $\text{sp}(\mu, M(G)) = \{|z| \leq 1\}$ , and  $\text{sp}(\mu, M_p(G)) = \text{closure}(\hat{\mu}(\Gamma)) = \{1\} \cup [-1/2, 1/2]$  for all  $1 < p \leq 2$ . This measure is one of  $L_p$ -improving measures (cf. [3], [5], [11]).

We can say that the spectrum of this measure is *thin*. On the other hand, the spectrum of our measure is *thick*.

## 2. Spectra of measures in $M_p(T)$

We first prove several lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1 ([12]). *Suppose  $1 \leq p < 2$ , and  $r$  a natural number. Then there exist a constant  $K_p > 1$  and a real-valued trigonometric polynomial  $Q(x)$  on  $T$  such that  $\sum |\hat{Q}(n)| \leq r$ , and*

$$\left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\exp(iQ(x))\hat{(n)}|^p \right\}^{1/p} > K_p^r.$$

DEFINITION 2.2. Let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $T$ .  $K$  is called a *Kronecker set*, if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $f \in C(K)$  with  $|f| = 1$  on  $K$ , there exists  $\gamma \in \hat{T}$  such that  $\|f - \gamma\|_K < \varepsilon$ .

LEMMA 2.3. *Suppose  $1 \leq p < 2$  and  $j$  a natural number. Then there exists a Hermitian probability measure  $\mu = \mu_{p,j}$  on  $T$  such that  $\mu = (\mu_0 + \mu_0^*)/2$ , where  $\mu_0$  is a continuous probability measure on some Kronecker set, and  $\|\exp(ij\mu)\|_{M_p(T)} > K_p^j$ .*

PROOF. Let  $Q$  be a real-valued trigonometric polynomial on  $T$ . Then  $\{\exp(iQ)\hat{(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in L_p(\mathbb{Z})$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and  $\|\exp(iQ)\hat{(n)}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{Z})} \leq \|\exp(iQ)\hat{(n)}\|_{M_p(\mathbb{Z})}$ . By LEMMA 2.1, there exist a constant  $K_p > 1$  and a real-valued trigonometric polynomial  $Q_j$  such that  $Q_j = \sum_{k=1}^j \hat{Q}_j(n_k) \cos n_k x$  for some natural numbers  $n_1, \dots, n_j$ , and  $\|\exp(iQ_j)\hat{(n)}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{Z})} > K_p^j$ . So  $\|\exp(iQ_j)\hat{(n)}\|_{M_p(\mathbb{Z})} > K_p^j$ . Also by [7; THEOREM A],  $\exp(iQ_j(x)) \in M_p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\|\exp(iQ_j)\hat{(n)}\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R})} = \|\exp(iQ_j)\hat{(n)}\|_{M_p(\mathbb{Z})}$ . On the other hand, for  $\lambda > 0$  putting  $\nu_{j,\lambda} = (1/j) \sum_{k=1}^j (\delta(\lambda n_k) + \delta(-\lambda n_k))/2$ , we get  $\hat{\nu}_{j,\lambda}(n) = Q_j(\lambda n)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). Moreover, by [7; THEOREM B]  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \|\exp(ij\nu_{j,\lambda})\|_{M_p(T)} = \|\exp(iQ_j)\hat{(n)}\|_{M_p(\mathbb{R})} > K_p^j$ . Then if  $\lambda$  is sufficiently small,  $\|\exp(ij\nu_{j,\lambda})\|_{M_p(T)} > K_p^j$  and  $\|j\nu_{j,\lambda}\|_{M_1(T)} = \|\{\hat{Q}_j\}\|_{L_1(T)} = j$ . So there exist trigonometric polynomials  $f_j$  and  $h_j$  such that  $\|f_j\|_p = 1$ ,  $\|h_j\|_q = 1(1/p + 1/q = 1)$ , and

$$(1) \quad \left| \int (\exp(ij\nu_{j,\lambda}) * f_j)(x) h_j(x) dx \right| > K_p^j.$$

Now putting  $g_j(y) = \int f_j(x-y) h_j(x) dx$ ,  $g_j$  is a trigonometric polynomial, and

$$(2) \quad \int (\exp(ij\nu_{j,\lambda}) * f_j)(x) h_j(x) dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (i^n j^n / n!) \int g_j d(\nu_{j,\lambda})^n.$$

Then for any  $\eta > 0$ , there exists a natural number  $N_0$  such that

$$\sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} (j^n / n!) \|g_j\|_{\infty} < \eta/3.$$

So we obtain

$$(3) \quad \left| (2) - \sum_{n=0}^{N_0} (i^n j^n / n!) \sum_k \hat{g}_j(k) \hat{\nu}_{j,\lambda}^n(-k) \right| < \eta/3.$$

Also for  $\eta_1 > 0$ , there exist  $U_t$  the compact neighborhood of  $\lambda n_t$  such that  $\{U_t\}_{t=1}^j$  is pairwise disjoint, and  $|\exp(i\lambda n_t k) - \exp(ik)| < \eta_1/j$  for all  $x \in U_t$ , and  $k \in \text{supp } \hat{g}_j$ . Then there exist perfect sets  $K_t \subset U_t$  such that  $K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_j$  is a Kronecker set.

Now let  $\mu_t$  be a continuous probability measure on  $K_t$ . Putting  $\tau = (1/j) \sum_t \mu_t$ ,  $\tau$  is a continuous probability measure on  $K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_j$ . Then for  $\mu = (\tau + \tau^*)/2$ , we get

$$(4) \quad |\hat{\mu}(-k) - \hat{\nu}_{j,\lambda}(-k)| < \eta_n \text{ for all } k \in \text{supp } \hat{g}_j.$$

Hence by (3) and (4), for sufficiently small  $\eta_n > 0$ , we obtain

$$(5) \quad \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N_0} (ij^n/n!) \sum_k \hat{g}_j(k) \hat{\nu}_{j,\lambda}(-k)^n - \sum_{n=0}^{N_0} (ij^n/n!) \sum_k \hat{g}_j(k) \hat{\mu}(-k)^n \right| < \eta/3.$$

Thus by (1) and (5), for sufficiently small  $\eta > 0$ , we get  $|\int (\exp(ij\mu) * f_j)(x) h_j(x) dx| > K_p^j$ . Then  $\|\exp(ij\mu)\|_{M_p(\mathbf{T})} > K_p^j$ . Q. E. D.

By the properties of Fejér kernel, it is easy to prove the next lemma.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let  $F_n(x)$  be the Fejér kernel of degree  $n$ , and  $\mu_{p,j}$  in LEMMA 2.3. We put  $\phi_{p,j,n} = \mu_{p,j} * F_n$ . Then there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that  $\|\exp(ij\phi_{p,j,n})\|_{M_p(\mathbf{T})} > K_p^j$  for any  $n \geq n_0$ .*

LEMMA 2.5 ([9]). *Let  $K$  be a perfect Kronecker set, and  $\nu$  a continuous probability measure on  $K$ . Then  $\{ |z| \leq 1 \} = \{ z \in \mathbf{C}; \hat{\nu}(\gamma_n) \rightarrow z \text{ as } \gamma_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } \{ \gamma_n \} \subset \hat{\mathbf{T}} \}$  ( $= C(\nu)$ ).*

THEOREM 2.6. *There exist a Hermitian probability measure  $\mu \in M(\mathbf{T})$  such that*

- (i)  $C(\mu) = [-1, 1]$ ,
- (ii)  $\delta(x) * \mu^n \perp \mu^m$  if  $0 \leq m < n$  and  $x \in \mathbf{T}$ , and
- (iii)  $sp(\mu, M_p(\mathbf{T})) \not\cong$  closure  $(\hat{\mu}(\mathbf{Z}))$  for all  $1 \leq p < 2$ .

*In particular,  $\mu$  is a continuous singular measure.*

PROOF. Let  $\mathbf{Q}$  be the set of all rational numbers. For each natural number  $n$ , let  $p_n$  be a rational number satisfying  $1 \leq p_n < 2$  such that  $\{p_n; n \geq 1\} = \mathbf{Q} \cap \{p; 1 \leq p < 2\}$  and that each  $p \in \mathbf{Q}$  with  $1 \leq p < 2$  appears infinitely often in the  $p_n$ 's. For each a positive integer  $p = p_j$ , there exists a positive integer  $n_j$  such that  $\{(\mu_{p_j} * F_{n_j})(k); k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  has an intersection with any interval of  $j$  equal parts of  $[-1, 1]$ . Putting  $\phi_j = \phi_{p_j, n_j}$ , there exists a trigonometric polynomial  $f_j$  such that

$$(1) \quad \|f_j\|_p = 1 \text{ and } \|f_j * \exp(ij\phi_j)\|_p > K_p^j.$$

So there is a positive integer  $m_j$  such that

$$(2) \quad (\text{supp } \hat{\phi}_j) \cup (\text{supp } \hat{f}_j) \subset \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm m_j\}.$$

Now let  $r_1 = 1, r_2, r_3, \dots$  be an increasing sequence of natural numbers of  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Then by the proof of [10; LEMMA 5], we get the measures defined by

$$(3) \quad d\mu_n(t) = [\phi_1(r_1 t) \cdots \phi_n(r_n t)] d\lambda_{\mathbf{T}}.$$

They are probability measures, and converges to a probability measure  $\mu \in M(\mathbf{T})$  in the weak\* topology such that

$$(4) \quad \hat{\mu}(k_1 r_1 + \dots + k_n r_n) = \prod_{j=1}^n \hat{\phi}_j(k_j)$$

whenever the  $k_j$  are integers such that

$$(5) \quad |k_j| \leq m_j \quad (j=1, \dots, n), \text{ and}$$

$$(6) \quad \hat{\mu}(m) = 0 \text{ for all other integers } m.$$

Let  $c$  be a real number in  $[-1, 1]$ . By the construction of  $\mu$ , there exist  $s_k \in r_k \mathbf{Z}$  with  $\hat{\mu}(s_k) \rightarrow c$ . Then  $\exp(-is_k x)$  converges to  $c$  in the weak\* topology of  $L_\infty(\mu)$ . So we get  $C(\mu) = [-1, 1]$ .

For a natural number  $j$ , we define a trigonometric polynomial  $g_j$  by setting  $g_j(t) = f_j(r_j t)$  for  $t \in T$ . Then,

$$(7) \quad \|g_j\|_p = 1 \text{ and } \text{supp } \hat{g}_j \subset \{kr_j; k=0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm m_j\}, \text{ by (1) and (2). Moreover, } \hat{g}_j(kr_j) = \hat{f}_j(k) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbf{Z}. \text{ It follows from (1), (4), (5), and (6) that}$$

$$(8) \quad \|\exp(ij\mu) * g_j\|_p = \|\exp(ij\phi_j) * f_j\|_p > K_p^j \text{ for } p = p_j.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\exp(ij\mu)\|_{M_p}^{1/j} > 1$$

for all  $1 \leq p < 2$  (cf. [16]). Therefore, we get the desired result.

Q. E. D.

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *There exists a Hermitian probability measure  $\mu \in M(T)$  such that*

$$(i) \quad \text{sp}(\mu, M_2(T)) = \text{closure } (\hat{\mu}(\mathbf{Z})) = [-1, 1], \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{sp}(\mu, M_1(T)) = \{|z| \leq 1\} \not\equiv \text{sp}(\mu, M_p(T)) \not\equiv \text{sp}(\mu, M_2(T)) \text{ for all } 1 < p < 2.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $c$  be in  $0 < c < 1$ . By THEOREM 2.6, there exists a generalized character  $\xi = \{\xi_\nu\}_{\nu \in M(T)}$  such that  $\xi_\nu = c$  a. e.  $\nu$  (cf. [4]). Then for any complex number  $z$  with  $\text{Re } z > 0$ ,  $\xi^z$  is a generalized character of  $M(T)$  (cf. [4]). Hence we get  $\text{sp}(\mu, M_1(T)) \supset \{\int \xi_\mu^z d\mu; \text{Re } z > 0\}$  ( $0 < |z| < 1$ ), and  $\text{sp}(\mu, M_1(T)) = \{|z| \leq 1\}$ . Also by THEOREM B, we get  $\{|z| \leq 1\} \not\equiv \text{sp}(\mu, M_p(T))$  for all  $p > 1$ .

Q. E. D.

By the theorem and [9], we have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *Let  $F$  be a complex-valued function on  $[-1, 1]$ , and continuous on  $(0, 1)$ . Suppose that  $F(\hat{\mu})$  is a Fourier-Stieltjes transform of some element in  $M(T)$  for the measure  $\mu$  obtained in the theorem. Then  $F$  extends to a bounded analytic function on  $\Sigma_1$ , where  $\Sigma_1$  is the set of all complex numbers of modulus less than 1 which are not nonpositive real numbers.*

### 3. Spectra of measures in $M_p(D_q)$

$D_q (q \geq 2)$  is defined by the complete direct sum of countably many copies of the cyclic group  $\mathbf{Z}_q$  of order  $q$ . Let  $\Delta_q$  be the dual group of  $D_q$ .

**DEFINITION 3.1.** For a compact subset  $K$  of  $D_q$ ,  $K$  is called a  $K_q$ -set if for any  $f$

$\in C(K)$  with  $f^q=1$ , there exists  $\gamma \in \Delta_q$  such that  $f = \gamma$  on  $K$ .

LEMMA 3.2 ([16]). *Let  $1 \leq p < 2$  and  $s$  be a positive integer. Then there exist a constant  $K_p > 1$ , independent of  $s$ , and  $\{\gamma_k\} \subset \Delta_q$  satisfying the following two conditions.*

(i) *For any  $1 < j \leq s$  and  $\Lambda_{j-1}$  the cyclic group generated by  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{j-1}\}$ , every coordinate component in  $\Lambda_{j-1}$ , which is in the coordinate components over  $s_{j-1}$ -th, is  $0 (\in \mathbf{Z}_q)$ , and nonzero coordinate component of the cyclic group generated by  $\gamma_j$  is in the coordinate components over  $s_{j-1}$ -th coordinate component.*

(ii)  $\left\{ \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_q} |(\exp(iQ))(\gamma)|^p \right\}^{1/p} > K_p^j$  for  $Q = \sum_{k=1}^s (\gamma_k(x) + \bar{\gamma}_k(x))/2$ .

LEMMA 3.3 ([7; THEOREM 3]). *Let  $\varphi$  be a continuous function on  $D_q$ , and  $\hat{v} = \varphi$  for some  $v \in M(\Delta_q)$ . Then we have*

$$\|v\|_{M_p(\Delta_q)} = \|v\|_{M_p(D_q)}.$$

LEMMA 3.4. *Let  $p$  be a real number in  $1 \leq p < 2$ , and  $j$  a positive integer. Then there exist a constant  $K_p > 1$  depending only on  $p$  and  $q$ , and  $\mu_{p,j}$  a Hermitian probability measure on  $D_q$  such that  $\mu_{p,j} = (\tau + \tau^*)/2$ , where  $\tau$  is a continuous probability measure on some  $K_q$ -set, and  $\|\exp(ij\mu_{p,j})\|_{M_p(D_q)} > K_p^j$ .*

PROOF. For a real-valued trigonometric polynomial  $Q$  on  $D_q$ , we have  $\exp(iQ) \in M_p(\Delta_q)$  and  $\|\exp(iQ)\|_{L_p(\Delta_q)} \leq \|\exp(iQ)\|_{M_p(\Delta_q)}$ . By LEMMA 3.2, there exist  $K_p > 1$  and  $Q_j = \sum_{k=1}^j (\gamma_k + \bar{\gamma}_k)/2$  for some  $\gamma_k \in \Delta_q (1 \leq k \leq j)$  such that  $\|\exp(iQ_j)\|_{L_p(\Delta_q)} > K_p^j$ . Then we have  $\|\exp(iQ_j)\|_{M_p(\Delta_q)} > K_p^j$ . Also by LEMMA 3.3, there exists  $v_j \in M(D_q)$  with  $v_j = Q_j$ , and  $\|\exp(iv_j)\|_{M_p(D_q)} = \|\exp(iQ_j)\|_{M_p(\Delta_q)}$ . Then  $\|\exp(iv_j)\|_{M_p(D_q)} > K_p^j$ .

Now we define  $\tau_i = v_j/j$ . So there exist trigonometric polynomials  $f_j$  and  $h_j$  such that  $\|f_j\|_p = 1$ ,  $\|h_j\|_{p_1} = 1$  ( $1/p + 1/p_1 = 1$ ) and  $|\int (\exp(ij\tau_j) * f_j)(x) h_j(x) dx| > K_p^j$ . Since  $\tau_j$  has finite support, by the above inequality, there exist  $\tau \in M(D_q)$  and a perfect  $K_q$ -set  $K$  such that  $\tau$  is a continuous probability measure on  $K$  and for  $\mu_{p,j} = (\tau + \tau^*)/2$ ,

$$\left| \int (\exp(ij\mu_{p,j}) * f_j)(x) h_j(x) dx - \int (\exp(ij\tau_j) * f_j)(x) h_j(x) dx \right|$$

is sufficiently small. Then we have the result. We omit the details.

Q. E. D.

LEMMA 3.5 ([1]). *Let  $G$  be a metrizable compact abelian group. Then there exist trigonometric polynomials  $\{F_n\}$  on  $G$  such that  $\|F_n\|_1 = 1$ ,  $F_n \geq 0$ ,  $\hat{F}_n \geq 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f * F_n\|_1 = 0$  for all  $f \in L_1(G)$ , and for any finite set  $E \subset \Gamma$ ,  $\{\hat{F}_n(\gamma)\}$  uniformly converges to 1 on  $E$ .*

By LEMMA 3.5, it is easy to get the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.6. *Let  $\{F_n\}$  be in LEMMA 3.15, and  $\{\mu_{p,j}\}$  in LEMMA 3.4. Then for*

$\phi_{p,j,n} = \mu_{p,j} * F_n$ , there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  with

$$\| \exp(ij\phi_{p,j,n}) \|_{M_p(D_q)} > K_p^j \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

LEMMA 3.7 ([9]). Let  $K$  be a perfect  $K_q$ -set of  $D_q$ , and  $\nu$  a continuous probability measure on  $K$ . Then closure  $(\hat{\nu}(\Delta_q))$  is the closed convex hull of  $q$ -th roots of unity.

THEOREM 3.8. There exists  $\mu$  a Hermitian probability measure such that

- (i)  $C(\mu) = \begin{cases} [-1, 1] & (q: \text{even}) \\ [-\cos(\pi/q), 1] & (q: \text{odd}), \end{cases}$
- (ii)  $\delta(x) * \mu^n \perp \mu^m$  if  $0 \leq m < n$  and  $x \in D_q$ , and
- (iii)  $sp(\mu, M_p(D_q)) \not\supseteq \text{closure}(\hat{\mu}(\Delta_q))$  for all  $1 \leq p < 2$ .

In particular,  $\mu$  is a continuous singular measure.

PROOF. Let  $\mathbf{Q}$  be the set of all rational numbers. For  $1 \leq p < 2$  and  $T \in M_p(D_q)$ , we write  $\|T\|_{M_p(D_q)}$  for  $\|T\|_{M_p(D_q)}$ . We choose  $\{p_n; n \geq 1\} = \mathbf{Q} \cap \{p; 1 \leq p < 2\}$  in the same way of the proof of THEOREM 2.6. For natural numbers  $m < n$ , we write  $G(m, n) = \prod_{k=m+1}^n Z_q$ , and  $\Gamma(m, n) = \prod_{k=m+1}^n \hat{Z}_q$ , where  $\hat{Z}_q$  is the dual group of  $Z_q$ . We shall identify  $G(m, n)$  and  $\Gamma(m, n)$  with the naturally corresponding subgroups of  $D_q$  and  $\Delta_q$ , respectively.

Now we choose natural numbers  $n_j$  ( $j \geq 0$ ) as follows. Put  $n_0 = 1$  and suppose that  $n_0 < n_1 < \dots < n_{j-1}$  have been chosen for some  $j \geq 1$ . For  $j$ , by LEMMA 3.6 and 3.7 with  $p = p_j$ , there exist  $m_j$  and  $\mu_{p,j} \in M(D_q)$  such that if  $q$  is even (resp. odd),  $\{(\mu_{p,j} * F_{m_j})(\gamma); \gamma \in \Delta_q\} \cap I_k \neq \emptyset$  for each interval  $I_k$ , where  $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^n$  consists of  $n$  equal parts of  $[-1, 1]$  (resp.  $[-\cos(\pi/q), 1]$ ). Then there exists  $n_j > n_{j-1}$  such that  $\mu_j = \mu_{p,j} * F_{m_j} \in M(G(n_{j-1}, n_j))$ , and  $\| \exp(ij\mu) \|_{M_p(G(n_{j-1}, n_j))} > K_p^j$  for  $p = p_j$ . Identify  $D_q$  with the product group  $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} G(n_{j-1}, n_j)$ , and put  $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2 \times \dots$ , the product measure of all  $\mu_j$  ( $j \geq 1$ ). Clearly,  $\mu$  is a Hermitian probability measure on  $D_q$ . Writing  $\Gamma_j = \Gamma(n_{j-1}, n_j) \subset \Delta_q$  for each  $j \geq 1$ , we also have  $\| \exp(ij\mu) \|_{M_p(D_q)} \geq \| \exp(ij\mu) \|_{M_p(\Gamma_j)}$  for  $p = p_j$ , since  $\hat{\mu} = \hat{\mu}_j$  on  $\Gamma_j$  (cf. [14]). Thus in the same way of THEOREM 2.6, it is routine to show that  $\lim \| \exp(in\mu) \|_{M_p(D_q)}^{1/n} > 1$  for all  $1 \leq p < 2$ . Hence,  $sp(\mu, M_p(D_q)) \supset \text{closure}(\hat{\mu}(\Delta_q))$  for all  $1 \leq p < 2$ . Also since  $\hat{\mu} = \hat{\mu}_j$  on  $\Gamma_j$  and the choice of  $m_j$ , we have that if  $q$  is even (resp. odd),  $C(\mu) = [-1, 1]$  (resp.  $[-\cos(\pi q), 1]$ ). We omit the details. Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 3.9. There exists  $\mu$  a Hermitian probability measure in  $M(D_q)$  such that

- (i)  $sp(\mu, M_2(D_q)) = \text{closure}(\hat{\mu}(\Delta_q))$   
 $= \begin{cases} [-1, 1] & (q: \text{even}) \\ [-\cos(\pi/q), 1] & (q: \text{odd}), \text{ and} \end{cases}$
- (ii)  $sp(\mu, M_1(D_q)) = \{ |z| \leq 1 \} \not\supseteq sp(\mu, M_p(D_q)) \not\supseteq sp(\mu, M_2(D_q))$

for all  $1 < p < 2$ .

COROLLARY 3.10. For even  $q$  (resp. odd  $q$ ), let  $F$  be a complex-valued function on  $[-1, 1]$  (resp.  $[-\cos(\pi/q), 1]$ ), and be continuous in  $(0, 1)$ . Let  $\mu$  be the measure obtained in THEOREM 3.8. Suppose  $F(\hat{\mu})$  be a Fourier-Stieljes transform of some element in  $M(D_q)$ . Then  $F$  extends to a bounded analytic function on  $\Sigma_1$ , where  $\Sigma_1$  is in COROLLARY 2.8.

The proof of COROLLARY 3.9 (resp. 3.10) is as similar to that of COROLLARY 2.7 (resp. 2.8).

#### 4. Spectra of measures in $M_p(G)$ with a group of bounded order

THEOREM 4.1. Let  $G$  be a group of unbounded order. Then, there exists a Hermitian probability measure  $\mu \in M(G)$  such that

- (i)  $C(\mu) = [-1, 1]$ ,
- (ii)  $\delta(x) * \mu^n \perp \mu^m$  if  $0 \leq m < n$  and  $x \in G$ , and
- (iii)  $sp(\mu, M_p(G)) \overline{=} \text{closure}(\hat{\mu}(\Gamma))$  for all  $1 \leq p < 2$ .

In particular,  $\mu$  is a continuous singular measure.

PROOF. Let  $\{p_j\}_j$  be in the proof of THEOREM 2.6. Then by THEOREM 2.6, for any natural number  $j$  there exist trigonometric polynomials  $\phi_j$  and  $f_j$  on  $T$  such that

- (1)  $\phi_j \geq 0$ ,  $\|\phi_j\| = 1$ ,  $\phi_j$ : real-valued, and
- (2)  $\|f_j\|_p \leq 1$ ,  $\|g_j * \exp(ij\phi_j)\|_p > K_p^j$  for  $p = p_j$ .

Also there exists a natural number  $N_j$  such that  $(\text{supp } \hat{\phi}_j) \cup (\text{supp } \hat{f}_j) \subset \{-N_j, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, N_j\}$ . By [13], there exist  $\{\gamma_s\}_{s=1}^\infty \subset \Gamma$  such that as  $S_m = \{\gamma = k_1\gamma_2 + \dots + k_m\gamma_m; |k_j| \leq N_j, j=1, \dots, m\}$ , we have

- (3)  $0 \in S_1$ , and
- (4)  $\{j\gamma_m: j = \pm 1, \dots, \pm 2N_{m+1}\} \cap (S_m - S_m) = \emptyset$  for all  $m \geq 1$ .

Suppose that

$$\phi_j = \sum_{|k| \leq N_j} \hat{f}_j(k) \gamma_j^k(x) \quad (j \geq 1), \quad d\mu_n = \phi_1 \cdots \phi_n d\lambda_G \quad (n \geq 1), \text{ and}$$

$\mu$  the weak\*-limit of  $\{d\mu_n\} \subset M(G)$ . Then we obtain

- (5)  $\hat{\mu}(k_1\gamma_1 + \dots + k_n\gamma_n) = \prod \hat{\phi}_j(k_j) \quad (|k_j| \leq N_j)$ , and
- (6)  $\hat{\mu}(\gamma) = 0$  on  $\Gamma \setminus \bigcup_{K=1}^\infty \{k_1\gamma_1 + \dots + k_n\gamma_n; |k_j| \leq N_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

Now let  $c$  be fixed in  $[-1, 1]$ . Then there exists  $\{k_{s_j}\} \subset \mathbf{Z} \quad (|k_{s_j}| \leq N_j)$  such that  $\hat{\phi}_j(k_{s_j}) \rightarrow c$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $k_{s_j}\gamma_{s_j} \rightarrow c$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  in the weak\* topology of  $L_\infty(\mu)$ . Hence  $C(\mu) = [-1, 1]$ .

On the other hand, suppose that

$$\Psi_j(x) = \sum_{|k| \leq N_j} \hat{g}_j(k) \gamma_j^k(x), \quad \text{supp } \hat{\Psi}_j \subset \{k\gamma_j; |k| \leq N_j\},$$



and  $\hat{\Psi}_j(k\gamma_j) = \hat{g}_j(k)$  for all  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . So by (1), (5), and (6) we have  $\exp(ij\hat{\mu}(k\gamma_j))\hat{\Psi}_j(k\gamma_j) = \exp(ij\hat{\phi}_j(k))\hat{g}_j(k)$ . Then in the same way of [16; p. 338], we obtain  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\exp(ij\hat{\mu})\|_{M_p}^{1/j} > 1$  for all  $1 \leq p < 2$ . So we get the desired result. Q. E. D.

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *Let  $G$  be a group of unbounded order. Then, there exists  $\mu$  a Hermitian probability measure in  $M(G)$  such that*

$$(i) \quad sp(\mu, M_2(G)) = \text{closure}(\hat{\mu}(\Gamma)), \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \quad sp(\mu, M_1(G)) = \{ |z| \leq 1 \} \not\subseteq sp(\mu, M_p(G)) \not\subseteq sp(\mu, M_2(G))$$

for all  $1 < p < 2$ .

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *Let  $F$  be a complex-valued function on  $[-1, 1]$ , and continuous in  $(0, 1)$ . Suppose  $F(\hat{\mu})$  be a Fourier-Stieltjes transform of some element in  $M(G)$  for the measure  $\mu$  obtained in THEOREM 4.1. Then  $F$  extends to a bounded analytic function on  $\Sigma_1$ , where  $\Sigma_1$  is in COROLLARY 2.8.*

## 5. Measures as $L_p$ multipliers on a locally compact abelian group

We first assume that  $G$  is an infinite compact abelian group. Then,  $\Gamma$  contains a subgroup  $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}$  or  $\Delta_q$  ( $q \geq 2$ ) or a group of unbounded order. Thus, by routine argument we have that there exists a Hermitian probability measure  $\mu \in M(G)$  such that  $sp(\mu, M_2(G)) = \text{closure}(\hat{\mu}(\Gamma))$ , and  $sp(\mu, M_1(G)) = \{ |z| \leq 1 \} \not\subseteq sp(\mu, M_p(G)) \not\subseteq sp(\mu, M_2(G))$  for all  $1 < p < 2$ .

Let  $G$  be a nondiscrete locally compact abelian group. Suppose  $G$  be noncompact. Then,  $G$  contains an open subgroup  $\mathbf{R}^n \times H$  with some  $n \geq 0$  and a compact group  $H$ . We have the following theorem by applying the preceding results to  $M_p(H)$  if  $H$  is infinite and to  $M_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  if  $H$  is finite. We omit the details.

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $G$  be a nondiscrete locally compact abelian group. Then there exists a Hermitian probability measure  $\mu \in M(G)$  such that*

$$(i) \quad sp(\mu, M_2(G)) = \text{closure}(\hat{\mu}(\Gamma)), \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \quad sp(\mu, M_1(G)) = \{ |z| \leq 1 \} \not\subseteq sp(\mu, M_p(G)) \not\subseteq sp(\mu, M_2(G)) \text{ for all } 1 < p < 2.$$

## References

- [1] J. J. Benedetto, Spectral synthesis, Academic Press, New York, London, San Francisco, 1975.
- [2] G. Brown, Riesz products and generalized characters, Proc. of London Math. 30(1975), 209-238.
- [3] C. C. Graham, K. E. Hare and D. L. Ritter, The size of  $L^p$ -improving measures, J. of Functional Analysis 84(1989), 472-495.
- [4] C. C. Graham and O. C. McGehee, Essays in commutative harmonic analysis, Springer-Verlag, New-York 1979.
- [5] K. E. Hare, A characterization of  $L^p$ -improving measures, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.

- 102(1988), 295-299.
- [ 6 ] L. Hörmander, Estimates for translation invariant operators in  $L^p$  spaces, Acta Math. 104(1960), 93-140.
  - [ 7 ] S. Igari, Functions of  $L^p$ -multipliers, Tôhoku Math. J. 21(1969), 304-320.
  - [ 8 ] R. Larsen, An introduction to the theory of multipliers, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
  - [ 9 ] W. Moran, The individual symbolic calculus for measures, Proc. London Math. Soc. 31(1975), 385-417.
  - [10] L. Pigno and S. Saeki, Constructions of singular measures with remarkable convolution properties, Studia Math. 69(1980), 133-141.
  - [11] D. Ritter, Most Riesz product measures are  $L^p$ -improving, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 97(1986), 291-295.
  - [12] W. Rudin, A strong converse of the Wiener-Levy theorem, Canad. J. Math. 14(1962), 694-701.
  - [13] W. Rudin, Fourier analysis on groups, 2nd. ed., Interscience Publishers, New York, 1967.
  - [14] S. Saeki, Translation invariant operators on groups, Tôhoku Math. J. 22(1970), 409-419.
  - [15] P. Sarnak, Spectra of singular measures as multipliers on  $L^p$ , J. of Functional Analysis 37(1980), 302-317.
  - [16] E. Sato, Spectra of measures as  $L^p$  multipliers, Tôhoku Math. J. 37(1985), 333-342.

Yuichi KANJIN  
Department of Mathematics  
College of Liberal Arts  
Kanazawa University  
Kanazawa 920  
Japan

Enji SKTO  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Yamagata University  
Yamagata 990  
Japan