

Regional microclimate humidity of clothing during light work as a result of the interaction between local sweat production and ventilation

著者	Ueda Hiroyuki, Inoue Yoshimitsu, Matsudaira Mitsuo, Araki Tsutomu, Havenith George
journal or publication title	International Journal of Clothing Science and Technology
volume	18
number	4
page range	225-234
year	2006-06-01
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2297/2844

Regional Microclimate Humidity of Clothing during Light Work as a Result of the Interaction between Local Sweat Production and Ventilation

Hiroyuki Ueda

Osaka Shin-Ai College

6-2-28 Tsurumi, Tsurumi-ku, Osaka 538-0053, Japan

Tel: +81-6-6180-1041 Fax: +81-6-6180-1045

E-mail: ueda@osaka-shinai.ac.jp

Yoshimitsu Inoue

Osaka International University, Japan

Mitsuo Matsudaira

Kanazawa University, Japan

Tsutomu Araki

Hyogo University of Teacher Education, Japan

George Havenith

Dept. Human Sciences, Loughborough University, UK

Abstract

Purpose –The aim of this study was to explore the influence of the clothing ventilation in three body regions on the humidity of the local clothing microclimates under five work-shirts immediately after the onset of sweating in light exercise.

Methodology/approach – The clothing microclimate ventilations were measured at chest, back and upper arm using a manikin. Separate wear trials were performed to determine the sweat production and the humidity of the clothing microclimate at the same locations as where the ventilation was measured during light exercise.

Findings - Every shirt shows the greatest value of Ventilation Index for the chest and the smallest one for the upper arm. The values of Ventilation Index differ remarkably at the chest among the five shirts. Comfort sensation became gradually worse as the time passed after starting exercise and a difference in sensation votes among the clothing conditions was observed after the onset of sweating. There was no significant difference among the clothing conditions in mean values of rectal temperature, local skin temperatures, microclimate temperatures, microclimate relative humidities and local sweat rates at three regions over 10 min after the onset of sweating. However, a clear relationship was observed between the ratio of the mean moisture concentration in the clothing microclimate to the mean sweat rate at the chest and the back and the Ventilation Index.

Originality/value – Moisture concentration in the clothing microclimate was contrasted with clothing ventilation at different body regions. This result suggests that in order to evaluate the conformability of wears, clothing ventilation should be measured in different body regions in response to sweat rates in corresponding regions.

Keywords : microclimate humidity, clothing ventilation, sweat rate, regional difference, thermal comfort

Article type: Research paper

Nomenclature

A : atmospheric oxygen concentration (20.9%)

$B(0)$: constant value giving the difference between $A - O(t)$ at $t=0$ (%)

HR : heart rate (bts min^{-1})

m_{sw} : local sweat rate ($\text{g min}^{-1} \text{m}^2$)

$O_2(t)$: oxygen concentration at time t (%)

R : air resistance of fabric (kPa sec m^{-1})

R_{ae} : air exchange rate (min^{-1})

RH_m : relative humidity of clothing microclimate (%)

T_m : temperature of clothing microclimate ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)

T_{sk} : local skin temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)

T_{re} : rectal temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)

V : fixed flow rate for measuring air resistance of fabric ($0.04 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$)

VI : Ventilation Index (L min^{-1})

$\dot{V}O_{2max}$: maximal O_2 uptake ($\text{ml kg}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$)

Introduction

Work clothing is manufactured in various shapes and from a range of fabrics, according to its purpose. When creating clothing to be worn in conditions in which the body temperature is raised, designers must focus on minimising heat stress. This includes the selection of the fabric. To maintain thermal comfort of the body, it is important to maintain skin temperature and moisture within the comfort range, also during early stages of heat stress (Fanger, 1970; Gagge *et al.*, 1967).

Air exchange between a clothing microclimate and an external environment has a significant effect on the wearer's evaporative and dry heat loss (Havenith *et al.*, 1990; Bouskill *et al.*, 2002). If exercise causes sweating, it is important to facilitate moisture

diffusion through garments in order to keep the wearer comfortable. It is established that an increase in air exchange through the fabric, and through a garment's apertures, increases evaporative heat loss. Previous research has quantified the increase in clothing ventilation resulting from increases in fabric air permeability, and has examined the influence of apertures on clothing ventilation using work suits with identical design but different permeability (Ueda and Havenith, 2004). Based on these studies, an evaluation method was proposed, that uses a calculation of required ventilation: the ideal amount of ventilation by which all sweat produced could be removed from the skin to the outside of the clothes (Havenith *et al.*, 2003), hence keeping skin moisture low and maintaining comfort.

The steady rate of sweating differs from one region of the body to another, and there are also regional differences in the degree of increase in sweat rate caused by increasing exercise intensity (Inoue *et al.*, 1991; Inoue *et al.*, 1995; Kondo *et al.*, 1998). Determination of required clothing ventilation and comparison to actual ventilation requires knowledge about regional sweating characteristics and measurement of local ventilation rates. Use of this knowledge would allow better accuracy in evaluating clothing, and would allow collection of useful data for designing clothes with efficient diffusivity.

The purpose of this study was to explore the effect of clothing ventilation in three body regions on humidity in the local clothing microclimates of several work shirts immediately after the onset of sweating during light exercise. For this purpose, firstly local clothing microclimate ventilation in three body regions was measured, and secondly separate wear trials were performed to determine sweat production and humidity in the corresponding clothing microclimates, in the same conditions as the measurement of ventilation, during light exercise.

Method

Clothing

Five long-sleeved shirts were chosen from commercially available samples, representing shirts that are typically worn by consumers in environmental conditions similar to those in this experiment. The shirt patterns were identical, but they were made of five different knits of cotton; Table 1 shows the characteristics of fabrics used in this experiment. The two sets of the five shirts were prepared respectively for each subject (a new shirt was used for each test). Each of them was kept in 25°C 50%rh until the test after being washed and dried beforehand.

----- take in Table 1 -----

Clothing ventilation at the chest, back, and upper arm

Clothing ventilation was determined by measuring the Ventilation Index (*VI*), a product of air exchange rate multiplied by microclimate volume:

$$\text{Ventilation Index (L} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}\text{)} = \text{air exchange rate (min}^{-1}\text{)} \times \text{microclimate volume (L)}$$

First, each of five shirts was placed on a manikin, and the microclimate volume was estimated by measuring the circumference of the undressed and clothed manikin body; this was approximated using a cylinder model (Lotens and Havenith, 1991). Subsequently, air exchange rates between the clothing microclimate and ambient air were measured at the three body regions of chest, back, and upper arm in a climatic chamber with an air velocity of less than 0.3 m s⁻¹ using a tracer gas technique (Birnbaum and Crockford 1978; Bouskill *et al.*, 2002). For this purpose, the concentration of oxygen was reduced from a natural level to approximately 10%, by flushing 100% nitrogen gas into the microclimate under the shirt using a distribution tube system fixed to the surface of the manikin. Nitrogen injection was performed very carefully to reduce concentrations in the three regions at the same rate and to

the same level.

Gas concentrations in three microclimate body regions were sampled separately using sampling tubes, also fixed to the surface of the manikin, and were sent to three corresponding oxygen analysers. After the nitrogen influx was halted, oxygen concentrations in the microclimate were monitored over an extended period of time while they returned to a natural environmental level (approximately 20.9%) due to ventilation of microclimate air with ambient air. During this time, the oxygen levels increased from 11% to the natural level in the form of an exponential curve, and the resultant air exchange rates were calculated using the following equation:

$$O_2(t) = A - B(0) \cdot e^{-Rae \cdot t}$$

where $O_2(t)$ is the oxygen concentration (%) at time t , A is the asymptotic value of oxygen concentration (20.9%), $B(0)$ is a constant giving the difference between $A - O_2(t)$ at $t = 0$, and Rae is the air exchange rate calculated using least squares analyses. Measurements for each shirt were repeated seven times.

Wear trials

To survey clothing microclimate humidity, wear trials were conducted using the five shirts in a neutral condition. Three healthy men served as subjects for the wear trials. The age of each three subjects was 36, 22, 32 years; height 172, 179, 176 cm; mass 72, 82, 70 kg; and surface area-to-mass ratio 247, 239, 258 cm² kg⁻¹, respectively. Each subject tested each shirt twice in two different workload conditions: 30 and 45% $\dot{V}O_{2max}$, in balanced order. Therefore, each shirt was tested in six different sweat rate conditions. Each subject wore one of the shirts, underwear, socks, and long cotton trousers and he sat on a cycle ergometer for at least 15 minutes in a climatic chamber at a temperature of 25°C, a relative humidity of 50%, and with an air velocity of less than 0.3 m s⁻¹ after the instruments were attached. The tests lasted until

at least 15 minutes of sweating was observed. To maintain roughly equal microclimate volumes at the chest and back for the comparison of the different shirts on the same subject, subjects attempted to maintain an upright position. They were asked not to move the upper half of their bodies to reduce pumping effects, since an increase in pumping effect could change the airflow in and at the clothing surface.

Clothing microclimate temperature (T_m [°C]) and relative humidity (RH_m [%]) were continuously measured during exercise using temperature-humidity sensors (Shinei Kaisya THT-B) at the three regions of chest, back, and upper arm. Moisture concentration [g m^{-3}] was calculated using T_m and RH_m at each site (Goff, 1965).

Each minute during exercise, local skin temperature (T_{sk} [°C]) was measured using skin thermistors at the chest, back, and upper arm, and rectal temperature (T_{re} [°C]) was measured using a rectal probe. Local sweating rate (m_{sw} [$\text{g min}^{-1} \text{m}^{-2}$]) was measured at the chest, back, and upper arm using the ventilated capsule method. Dry nitrogen gas was supplied to the capsules (area 9 cm^2) at a flow rate of 1 L min^{-1} ; humidity of nitrogen gas flowing out of the capsules was measured by capacitance hygrometry (Vaisala HMP133Y). Subjects were asked to declare their level of comfort (comfortable, slightly uncomfortable, uncomfortable, very uncomfortable), every 5 minutes immediately before exercise until it was completed.

All trials were performed during winter. Subjects were asked to refrain from other physical activity before the trials, and they did not ingest food or water from two hours before trials began until they were completed. All subjects considered themselves to be in good health, were not under a physician's care, and were not taking any medication. All subjects were given oral and written information about the procedures and possible risks involved in the study. Maximal O_2 uptake ($\dot{V}O_{2max}$ [$\text{ml kg}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1}$]) was estimated for each subject while he exercised at a sub-maximal level; each subject pedalled on a cycle ergometer at a constant frequency of 50 rpm for 5 minutes at four different exercise intensities. Heart rate (HR [bts

min⁻¹]) and O₂ uptake were measured during the final minute of each exercise period. For each subject, $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ was estimated by extrapolating the relationship between *HR* and O₂ uptake to an estimated maximal *HR* for each subject.

Data analysis and statistics

All data are expressed as mean ± SD. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess the statistical significance of differences in *VI* among five shirts and a one-way repeated-measures ANOVA was used to analyse differences in *Tsk*, *Tm*, *RHm* at the three body regions, *Tre*, and level of comfort among the five shirts. A two-way repeated-measures ANOVA was used to assess the statistical significance of differences in *msw* in the two within-subjects factors: the five shirts and the three body regions. To compare the Ventilation Index (*VI*) in two typical samples, a paired-t test was used. All statistical analyses were performed using commercially available software (SPSS version 11.5; SPSS). A value of $p < 0.05$ indicated statistical significance.

Results

Clothing ventilation at chest, back, and upper arm

Because the five shirts were made from an identical pattern, microclimate volumes of shirts showed no significant difference when worn on the same subject [mean value: trunk 10.3, upper arm 2.0 ($\times 10^3 \text{ cm}^3$)]. Because the subjects maintained an upright position during exercise, the microclimate volume at the chest or back were assumed to be half of the total value for the trunk. Figure 1 shows the mean Ventilation Index (*VI*) at the chest, back, and upper arm for each of the five shirts. Coefficients of variation ($CV = SD/\text{mean value} (\%)$) ranged from 7-15%. In each shirt, the chest had the greatest *VI* and the upper arm had the lowest *VI*. *VI* values at the chest and back differed significantly among the five shirts.

Differences in *VI* at the back were unclear compared to differences at the chest, and at the upper arm they were hardly discriminated.

----- take in Figure 1 -----

Wear trials

There were no significant differences in *Tre*, *Tsk*, or *RHm* among the shirts during the rest period; only chest *Tm* tended to show a lower temperature in sample 5 than in sample 4 ($31.6 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$ in sample 4 versus $30.7 \pm 0.6^\circ\text{C}$ in sample 5, $p = 0.05$). Under all clothing conditions, each subject voted that he was comfortable during the rest period. After exercise began, *Tsk* rose, and in all tests sweating was observed after some time had passed; sweating was observed at the chest, back, and upper arm at about the same time. The onset of sweating varied among trials and ranged from 3-10 minutes after exercise began (30% $\dot{V}_{O_{2\max}}$: 7 ± 2 min; 45% $\dot{V}_{O_{2\max}}$: 5 ± 2 min). As time passed, the sweat rate gradually increased. For all subjects, the level of comfort decreased after exercise began, and after the onset of sweating there was a difference in the voted level of comfort among the shirts. When voted levels of comfort are compared between two typical samples of clothing ventilation, samples 2 and 4 (similar thickness and weight, but different air permeability; Figure 2), the level of comfort for sample 4 (lower air permeability) appeared worse than that for sample 2 after the onset of sweating in five of the six trials. Figure 3 shows the levels of comfort 10 minutes after exercise began. Ten minutes after beginning the exercise, when all subjects first or second voted their level of comfort after the onset of sweating in each trial, there were significant differences ($p < 0.05$) in levels of comfort. There were no significant differences in *Tre*, *Tsk* at the back or upper arm, or *RHm* at the chest, back, or upper arm at the time. Only chest *Tsk* had a tendency to be lower in sample 2 than in sample 4 (34.8°C in sample 2 versus 35.3°C in

sample 4, $p = 0.07$).

----- take in Figure 2 and Figure 3 -----

Figure 4 shows mean values for average sweat rates in different body regions in all sessions over 10 minutes after the onset of sweating. There were large variations in deviation due to individual differences and differences in the absolute intensity of exercise. Sweat rates were significantly greater at the chest and back than at the upper arm. There were no significant differences in sweat rate among clothing conditions and the clothing condition-body region interaction. After investigating average values over the 10 minutes after the onset of sweating, there were no significant differences among clothing conditions in T_{re} , T_{sk} , T_m , and RH_m .

----- take in Figure 4 -----

To help clarify the interaction between sweat production, local ventilation, and resultant microclimate humidity, Figure 5 shows the relationship between the ratio of average moisture concentration in the clothing microclimate over the average sweat rate over 10 minutes after the onset of sweating, and the ventilation index. There was a clear relationship between these two variables at the chest and back. Sample 2, with a high ventilation index, demonstrated a lower ratio at the chest and back. On the other hand, sample 4, with an equal thickness and weight, but a lower air permeability fabric and a lower ventilation index, demonstrated a higher ratio.

----- take in Figure 5 -----

Discussion

The goal of this study was to explore the influence of clothing ventilation at the chest, back, and upper arm on humidity of clothing microclimates immediately after the onset of sweating when five permeable cotton shirts were worn during light exercise. This study was based on the hypothesis that increasing the amount of clothing ventilation would facilitate transport of produced sweat to the outside of clothes and hence, that clothing ventilation is an important factor during the initial stages of sweating to keep the wearer comfortable while working.

During the measurement of ventilation, when permeable shirts were tested using a manikin under still air conditions, clothing ventilation was better at the trunk than at the upper arm. This demonstrates that clothing ventilation from a microclimate to the environment differs among regions of the body. Air exchange through fabric is a complicated process affected by thermal ascending currents, air currents due to respiratory movement and/or body motion, a curved or waved fabric surface, a state of draping and other fabric properties. Clothing microclimate volumes directly affect clothing ventilation, and the thickness of air layers influence air currents in clothing microclimates. It is probable that these factors cause regional differences in clothing ventilation.

Air penetrating through fabric has an obvious effect on clothing ventilation, but though some indication of an air permeability effect was present (sample 2 vs 4) there was no clear correlation between fabric air resistance and clothing ventilation over all five garments together. Air resistance (R), as an index of air permeability, is measured with flattened fabric samples using a substantial fixed airflow rate through the fabric ($R = \Delta P/V$, where ΔP is the difference in pressure measured on both sides of a fabric, and $V = 0.04 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$). Under normal wearing conditions, the expected airflow rate through fabric is much lower; this may lead to differences in air resistance and ventilation index results. Factors such as fabric

thickness, density, cover-factor, and surface characteristics may have different effects depending on test conditions. During actual wear, ventilation may also occur due to interactions among body regions; this cannot be observed during air permeability measurements. Investigation of clothing ventilation at various body regions will therefore lead to a more realistic evaluation of clothing than the simple examination of a fabric's air permeability.

Five cotton shirts of identical design were used in this experiment. Although they had different properties, there was no observed effect on the subjects' thermoregulatory responses before exercise began. Only the mesh structure of sample 5 resulted in a slightly lower chest T_m at rest, and this was only relative to sample 4. This difference, however, did not have a large effect during exercise, as seen by the similar T_m for all samples. Given the low level of heat stress, the absence of a thermoregulatory effect is not surprising. However, all subjects reported reduced comfort in shirts with a lower VI compared to the shirts with a higher VI , comfort being a more sensitive parameter than the physiological responses in these conditions. Differences in comfort level were evident within 10 minutes after the onset of sweating in most trials. Average T_{re} , T_{sk} , T_m , and RH_m values over the 10 minutes after the onset of sweating did not differ significantly among clothing conditions, so it is not possible to directly attribute observed differences in comfort levels to these parameters of thermoregulation. There was also no significant difference in average sweat rates over this period among the shirts, but there appeared to be a greater moisture concentration ratio in the clothing microclimate to sweat rate in shirts with a lower VI than in shirts with a higher VI . The difference in skin wettedness was probably considered to be the major cause of the differences in comfort levels over 10 min after the onset of sweating (Berglund *et al.*, 1985). There appears to be a clear correlation between this ratio and VI , though it seems to vary among areas of the body. An increase in clothing ventilation may generally thin the diffusion zone

and therefore improve evaporation efficiency. It is probable that the higher the ratio, the less the evaporative efficiency on the local skin surface, and that an increasing skin moisture concomitant with a lower evaporative efficiency reduces the level of comfort. This is confirmed when sample 2 is compared to sample 4 (equal thickness and weight, but different air permeability and *VI*). Figure 5 shows clear differences, which are reflected in the levels of comfort shown in Figures 3.

This experiment indicates that an increase in clothing ventilation is effective in keeping a wearer comfortable soon after the onset of sweating by preventing an increase in skin moisture concentration. The experiment also indicates that in order to design work wear or evaluate its conformability, clothing ventilation should be measured in different body regions in response to sweat rates in corresponding regions.

Acknowledgements

We thank our subjects for their cooperation. This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sport, Science and Technology of Japan (grant No.16500498).

References

1. Fanger, P. O. (1970) *Thermal Comfort* McGraw-Hill, N. Y.
2. Gagge A. P., Stolwijk J. A. J. and Hasrddy J. D. (1967) "Comfort and thermal sensations and associated physiological responses at various ambient temperatures", *Environmental Research* Vol. 1, pp. 1-20.
3. Havenith G., Heus R. and Lotens W.A. (1990) "Clothing ventilation, vapour resistance and permeability index: changes due to posture, movement and wind", *Ergonomics* Vol. 33, pp. 67-84.

4. Bouskill L.M., Havenith G., Kuklane K. Parsons K.C. and Withey W.R. (2002) "Relationship between clothing ventilation and thermal insulation", *AIHA Journal* Vol. 63, pp. 262-268.
5. Ueda H. and Havenith G. (2004) "The effect of fabric air permeability on clothing ventilation", in Tochihara Y. and Ohnaka T. (Ed), *Environmental Ergonomics*, Elsevier, UK, pp. 343-346.
6. Havenith G., Ueda H., Sari H. and Inoue Y. (2003) "Required clothing ventilation for different body regions in relation to local sweat rates", in Rossi R. (Ed), 2nd European Conference on Protective Clothing, Montreux, Switzerland
7. Inoue Y., Nakao M., Araki T. and Murakami H. (1991) "Regional differences in the sweat responses of older and younger men", *J. Appl. Physiol.* Vol. 71, pp. 2453-2459.
8. Inoue Y., Nakao M., Okudaira S., Ueda H. and Araki T. (1995) "Seasonal variation in sweating responses of older and younger men", *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* Vol. 70, pp. 6-12.
9. Kondo N., Takano S., Aoki K., Shibasaki M., Tominaga H. and Inoue Y. (1998) "Regional differences in the effect of exercise intensity on thermoregulatory sweating and cutaneous vasodilation", *Acta Physiol. Scand.* Vol. 164, pp. 71-78.
10. Lotens W.A. and Havenith G. (1991) "Calculation of clothing insulation and vapour resistance", *Ergonomics* Vol. 34, pp. 233-254.
11. Birnbaum R.R. and Crockford G.W. (1978) "Measurement of clothing ventilation index", *Appl. Ergonomics* Vol. 9, pp. 197-200.
12. Goff J. A.(1965) "Saturation pressure of water on the new Kelvin", In Wexler A. (Ed), *Humidity and moisture : measurement and control in science and industry*, Reinhold Publishing, NY, pp. 289-292.
13. Berglund L.G., Gagge A.P., Cunningham D.J. and Oohori T (1985) "Vapor resistance of clothing, local skin wettedness and discomfort" *ASHRAE Transaction* Vol.91, pp. 3-12




Fig. 1 Ventilation Index at the chest, back and upper arm. The p value is for the effect of clothing conditions.




Fig. 2 Comfort sensations in Subj.1, 2 and 3 wearing sample 2 and 4 during the exercise. Arrows (\downarrow and \uparrow) indicate the onset of sweating.




Fig. 3 Comfort sensations at 10 min after starting the exercise. The p value is for the effect of clothing conditions.




Fig. 4 Average sweat rate at chest, back and upper arm over 10 min after the onset of sweating. **P<0.01 from upper arm value. The p value is for the body regional effect.




Fig. 5 Relationship between the ratio of average moisture concentration [g m^{-3}] to average sweat rate [$\text{g min}^{-2} \text{m}^{-2}$] and Ventilation Index (VI) over 10 min after the onset of sweating. The numbers represent the samples.

Table 1 Description of five shirts

Sample	Fiber material	Structure	Yarn count (tex)	Knitting gauge	Thickness (mm)	Area density (g/m ²)	Air resistance (kPa·s/m)
1	Cotton 100%	Plain knit	23.6, 14.8	20	1.09	233	0.10
2	Cotton 100%	Stockinet	18.2	28	0.56	133	0.15
3	Cotton 100%	Plain knit	14.8	24	1.00	182	0.28
4	Cotton 100%	Jacquard knit	14.8	24	0.59	131	0.28
5	Cotton 100%	Mesh knit	9.1	24	0.88	171	0.03

Thickness is measured at the pressure 6gf/cm²