Influence of chelating ligands on arsenic uptake by hydroponically grown rice seedlings (Oryza sativa L,): A preliminary study

メタデータ	言語: eng
	出版者:
	公開日: 2017-10-03
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者:
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2297/17357

1	Influence of Chelating Ligands on Arsenic Uptake by Hydroponically
2	Grown Rice Seedling (Oryza sativa L.): A Preliminary Study
3	
4	
5	M. Azizur Rahman ^{*, 1} ; H. Hasegawa ^{*, 1} ; K. Ueda ¹ ; T. Maki ¹ ; M. Mahfuzur Rahman ²
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	¹ Graduate School of Natural Science & Technology, Kanazawa University, Kakuma, Kanazawa
11	920-1192, Japan; ² Department of Botany, Faculty of Biological Sciences, Jahangirnagar
12	University, Savar, Dhaka-1342, Bangladesh.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	<u>*Corresponding authors</u>
20	E-mail: hhiroshi@t.kanazawa-u.ac.jp (H. Hasegawa)
21	arahman@stu.kanazawa-u.ac.jp (M. Azizur Rahman)
22	Tel/Fax: 81-76-234-4792
23	
24	

25

27 Abstract:

28 Ferric (oxyhydro-)oxides (FeO_x) precipitate in the rhizosphere at neutral or alkaline pH 29 and adsorbed on plant's root surfaces. Consequently, the higher binding affinity of arsenate to 30 FeO_x and low iron phytoavailibility of the precipitated FeO_x makes the phytoremediation of 31 arsenic difficult. In the present study, the influence of chelating ligands on arsenic and iron 32 uptake by hydroponically grown rice seedlings (Oryza sativa L.) was investigated. When 33 chelating ligands were not treated to the growth medium, about 63% and 71% of the total arsenic 34 and iron were distributed in root-extract (outer root surfaces) of rice, respectively. On the other 35 hand, Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), ethylenediaminedisuccinic acid (EDDS) and 36 hydroxyiminodisuccinic acid (HIDS) desorbed a significant amount of arsenic from FeO_x of 37 outer root surfaces. Therefore, the uptake of arsenic and iron into the roots and their subsequent 38 translocation to the shoots of rice seedling increased significantly. The order of increasing 39 arsenic uptake by chelating ligands was; HIDS > EDTA > EDDS. Methylglicinediacetic acid 40 (MGDA) and iminodisuccinic acid (IDS) might not be effective in arsenic solubilization from 41 FeO_x . The results suggest that EDDS and HIDS would be a good and environmentally safe 42 choice to accelerate arsenic phytoavailibility in phytoremediation process because of their 43 biodegradability and would be competent alternative to the widely used non-biodegradable and 44 environmentally persistent EDTA.

Keywords: Arsenic (As), Chelating ligands, Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), Solubilization, Hydroponics.

- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49 **1. Introduction:**

50 A large number of sites worldwide are contaminated by arsenic from natural and 51 anthropogenic sources [1, 2]. Elevated levels of arsenic in soil poses a major threat to plant and 52 human health and environment [3-7]. Arsenic enters into the food chains from contaminated 53 agricultural soil and water and affects human health [8-12]. Therefore, remediation of arsenic 54 contaminated soil and water is an important concern. Due to some unavoidable technical and 55 environmental limitations, the traditional remediation technologies lost economic and public 56 acceptance. During the 1980s, the USA government initiated a large scale program for the 57 development of environmental clean-up technologies, which has accelerated the growth of new 58 productive research field. As a result, phytoremediation, a plant based green technology, 59 received huge attention from scientific community for its low cost of implementation and 60 environmental benefits [3, 12-15].

61 Researchers have come to realize that the development of phytoremediation technologies 62 requires a thorough understanding of the underlying processes at the genetic, molecular, 63 biochemical, physiological and agronomic levels. Some intensive researches have been done on 64 arsenic uptake mechanisms in plants [14, 16-20]. Plants accumulate arsenic primarily into its 65 roots through phosphate uptake pathway i.e., active apoplastic or symplastic mechanisms [18] 66 and subsequently translocated to the above ground parts. The amount of arsenic translocated 67 from roots to shoots indicates the phytoremediation efficiency of the plant. Although few 68 terrestrial plant species such as Agrostis castellana; Agrostis delicatula, Bidens cynapiifolia, and 69 silver fern (*Pityrogramma calomelanos* L.) have been reported to translocate a considerable 70 amount of arsenic from roots to shoots and are regarded as arsenic hyperaccumulators [4, 21], 71 Chinese brake fern (*Pteris vittata* L.) accumulates a formidable amount of arsenic and translocate 72 from root to the shoot [4, 22-24].

The solubility and phytoavailibility of arsenic becomes limited by adsorption to variable
 charged minerals (Fe and Al) at alkaline pH [25]. An essential requisition for phytoremediation

75 of contaminated soil is solubilization of the arsenic. Therefore, researchers are concentrating 76 their efforts to increase the solubility and phytoavailibility of arsenic, and its subsequent 77 translocation from roots to shoots. In the past decade, chelant-enhanced phytoremediation has 78 received much attention of scientific community. This technique aims to cleanse arsenic polluted 79 soils by solubilizing arsenic, allowing it to be accumulated in plants that would subsequently 80 remove arsenic from the site. Publications about chelant-enhanced phytoremediation have 81 increased steadily to about 15-20 per year in the last few years, indicating that this is a growing 82 and active research field [26].

83 Oxygenation of the rhizosphere by wetland plants leads to the precipitation of iron hydroxides in the rhizosphere and on the roots of the plant. The precipitation of iron hydroxide is 84 85 also known as "iron plaque". Iron plaque formation in the rhizosphere, however, may results in 86 iron deficiency to plants. It is especially common in soils of neutral or alkaline pH [27]. In nature, 87 plant roots or rhizospheric microbes exude phytosiderophores or siderophores to the root-plaque 88 interface, respectively. These siderophores solubilize ferric iron in the rhizosphere and are 89 recognized for uptake by specific membrane receptors and render its phytoavailibility [28-30]. 90 Research on the interaction of plants with chelating ligands started in the 1950s with a view to 91 reduce the deficiencies of the essential nutrients Fe, Mn, Cu, and Zn [31]. EDTA has been very 92 popular to achieve this purpose, but has the disadvantage that it is quite persistent in the 93 environment because of its low biodegradability. This, in combination with its high affinity for 94 heavy metal complexation, results in an increased risk of leaching. EDTA also impairs plant 95 growth severely, even at very low concentrations [32]. In some cases, the non-biodegradable 96 chelating ligands are toxic to the plants.

Reports on arsenic phytoextraction by biodegradable chelating ligands is limited though a
number of investigations have been conducted on chelant-enhanced phytoextraction of Pb, Zn,
Hg, Cu and some other heavy metals [33-37]. Biodegradable chelating ligands such as EDDS,

HIDS, MGDA, IDS would be good choice and alternative to EDTA. In the present study, we investigated the synergistic influence of these biodegradable chelating ligands on the increase of iron bioavailability and arsenic phytoextraction. Our research approach is to increase arsenic and iron availability to the plant using biodegradable chelating ligands.

104

105 **2. Materials and Methods:**

106 **2.1. Seed Sterilization**

107 Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seeds of BRRI hybrid dhan1 were collected from Bangladesh Rice 108 Research Institute (BRRI). The seeds were surface-sterilized before using them in the experiment. 109 For sterilization, about 100 g seeds were put into 200ml of 1% methyl-1-butylcarbamoyl-2-110 benzimidazole carbonate for 10 min. After that, the seeds were washed by deionized (DI) water 111 (using an E-pure system (Barnstead)) and put into ID water of 20 °C for 24 h. The seeds were 112 then transferred into DI water of 45 °C for 2 min. and of 52 °C for 10 min.

113

114 **2.2. Chemicals**

115 Stock solutions of EDTA, HIDS, IDS, MGDA and EDDS were prepared by dissolving 116 ethylenediamine-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Japan), 117 tetrasodium 3-hydroxy-2,2'-iminodisuccinate (Nippon Syokubai, Japan). tetrasodium 118 iminodisuccinate (Bayer), methylglycine-N,N-diacetic acid (BASF) and ethylenediamine-N, N'-119 disuccinic acid (Chelest) in 0.1 M sodium hydroxide, respectively. Other reagents were of 120 analytical grade or better. All solutions were prepared with DI water.

121

122 **2.3. Nutrient Solution**

Sterilized rice seeds were germinated on pre-sterilized bloating paper with standard murashige and skoog (MS) pre-experimental solution (Table 1). Rice seedlings were grown on seed bed (pre-sterilized bloating paper) for two weeks. To prepare the MS solutions, FeSO₄·7H₂O was used instead of NaFe(III)-EDTA.

127

128 **2.4. Experimental Setup:**

129 The plants were transferred into the experimental solution (Table 1) after 3 weeks of 130 growth in pre-experimental solution at pH 6.5. Arsenate (Na₂HAsO₄·7H₂O) and chelating ligands 131 (EDTA, EDDS, HIDS, MGDS and IDS) concentrations in the experimental solutions were 6 µM 132 and 500 μ M, respectively. In experimental solution, iron concentration was increased to 500 μ M. 133 The pH of the experimental solution was adjusted to 10 using 0.1 M KOH. About 100 ml 134 solution was taken into 250-ml polystylene bottles and three uniform seedlings were cultivated in 135 each bottle with three replication. The experiment was performed following randomized design 136 (RD). Rice plants were grown in a growth chamber and the conditions in the chamber were set as 14:10 h light/dark schedule, 100-125 μ Em⁻² s⁻¹ light intensity, 22(±2) °C temperatures. Solutions 137 138 of each bottle were changed in every 4 days throughout the experiment. Plants were grown in 139 experimental solution for a total of 10 days.

140

141 **2.5. CBE-Extraction of Fe-plaques**

At harvest, the shoots were cut from 1 cm above the roots and separated. Iron plaques from root surfaces were extracted using citrate-bicarbonate-ethylenediaminetetraacetate (CBE)technique, a modified method of dithionite-citrate-bicarbonate (DCB)-extraction by Taylor and Crowder [38] and Otte et al. [39]. The CBE solution was prepared from 0.03, 0.125 and 0.050 M of sodium citrate, sodium bicarbonate and EDTA, respectively. Roots were treated with 30 ml of 147 CBE solution for 60 min. at room temperature. The roots were then rinsed with DI water for 3
148 times, and the rinsed water was added to the CBE-extracts to make a total of 20 ml.

149

150 **2.6. Sample Preparation**

151 After rinsing with DI water for four times, the root samples were kept on clean absorbent 152 paper to remove the water from the root surfaces. Both root and shoot samples were dried at 65 153 °C until they reached a constant weight. Then, 0.10-0.20 g of dried sample was taken into 50-ml 154 polyethylene tubes (*Digi*Tubes, SCP Science, Canada) for digestion. Five ml of 65% HNO₃ were 155 added to the sample and then, left to incubate for 12 hours. The samples were heated on a heating 156 block (DigiPREP, SCP Science, Canada) at 95 °C for 2 hours. After cooling to room temperature, 157 3 ml of 30% hydrogen peroxide were added and the samples were heated again at 105 °C for 20 158 min. Then, the digests were diluted to 10 ml with DI water and taken into 15-ml polyethylene bottles (HDPE, NALGENE[®], Nalge Nunc International, Rochester, NY) in readiness for analysis. 159 160

161 **2.7. Chemical Analysis**

162 Arsenic and iron were analyzed using graphite-furnace atomic absorption spectrometer 163 (GF-AAS, Z-8100, Hitachi, Japan). For the determination of arsenic, 5 µL of 0.05 M nickel 164 nitrate was added to a 10-µL sample into the cuvette as matrix modifier. Certified standard 165 reference material 1573a (tomato leaf from NIST, USA) was used to check the accuracy of analysis. Arsenic concentration in certified standard reference materials was $0.112\pm0.004 \ \mu g \ g^{-1}$ 166 while the measured concentration was $0.111\pm0.002 \ \mu g \ g^{-1}$. The concentrations detected in all 167 168 samples were above the instrumental limits of detection ($\geq 0.01 \, \mu M$ in water sample). 169 All chemical reagents used in this experiment were of analytical grade. Glassware and dishes

170 were washed with detergent solution and rinsed with DI water for eight times before use. In each

analytical batch, at least two reagent blanks and three replicate samples were included.

2.8. Data Analysis

174	Elemental concentrations in CBE-extracts and plant tissues (roots and shoots) were			
175	calculated on dry weight basis. Arsenic and iron concentrations in CEB-extract, root and shoo			
176	were calculated as follows:			
177	$T_{As} = T_{CBE-extract-As} + T_{Root-As} + T_{Shoot-As}$			
178	% CBE-As = $(\boldsymbol{T}_{\text{CBE-extract-As}} / \boldsymbol{T}_{\text{As}}) \times 100$			
179	% Root-As = $(\boldsymbol{T}_{\text{Root-As}} / \boldsymbol{T}_{\text{As}}) \times 100$			
180	% Shoot-As = $(\boldsymbol{T}_{\text{Shoot-As}} / \boldsymbol{T}_{\text{As}}) \times 100$			
181	, where $T_{\rm As}$ means the total arsenic uptake in rice. The $T_{\rm CBE-extract-As}$, $T_{\rm Root-As}$ and $T_{\rm Shoot-As}$ are the			
182	total arsenic content in CBE-extract, roots and shoots, respectively.			
183				
184	2.9. Statistical Analysis			
185	The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) according to the Duncan			
186	Multiple Range Test (DMRT) using SPSS statistical package.			
187				
188	3. Results and Discussions			
189	3.1. As adsorption on ferric (oxyhydro-)oxides of rice roots			
190	Ferric (oxyhydro-)oxides precipitated on root surface of hydroponically grown rice			
191	seedlings at higher concentration of iron (500 μ M) in the culture solution. A brownish coating			
192	was appeared clearly around the root surfaces. High concentration of iron (44.40±2.33 $\mu M~g^{\text{-1}}$			
193	dry weight) in the CBE-extract of roots reveals the formation of iron plaque on the root surfaces			
194	of rice seedlings (Fig. 1). About 71% of the total iron in rice seedling was distributed to the			
195	CBE-extract of roots, whereas 26% and 3% of the total iron were in rice roots and shoots,			

respectively (Fig. 2). Formation of iron hydroxides on the roots of wetland plants [38, 40] and hydroponically grown rice seedling [41, 42] have also been reported in literatures. Both in natural conditions and laboratory cultures, the precipitation of ferric (oxyhydro-)oxides (FeO_x) and its association with phytoplankton surfaces has been reported [43]. Robinson et al. [20] found the existence of iron plaque on aquatic macrophytes collected from the Taupo Volcanic zone, New Zealand.

202 The precipitated FeO_x on plant's root surfaces adsorbs trace elements. Zhang et al. [44, 203 45] reported that the iron plaque on rice roots could accumulate Zn and P from the growth 204 medium. Ye et al. [46, 47] also observed significantly higher concentrations of Cu on the root 205 surface of Typha latifilia grown with iron plaque compared to those without iron plaque. Liu et 206 al. [42] found significant correlation between arsenic concentrations on the root surface of 207 hydroponically grown rice seedlings and the amount of iron plaque on their roots. Field 208 investigation showed that arsenic concentration in DCB-extracts of Aster tripolium in flooded 209 treatment was about 40-times higher than that in the aerated treatment [48]. The influence of 210 iron plaque formation around the plant's root surfaces on arsenic uptake is important because of 211 stronger adsorptive affinity of arsenic for iron hydroxides. When rice seedlings were grown in 212 solution without chelating ligands, arsenic in CBE-extracts, which was assumed to be adsorbed 213 on iron plaque, accounted up to 62% of the total arsenic in rice seedlings (Fig. 3). Liu et al. [42] 214 reported that about 75-89% of the total arsenic was adsorbed on iron plaque of rice seedlings. 215 However, reports show that arsenic concentrations on iron plaque of rice root surfaces are much 216 higher than those reported for Cu and Ni in Typha latifilia [49]. This might be because the 217 sequestration capacity of iron plaque differed between cations and anions [42].

218

219 **3.2. Desorption of As from ferric (oxyhydro-)oxides by chelating ligants**

220 Chelating ligands solubilize arsenic from iron plaque of rice root surfaces and increase its 221 uptake in plant tissue. Arsenic concentration in CBE-extract of rice roots without chelating ligands was 0.24 ± 0.05 µmol g⁻¹ dry weight, which was decreased by 30% to 50% with the 222 223 addition of chelating ligands in the culture solutions (Fig. 3). Most of the arsenic (about 62%) 224 was distributed to the iron plaque of rice root surfaces when rice seedlings were grown in 225 solutions without chelating ligands. However, the increase of arsenic concentrations into the 226 roots of rice seedlings were accounted to 51%, 49% and 43% by the addition of EDTA, HIDS 227 and EDDS to the culture solutions, respectively. On the other hand, percent distribution of 228 arsenic in roots, shoots and root extracts (CBE-extracts) of rice seedling show that the 229 solubilizing ability of arsenic by MGDA and IDS was negligible (Fig. 4). Even though MGDA 230 and IDS were applied to the culture solutions, arsenic concentrations in roots and CBE-extracts 231 of roots were about 23% and 65%; 30% and 55%, respectively. The results indicate that HIDS 232 and EDDS could be good alternatives to non-biodegradable chelating ligand (EDTA) in 233 solubilizing arsenic from iron plaque of plant's root surfaces.

234

3.3. Influence of chelating ligands on As uptake in roots and its translocation to shoots

236 Despite the fact that the highest arsenic accumulation in rice seedling was $0.38\pm0.08 \,\mu\text{M}$ g⁻¹ dry weight without chelating ligands, about 62% was distributed on the root surface (CBE-237 238 extract), which is supposed to be associated with FeO_x (Fig. 4). In the present study, it was 239 observed that EDTA, HIDS and EDDS increased the uptake of arsenic into the roots and shoots 240 of rice seedlings. On the other hand, arsenic uptake was not increased by MGDA and IDS (Fig. 241 3). Results also demonstrate that arsenic concentrations in CBE-extracts of rice roots decreased 242 with the increase of its concentrations in roots and shoots. The increased amount of arsenic in 243 roots and shoots is supposed to be accumulated from iron plaque, which was solubilized by EDTA, HIDS and EDDS. Therefore, rice seedlings uptake more arsenic into their roots and translocated it to the shoots.

246 Although iron plaque inhibits the uptake of toxic metals in plants [42, 44, 49, 50], it has 247 also been reported as a pool that increases the uptake of toxic and nutrient elements [45, 46]. The 248 effects of iron plaque on the uptake of nutrient and/or toxic elements depend on the amount of 249 iron plaque on root surfaces [39, 44, 45]. Otte et al. [39] observed that Zn concentrations in roots of Aster tripolium L. were significantly higher in roots having 500-2000 nmol Fe cm⁻² on the 250 root surface compared to those having less than 500 or more than 2000 nmol Fe cm⁻². However, 251 252 Liu et al. [42] demonstrated that even though the increasing amount of iron plaque increased 253 arsenic accumulation on the root surface, they did not affect its uptake in rice shoots. Liu et al. 254 [42] also suggested that iron plaque might act as a "buffer" to prevent the translocation of arsenic 255 from roots to shoots.

256 Chelating ligands have been used successfully in chemically induced phytoremediation 257 technology to increase the uptake of toxic elements to the above ground parts of plants. The 258 result of the present study demonstrates that EDTA, HIDS and EDDS increase arsenic uptake 259 into the roots of rice and its translocation from roots to shoots. Presently, the lower 260 biodegradability and persistency of EDTA in the environment prevent its use and acceptability 261 worldwide [51]. The results of the present study show that the efficiency of HIDS and EDDS in 262 the increase of arsenic uptake by into rice roots and its translocation to the shoots is comparable 263 to that of EDTA. Therefore, HIDS and EDDS could be an alternative to EDTA as HIDS and 264 EDDS are biodegradable and non-persistent to the environment.

265

3.4. Influence of chelating ligands on iron uptake in roots and its translocation to shoots

Iron is an essential micronutrient for plants, which plays important roles in respiration,
photosynthesis, and many other cellular functions such as DNA synthesis, nitrogen fixation, and

hormone production [52]. Although abundant in nature, iron often is unavailable to plants,
especially at neutral or alkaline pH, because it forms insoluble ferric hydroxide complexes in the
presence of oxygen [28, 53]. Iron plaque formation in the rhizosphere, however, may results iron
deficiency to the plants.

273 Chelating ligands, especially EDTA, have been widely used in agriculture as an additive 274 in micronutrient fertilizers worldwide [55]. Biodegradable chelating ligands have been proposed 275 as the alternative to EDTA because of its low biodegradability and risk of leaching. In the 276 present study, about 71% iron was observed to be distributed in CBE-extract of rice roots in 277 treatments without chelating ligands. After the application of EDTA, HIDS and EDDS to the 278 culture solution, iron concentrations in CBE-extract of rice roots decreased by 38%, 36% and 279 27%, respectively (Fig. 2). The result suggests that the effectiveness of HIDS and EDDS in 280 increasing iron uptake into the rice roots is comparable with that of EDTA. Although EDTA, 281 HIDS and EDDS increased iron uptake into rice roots, the chelating ligands did not increase its 282 translocation from roots to shoots (Fig. 2).

283

284 **4. Concluding Remarks:**

285 The use of chelating ligands in the phytoextraction of toxic metals and in the 286 increase of essential nutrient elements is not new at all. Especially, the EDTA has been widely 287 used in agriculture for the above purposes. The use of EDTA, however, has the disadvantage that 288 it is quite persistent in the environment due to its low biodegradability. Therefore, biodegradable 289 chelating ligands have been proposed as alternatives to EDTA and other non-biodegradable 290 chelating ligands. But, do the biodegradable chelating ligands are efficient to achieve those 291 purposes? In this preliminary study, HIDS and EDDS are supposed to be effective alternative to 292 EDTA because the uptake efficiency of arsenic and iron in rice seedlings by these two

293	biodegradable	chelating	ligands	are	comparable	with	that	of	EDTA.	More	intensive
294	investigations a	are needed	to confirn	n the	efficacy of HI	IDS an	d EDI	DS.			

296 **5. Acknowledgements:**

This research was supported partly by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (18510071)
from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

299

300 **References**

- 301 [1] Smith A.H., Lingas E.O., Rahman M., 2000. Contamination of drinking-water by arsenic in
 302 Bangladesh: a public heath emergency. Bull. World Heath Organ. 78, 1093-1103.
- 303 [2] Liao X.Y., Chen T.B., Xie H., Liu Y.R. Soil As contamination and its risk assessment in
 areas near the industrial districts of Chenzhou city, Southern China. Environ. Int., 2005, 31,
 305 791-798.
- 306 [3] Rahman, M.A., Hasegawa, H., Rahman, M.M., Miah, M.A.M., Tasmin, A., 2008. Arsenic
 307 accumulation rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): Human exposure through food chain. Ecotoxicol.
 308 Environm. Safety, 69, 317-324.
- 309 [4] Gulz, P.A., Gupta, S.K., Schulin, R., 2005. Arsenic accumulation of common plants from
 310 contaminated soils. Plant soil. 272, 337-347.
- [5] Roychowdhury, T., Uchino. T., Tokunaga. H., Ando, M., 2002. Survey of arsenic in food
 composites from an arsenic-affected area of west Bengal, India. Food and Chem. Toxicol.
 40, 1611-1621.
- [6] Das, H.K., Mitra, A.K., Sengupta, P.K., Hossain, A., Islam, F., Rabbani, G.H., 2004. Arsenic
 concentrations in rice, vegetables and fish in Bangladesh: a preliminary study. Environ.
 Inter. 30, 383-387.

- 317 [7] Geen, A.V., Zheng, Y., Cheng, Z., He, Y., Dhar, R.K., Garnier, J.M., Rose, J., Seddique, A.,
- Hoque, M.A., Ahmed, K.M., 2006. Impact of irrigating rice paddies with groundwater
 containing arsenic in Bangladesh. Sci. Total Environ. 367, 769-777.
- 320 [8] Rahman, M.A., Hasegawa, H., Ueda, K., Maki, T., Okumura, C., Rahman, M.M., 2007a.
- 321 Arsenic accumulation in duckweed (*Spirodela polyrhiza* L.)- A good option for 322 phytoremediation. Chemosphere. 69, 493–499.
- Rahman, M.A., Hasegawa, H., Rahman, M.M., Islam, M.N., Miah, M.A.M., 2007b.
 Accumulation of arsenic in tissues of rice plant (*Oryza sativa* L.) and its distribution in
 fractions of rice grain. Chemosphere. 69(6), 942-948.
- Williams, P.N., Price, A.H., Raab, A., Hossain, A.A., Feldmann, J., Meharg, A.A., 2005.
 Variation in arsenic speciation and concentration in paddy rice related to dietary exposure.
 Environ. Sci. Technol. 39, 5531-5540.
- [11] Meharg, A.A. and Rahman, M.M., 2003. Arsenic contamination of Bangladesh paddy field
 soils: Implication for rice contribution to arsenic consumption. Environ. Sci. Technol. 37(2),
 224-234.
- 332 [12] Smith, N.M., Lee R., Heitkemper, D.T., Cafferky, K.D.C., Haque, A., Henderson, A.K.,
- 2006. Inorganic arsenic in cooked rice and vegetables from Bangladeshi household. Sci.
 Total Environ. 370, 294-301.
- [13] Lombi, E., Zhao, F.J., Dunham, S.J., McGrath, S.P., 2001. Phytoremediation of heavy
 metal-contaminated soils: natural hyperaccumulation versus chemically enhanced
 phytoextraction. J. Environ. Qual. 30, 1919-1926.
- 338 [14] Nie, L., Shah, S., Rashid, A., Burd, G.I., Dixon, D.G., Glick, B.R., 2002. Phytoremediation
- of arsenate contaminated soil by transgenic canola and the plant growth-promoting bacteria
- 340 *Enterobacter cloacae* CAL2. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 40, 355-361.

341	[15] Fitz, W.J. and Wenzel, W.W., 2002. Arsenic transformations in the soil-rhizosphere-plant
342	system: fundamental and potential application to phytoremediation. J. Biotechnol. 99, 259-
343	278.

- [16] Visoottiviseth, O., Francesconi, K., Sridokchan, W., 2002. The potential of Thai indigenous
 plant species for the phytoremediation of arsenic contaminated land. Environ. Pollut. 118,
 453-461.
- 347 [17] De La Rosa, G., Parsons, J.G., Martinez-Martinez, A., Peralta-Videa, J.R., Gardea348 Torresdey, J.L., 2006. Spectroscopic study of the impact of arsenic speciation on
 349 arsenic/phosphate uptake and plant growth in Tumbleweed (*Salsola kali*). Environ. Sci.
 350 Technol. 40, 1991-1995.
- [18] Wang, J., Zhao, F.J., Meharg, A.A., Raab, A., Feldman, J., McGrath, S.P., 2002.
 Mechanisms of arsenic hyperaccumulation in *Pteris vittata*. Uptake kinetics, interactions
 with phosphate, and arsenic speciation. Plant Physiol. 130, 1552-1561.
- [19] Robinson, B., Duwig, C., Bolan, N., Kannathasan, M., Saravanan, A., 2003. Uptake of
 arsenic by New Zealand watercress (*Lepidium sativum*). Sci. Total Environ. 301, 67-73.
- 356 [20] Robinson, B., Kim, N., Marchetti, M., Moni, C., Schroeter, L., Dijssel, C., Van Den Milne,
- G., Clothier, B., 2006. Arsenic hyperaccumulation by aquatic macrophytes in the Taupo
 Volcanic Zone, New Zealand. Environ Exper Bot. 58, 206-215.
- [21] De Koe, T., 1994. *Agrostic castellana* and *Agrostis delicatula* on heavy metal and arsenic
 enriched sites in NE Portugal. Sci. Total Environ. 145, 103-109.
- [22] Ma, L.Q., Komar, K.M., Tu, C., Zhang, W., Cai, Y., Kennelley, E.D., 2001. A fern that
 hyperaccumulates arsenic. Nature 409, 579.
- 363 [23] Kumar, P.B.A.N., Dushenkov, V., Motto, H., Raskin, I., 1995. Phytoextration- the use of
- 364 plants to remove heavy metals from soils. Environ. Sci. Technol. 29, 1232-1238.

- 365 [24] Tu, C., Ma, L.Q., Bondada, B., 2002. Arsenic accumulation in the hyperaccumulator
 366 Chinese brake and its utilization potential for phytoremediation. Environ. Qual. 31, 1671367 1675.
- 368 [25] Xu, J. and Thornton, I., 2005. Arsenic in garden soils and vegetable crops in Cornwall,
 369 England: Implications for human health. Environ. Geochem. Health. 7, 131-133.
- 370 [26] Nowack, B., Schulin, R., Robinson, B.H., 2006. Critical assessment of chelant-enhanced
 371 metal phytoextraction. Environ. Sci. Technol. 17, 5225-5232.
- 372 [27] Cohen, C.K., Fox, T.C., Garvin, D.F., Kochian, L.V., 1998. The role of iron-deficiency
 373 stress responses in stimulating heavy-metal transport in plants. Plant Physiol. 116, 1063374 1072.
- [28] Römheld, V., Marschner, H., 1986. Mobilization of iron in the rhizosphere of different plant
 species. *In*: B. Tinker, A. Laüchli (Eds.), Advances in Plant Nutrition, Vol. 2, Praeger Press,
 New York, pp. 155-204.
- [29] Chaney, R.L., 1987. Complexity of iron nutrition: lessons for plant-soil interaction research.
 J. Plant Nutr. 10, 963-994.
- 380 [30] Bienfait, H.F. 1988. Mechanisms in Fe-efficiency reactions of higher plants. J. Plant Nutr.
 381 11, 605-629.
- [31] Wenger, K., Tandy, S., Nowack, B., 2005. Effects of chelating agents on trace metal
 speciation and bioavailability. In *Biogeochemistry of chelating agents*; Nowack, B.,
 VanBriesen, J.M., Eds.; ACS symposium series Vol. 910; American Chemical Society:
 Washington, DC, pp 204-224.
- 386 [32] Bucheli-Witschel and Egli, 2001. Environmental fate and microbial degradation of
 387 aminopolycarboxylic acids. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 25, 69-106.
- 388 [33] Tandy, S., Schulin, R., Nowack, B., 2006. The influence of EDDS on the uptake of heavy
- metals in hydroponically grown sunflowers. Chemosphere. 62, 1454-1463.

- 390 [34] Barona, A., Aranguiz, I., Elias, A., 2001. Metal association in soils before and after EDTA
 391 extractive decontamination: implications for the effectiveness of further clean-up
 392 procedures. Environ. Pollut. 113, 79-85.
- 393 [35] Kos, B., and Lestan, D., 2003. Induced phytoextraction/soil washing of lead using
 394 biodegradable chelate and permeable barriers. Environ. Sci. Technol. 37, 624-629.
- 395 [36] Kos, B., and Lestan, D., 2004. Soil washing of Pb, Zn and Cd using biodegradable chelator
 396 and permeable barriers and induced phytoextraction by *Cannabis sativa*. Plant Soil, 263,
 397 43-51.
- 398 [37] Meers, E., Ruttens, A., Hopgood, M.J., Samson, D., Tack, F.M.G., 2005. Comparison of
- EDTA and EDDS as potential soil amendments for enhanced phytoextraction of heavymetals. Chemosphere. 58, 1011-1022.
- 401 [38] Taylor, G.J., Crowder, A.A., 1983. Use of DCB technique for extraction of hydrous iron
 402 oxides from roots of wetland plants. American J. Bot. 70, 1254-1257.
- 403 [39] Otte, M. L., Rozema, J., Koster, L., Haarsma, M. S., Broekman R. A., 1989. Iron Plaque on
 404 Roots of *Aster tripolium* L.: Interaction with Zinc Uptake. New Phytol. 111, 309-317.
- [40] Hansel, C.M., Fendorf, S., Sutton, S., Newville, M., 2001. Characterization of Fe plaque and
 associated metals on the roots of mine-waste impacted aquatic plants. Environ. Sci. Technol.
- 407 35, 3863-3868.
- [41] Hu, Y., Li, J.H., Zhu, Y.G., Huang, Y.Z., Hu, H.Q., Christie, P., 2005. Sequestration of As
 by iron plaque on the roots of three rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars in a low-P soil with or
 without P fertilizer. Environ. Geochem. Health. 27, 169-176.
- 411 [42] Liu, W.J., Zhu, Y.G., Smith, F.A., Smith, S.E., 2004. Do phosphorus nutrition and iron
- 412 plaque alter arsenate (As) uptake by rice seedlings in hydroponic culture? New Phytol. 162,
- 413 481-488.

- 414 [43] Tang, D., Morel, F.M.M., 2006. Distinguishing between cellular and Fe-oxide-associated
 415 trace elements in phytoplankton. Mar. Chem. 98, 18-30.
- 416 [44] Zhang, X.K., Zhang, F.S., Mao, D.R., 1998. Effect of iron plaque outside roots on nutrient
- 417 uptake by rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): Zink uptake by Fe-deficient rice. Plant Soil. 202, 33-39.
- [45] Zhang, X.K., Zhang, F.S., Mao, D.R., 1999. Effect of iron plaque outside roots on nutrient
 uptake by rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): Phosphorus uptake. Plant Soil. 209, 187-192.
- [46] Ye, Z.H., Cheung, K.C., Wong, M.H., 2001. Copper uptake in *Typha latifolia* as affected by
 iron and manganese plaque on the root surface. Canadian J. Bot. 79, 314-320.
- 422 [47] Ye, Z.H., Baker, A.J.M., Wong, M.H., Willis, A.J., 1997. Copper and nickel uptake,
- 423 accumulation and tolerance in *Typha latifolia* as affected by iron plaque on the root surface.
 424 Aquat. Bot. 61, 55-67.
- 425 [48] Otte, M.L., Dekkers, M.J., Rozema, J., Broekman, R.A., 1991. Uptake of arsenic by *Aster*426 *tripolium* in relation to rhizosphere oxidation. Canadian J. Bot. 69, 2670-2677.
- 427 [49] Greipsson, S., 1994. Effects of iron plaque on roots of rice on growth and metal
 428 concentration of seeds and plant tissues when cultivated in excess copper. Soil Plant Anal.
 429 25, 2761-2769.
- 430 [50] Christensen, K.K., Sand-Jensen, K., 1998. Precipitated iron and manganese plaques restrict
 431 root uptake of phosphorus in *Lobelia dortmanna*. Canadian J. Bot. 76, 2158-2163.
- 432 [51] Wasay, S.A., Barrington, S.F., Tokunaga, S., 1998. Remediation of soils polluted by heavy
 433 metals using salts of organic acids and chelating agents. Environ. Technol. 19, 369-379.
- 434 [52] Vert G., Grotz N., Dedaldechamp F., Gaymard F., Guerinot M.L., Briat, J.F., Curie C. 2002.
- 435 IRT1, an Arabidopsis transporter essential for iron uptake from the soil and for plant growth.
- 436 The Plant Cell. 14, 1-11.
- 437 [53] Guerinot, M.L., Yi, Y. 1994. Iron: Nutritious, noxious, and not readily available. Plant
 438 Physiol., 104, 815-820.

	KNO ₃	1900	1900		
	Nutrients	Pre-experimental solution (mg l ⁻¹)	Experimental solution (mg l ⁻¹)		
461					
460	solutions used fo	or the hydroponic culture of rice seedling	ing (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) [*]		
459	Table 1: Composition o	of Murashige and Skoog (MS) pre	e-experimental and experimental		
458					
457					
456					
455					
454					
453					
452					
451					
450					
449					
448					
447					
446					
445					
444					
443					
442					
441	agents- the special cas	se of iron. J. Plant Nutr. 15, 1589-159	8.		
440	and deficiencies in p	plants resulting from interactions wi	th other elements and chelating		
439	[54] Wallace, A., Wallace	, G.A., Cha, J.W., 1992. Some modi	fications in trace metal toxicities		

NH_4NO_3	1650	1650
$CaCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	440	440
MgSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O	370	370
K ₂ HPO ₄	170	170
FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O	27.8	500**
$MnSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$	22.3	22.3
$ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$	8.6	8.6
H_3BO_3	6.2	6.2
KI	0.83	0.83
$Na_2MoO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$	0.25	0.25
$CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$	0.025	0.025
CoCl ₂ ·6H ₂ O	0.025	0.025
Na ₂ HAsO ₄ ·7H ₂ O	-	6.0 (µM)
Chelating ligands	-	500 (µM)
рН	6.50	10.0

* The pre-experimental solution was used to grow the rice seedlings prior to the uptake
experiment, and the experimental solution was used for the uptake experiment. Arsenic and
chelating ligands were added only to the experimental solution.

466 ** Iron concentration in the experimental solution was modified to $500 \,\mu$ M.





471 Fig. 1: Influence of chelating ligands on iron uptake by rice seedling (*Oryza sativa* L.).

- ., 0





486 Fig. 2: Percentage uptake of iron in deferent parts of rice seedlings (Oryza sativa L.) influenced

487 by chelating ligands.

-





502 Fig. 3: Influence of chelating ligands on arsenic uptake in different parts of rice seedlings (*Oryza*

503 sativa L.).
504
505
506





533

534 Fig. 5: Influence of chelating ligands on total arsenic uptake in rice seedlings (*Oryza sativa* L.).