## A CHARACTERIZATION OF CERTAIN WEAKLY PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS

Dedicated to Professor Fuichi Uchida on his sixtieth birthday

## AKIO KODAMA

(Received July 8, 1997, revised March 9, 1998)

**Abstract.** By making use of well-known extension theorems on holomorphic mappings and CR-mappings and applying Webster's CR-invariant metrics, we give a characterization of certain weakly pseudoconvex domains from the viewpoint of biholomorphic automorphism groups.

**Introduction.** This is a continuation of our previous paper [11], and we retain the terminology and notation there.

Let D be a bounded domain in  $C^n$  and let  $p \in \partial D$ . Then we say that the condition (\*) is fulfilled for (D, p) if

(\*) there exists a compact set K in D, a sequence  $\{k_v\}$  in K and a sequence  $\{\varphi_v\}$  in  $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$  such that  $\lim_{v\to\infty} \varphi_v(k_v) = p$ .

Now assume that the condition (\*) is fulfilled for (D, p). Then we may ask if it is possible to determine the global structure of D from the local shape of the boundary  $\partial D$  near p. Certainly, it is impossible without any further assumption, as one may see in the examples such as the direct product of the open unit disk in C and an arbitrary bounded domain in  $C^{n-1}$ . As for this problem, it was shown by Wong [25] that if D is a strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $C^n$  with smooth boundary and the condition (\*) is fulfilled for (D, p) for some  $p \in \partial D$ , then D is biholomorphically equivalent to the open unit ball  $B^n$  in  $C^n$ . It was later extended by Rosay [20] to the case where  $\partial D$  near p is  $C^2$ -smooth and strictly pseudoconvex. It is natural to see what happens when p is a weakly (not strictly) pseudoconvex boundary point of D. It was Greene and Krantz [8] who first dealt with this problem in the category of weakly pseudoconvex domains in  $C^n$  with globally  $C^{n+1}$ -smooth boundaries. As a generalization of their result, we obtained in [11] the following characterization of the weakly pseudoconvex domain

$$E(k, \alpha) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n \left| \sum_{i=1}^k |z_i|^2 + \left( \sum_{j=k+1}^n |z_j|^2 \right)^{\alpha} < 1 \right\} \right.,$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $1 \le k \le n$  and  $0 < \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , and it is understood that  $E(k, \alpha) = B^n$  if k = n:

Partly supported by the Japan-US Cooperative Science Program and the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Japan.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 32F15; Secondary 32H99.

56 A. KODAMA

THEOREM K (Kodama [11]). Let D be a bounded domain in  $C^n$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $p = (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \partial D \cap \partial E(k, \alpha);$
- (2) there is an open neighborhood U of p in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $D \cap U = E(k, \alpha) \cap U$ ;
- (3) the condition (\*) is fulfilled for (D, p).

Then D is biholomorphically equivalent to the domain  $E(k, \alpha)$ .

It should be remarked that, in general,  $E(k, \alpha)$  is not geometrically convex and, moreover, its boundary is not smooth at every point x of the form  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_k, 0, \ldots, 0)$ . Also, noting the fact that such a boundary point x is an accumulation point of the Aut $(E(k, \alpha))$ -orbit passing through the origin of  $C^n$ , one sees that exactly the same conclusion in Theorem K remains valid for an arbitrary point  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_k, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \partial D \cap \partial E(k, \alpha)$  as well as  $p = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ . This theorem was later extended by Kodama, Krantz and Ma [15] to a more general domain, called a generalized complex ellipsoid,

$$E(n; n_1, \ldots, n_s; p_1, \ldots, p_s) = \left\{ (z_1, \ldots, z_s) \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^{n_s} \left| \sum_{i=1}^s |z_i|^{2p_i} < 1 \right. \right\}$$

in  $C^n = C^{n_1} \times \cdots \times C^{n_s}$ , where  $0 < p_1, \dots, p_s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < n_1, \dots, n_s \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_s$ , as follows:

THEOREM K-K-M (Kodama, Krantz and Ma [15]). Let D be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with a point  $p \in \partial D$  and E a generalized complex ellipsoid in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as above. We assume that

- (1)  $p \in \partial E$  and there is an open neighborhood U of p in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $D \cap U = E \cap U$ ;
- (2) the condition (\*) is fulfilled for (D, p) and also for (E, p).

Then D is biholomorphically equivalent to E. In particular, at least one of the exponents  $p_i$  must be equal to 1.

In view of Kodama [12], [13] (in which the structure of generalized complex ellipsoids in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with all  $n_i = 1$  was investigated), it would be natural to ask the following questions: In Theorem K-K-M,

- (Q.1) can we remove the condition (\*) for (E, p)?;
- (Q.2) can we prove that D = E as sets?

These cannot be answered in full generality at this moment except when all  $p_i$ 's are positive integers, i.e., the boundary  $\partial E$  is real-analytic (cf. [14]). Recall that our proofs there relied heavily upon a result on the localization principle of holomorphic automorphisms of generalized complex ellipsoids E with real analytic boundaries due to Dini and Selvaggi Primicerio [5], [6]. A glance at their proof tells us that the real analyticity of  $\partial E$  cannot be avoided with their technique.

The main purpose of this paper is to give partial affirmative answers to the questions (Q.1) and (Q.2) when the boundary  $\partial E$  is not necessarily smooth. In fact, we

consider here exclusively generalized complex ellipsoids  $E(n; k, n-k; 1, \alpha) = E(k, \alpha)$  with arbitrary real numbers  $\alpha > 0$  and prove the following theorems, which were announced at the POSTECH International Conference on Several Complex Variables in Pohang, South Korea, 1997:

THEOREM 1. Let  $E_1 = E(k, \alpha)$ ,  $E_2 = E(l, \beta)$  be generalized complex ellipsoids in  $C^n$  with arbitrary real numbers  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and let  $p_1 \in \partial E_1$ ,  $p_2 \in \partial E_2$ . We assume that

- (1)  $k \leq n-2$  and  $l \leq n-2$ ;
- (2) there are open neighborhoods  $U_1$  of  $p_1$ ,  $U_2$  of  $p_2$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and a biholomorphic mapping  $f: U_1 \to U_2$  such that  $f(p_1) = p_2$ ,  $f(U_1 \cap E_1) = U_2 \cap E_2$  and  $f(U_1 \cap \partial E_1) = U_2 \cap \partial E_2$ .

Then f extends to a biholomorphic mapping F from  $E_1$  onto  $E_2$ . In particular, we have  $(k, \alpha) = (l, \beta)$ .

Combining this with a result of Bell [2; Theorem 2], we obtain the following:

COROLLARY. Let  $E(k, \alpha)$  and  $E(l, \beta)$  be generalized complex ellipsoids in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $k \leq n-2$ ,  $l \leq n-2$  and assume that  $f: E(k, \alpha) \to E(l, \beta)$  is a proper holomorphic mapping. Then  $(k, \alpha) = (l, \beta)$  and f is a biholomorphic automorphism of  $E(k, \alpha)$ .

THEOREM 2. Let D be a bounded domain in  $C^n$  and let  $E = E(k, \alpha)$  be a generalized complex ellipsoid in  $C^n$  with  $0 < \alpha \in R$ . We assume that

- (1) there exist a point  $p \in \partial D \cap \partial E$  and an open neighborhood U of p in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $D \cap U = E \cap U$ ;
- (2) the condition (\*) is fulfilled for (D, p). Then we have D = E as sets.

We would like to remark that the assumption (1) in Theorem 1 is essential. Indeed, consider the generalized complex ellipsoids  $E_1 = \{(z, w) \in C \times C \mid |z|^2 + |w|^{2\alpha} < 1\}$ ,  $E_2 = B^2$  and a branch f of  $(z, w) \mapsto (z, w^{\alpha})$  defined in a small neighborhood of a point  $p_1 = (z_o, w_o) \in \partial E_1$  with  $w_o \neq 0$ , where  $0 < \alpha \in R$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$ . Then f gives rise to a biholomorphic equivalence between a neighborhood  $U_1$  of  $p_1$  and a neighborhood  $U_2$  of  $p_2 := f(p_1) \in \partial E_2$  satisfying the condition (2) in Theorem 1; however, it is clear that f cannot be continued to a biholomorphic mapping from  $E_1$  onto  $E_2$ . Also, considering the special case  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  in the corollary above, we see that every proper holomorphic self-mapping of the unit ball  $B^n$  must be a biholomorphic automorphism of  $B^n$ . This is just a well-known theorem of Alexander [1].

In Section 1, by making use of Rudin's extension theorem [21; p. 311] on holomorphic mappings defined near boundary points of  $B^n$ , we show some properties of generalized complex ellipsoids  $E(k, \alpha)$ , which will be a key step to the proofs of our theorems. After this preparation, Theorems 1 and 2 will be proved in Sections 2 and 3, respectively. Our proofs here are based on some extension theorems on proper holomorphic mappings and CR-mappings obtained by Forstnerič and Rosay [7], Pinchuk [18], [19], Bell [3], and also on the existence of Webster's CR-invariant metrics

on strictly pseudoconvex real analytic hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  without umbilical points [22], [23].

The author would like to express his thanks to Professors Junjiro Noguchi and Kang-Tae Kim for their useful comments on the subject of this paper.

1. A key lemma. For later purpose, we prove some facts on the structure of the model spaces  $E(k, \alpha)$  with arbitrary real numbers  $\alpha > 0$ .

Throughout the rest of this paper, we use the following notation: For a point  $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and for a domain  $E(k, \alpha)$ , we set  $z' = (z_1, \ldots, z_k)$ ,  $z'' = (z_{k+1}, \ldots, z_n)$ ,  $E = E(k, \alpha)$  and

$$\partial *E = \{(z', z'') \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{n-k} | z'' \neq 0, |z'|^2 + |z''|^{2\alpha} = 1\}$$

which is an open dense subset of  $\partial E$ . Then, by using the facts in the previous paper [11; Section 1], the following assertions are easily proved:

- (1.1)  $\partial^* E$  is a connected, strictly pseudoconvex, real analytic hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ; moreover, it is simply connected if  $k \le n-2$  [9; p. 346].
- (1.2) Aut(E) can be regarded as a subgroup of Aut( $B^k \times C^{n-k}$ ).
- (1.3) Aut(E)  $\cdot \partial^* E = \partial^* E$  and Aut(E) acts transitively on  $\partial^* E$  as a real analytic CR-automorphism group of  $\partial^* E$ .

The following lemma will play a crucial role in our proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

Lemma. Let  $E = E(k, \alpha)$  be a generalized complex ellipsoid in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $k \leq n-2$  and let  $p \in \partial^* E$ . Assume that there are an open neighborhood U of p in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and a biholomorphic mapping f from U into  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that

$$U \cap \partial E = U \cap \partial^* E$$
,  $f(U \cap \partial^* E) = f(U) \cap \partial B^n$  and  $f(U \cap E) = f(U) \cap B^n$ .

Then f extends to a biholomorphic mapping  $F: E \to B^n$ . In particular, we have  $\alpha = 1$ .

PROOF. Since  $\partial^* E$  is a connected, strictly pseudoconvex, real analytic hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by (1.1), it follows from a result of Pinchuk [18], [19; p. 193] that f can be continued along any path lying in  $\partial^* E$  as a locally biholomorphic mapping. Since  $\partial^* E$  is now simply connected by our assumption  $k \leq n-2$ , the monodromy theorem guarantees that f extends to a locally biholomorphic mapping F defined on some connected open neighborhood F of F in F such that F and F and F and F in F. Now we will proceed in several steps.

(1) F extends to a holomorphic mapping  $\tilde{F}$  from E into B<sup>n</sup>. To prove this, take an arbitrary r with 0 < r < 1 and put

$$K_r = \{(z', z'') \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{n-k} \mid |z'| \leq r, |z'|^2 + |z''|^{2\alpha} = 1\}$$
.

Since  $K_r \subset \partial^* E \subset V$  and  $K_r$  is compact in V, one can choose a small  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(r) > 0$  in such a way that

$$U_{r,\varepsilon} := \{ (z',z'') \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{n-k} \, \big| \, |z'| < r, \, 1-\varepsilon < |z'|^2 + |z''|^{2\alpha} < 1+\varepsilon \} \subset V \, .$$

Clearly,  $U_{r,\varepsilon}$  is a bounded Reinhardt domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Moreover, since  $k \leq n-2$ , we have  $U_{r,\varepsilon} \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z_j = 0\} \neq \emptyset$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ . Hence, by a well-known fact [16; p. 15] every component function  $F_i$  of F has a holomorphic extension  $F_j^r$  to the domain

$$\hat{U}_{r,\varepsilon} = \{ (z', z'') \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{n-k} \mid |z'| < r, |z'|^2 + |z''|^{2\alpha} < 1 + \varepsilon \},$$

the smallest complete Reinhardt domain in  $C^n$  containing  $U_{r,s}$ . In particular, putting

$$E_r = \{(z', z'') \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{n-k} | |z'| < r, |z'|^2 + |z''|^{2\alpha} < 1 \},$$

we see that  $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n)$  has a holomorphic extension  $F^r := (F_1^r, \ldots, F_n^r)$  to  $E_r \cup V$ . Note that  $E_r \subset E_s$  for 0 < r < s < 1,  $\bigcup_{0 < r < 1} E_r = E$  and that the holomorphic extensions  $F^r$  are uniquely determined by the values of F on a small neighborhood of the point  $(0, \ldots, 0, 1) \in V \cap \partial^* E$ . Then, by standard argument, one can define a holomorphic extension  $\tilde{F}: E \cup V \to C^n$  of  $F: V \to C^n$ .

Now we wish to show that  $\tilde{F}(E) \subset B^n$ . For this let us fix an arbitrary point  $z_o = (z_o', z_o'') \in E$  and set

$$E(z_o) = \{ (z'_o, z'') \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{n-k} \mid |z'_o|^2 + |z''|^{2\alpha} < 1 \},$$

which can be regarded as an open ball in  $C^{n-k}$ . Consider the non-constant, continuous plurisubharmonic function  $\psi: z'' \mapsto -1 + |\tilde{F}(z'_o, z'')|^2$  defined on some open neighborhood of the closure  $\overline{E(z_o)}$  of  $E(z_o)$  in  $C^{n-k}$ . Then  $\psi(\partial E(z_o)) = 0$  and  $\psi(z'') < 0$  on  $E(z_o) \cap V$ . This, combined with the maximum principle for plurisubharmonic functions, guarantees that  $\psi(z''_o) < 0$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{F}(z_o) \in B^n$  and accordingly  $\tilde{F}(E) \subset B^n$ .

(2) There exists a locally injective, real analytic homomorphism  $\Phi: \operatorname{Aut}(E) \to \operatorname{Aut}(B^n)$  such that  $\Phi(\sigma) \circ \tilde{F} = \tilde{F} \circ \sigma$  on E for all  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(E)$ . Indeed, take an arbitrary  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(E)$ . By virtue of (1.2) and (1.3), one can choose an open neighborhood W of the point  $p \in \partial^* E$  so small that  $W \cup \sigma(W) \subset V$  and  $\tilde{F}$  is injective on W and on  $\sigma(W)$ . Let us consider the biholomorphic mapping  $\Psi := \tilde{F} \circ \sigma \circ (\tilde{F} \mid W)^{-1} : \tilde{F}(W) \to \tilde{F}(\sigma(W))$ . By an extension theorem due to Rudin [21; p. 311] we obtain an element  $\tilde{\Psi} \in \operatorname{Aut}(B^n)$  such that  $\tilde{\Psi}(z) = \Psi(z)$  for all  $z \in \tilde{F}(W \cap E)$ . Note that  $W \cap E$  and  $\tilde{F}(W \cap E)$  are non-empty open subsets of E and  $B^n$ , respectively. Then, by the principle of analytic continuation, we have that  $\tilde{\Psi} \circ \tilde{F} = \tilde{F} \circ \sigma$  on E and  $\tilde{\Psi}$  is uniquely determined by  $\sigma$ . Accordingly, one can define a mapping

$$\Phi: \operatorname{Aut}(E) \to \operatorname{Aut}(B^n)$$

by setting  $\Phi(\sigma) = \widetilde{\Psi}$  so that  $\Phi(\sigma) \circ \widetilde{F} = \widetilde{F} \circ \sigma$  on E for all  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(E)$ .

It is easy to check that  $\Phi$  is a group homomorphism. Once it is shown that  $\Phi$  is continuous at the identity element  $\mathrm{id}_E$  of  $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$ , it follows that  $\Phi$  is real analytic on  $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$  (cf. [9; p. 117]). Since the topology of  $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$  satisfies the second axiom of countability, we have only to show that  $\Phi$  is sequentially continuous at  $\mathrm{id}_E$ . For this let us take an arbitrary sequence  $\{\sigma_v\}$  in  $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$  which converges to  $\mathrm{id}_E$  and assume that  $\{\Phi(\sigma_v)\}$  does not converge to the identity element  $\mathrm{id}_{B^n}$  of  $\mathrm{Aut}(B^n)$ . Passing to a

subsequence, we may assume that there is a neighborhood O of  $\mathrm{id}_{B^n}$  in  $\mathrm{Aut}(B^n)$  such that  $\Phi(\sigma_v)\notin O$  for all v. Pick an arbitrary point  $x\in E$ . Then  $\lim_{v\to\infty}\Phi(\sigma_v)(\tilde{F}(x))=\lim_{v\to\infty}\tilde{F}(\sigma_v(x))=\tilde{F}(x)\in B^n$ , which implies that  $\{\Phi(\sigma_v)(\tilde{F}(x))\}$  lies in a compact subset of  $B^n$ . Hence, after taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $\{\Phi(\sigma_v)\}$  converges to some element  $g\in\mathrm{Aut}(B^n)$  (cf. [16; p. 82]). Since  $g\notin O$ , we see that  $g\neq\mathrm{id}_{B^n}$ . On the other hand, we have  $g(\tilde{F}(z))=\lim_{v\to\infty}\Phi(\sigma_v)(\tilde{F}(z))=\lim_{v\to\infty}\tilde{F}(\sigma_v(z))=\tilde{F}(z)$  for all  $z\in W\cap E$ ; consequently,  $g=\mathrm{id}_{B^n}$  by analytic continuation. This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\Phi$  is continuous at  $\mathrm{id}_E$ , as desired.

Finally we claim that  $\Phi$  is locally injective. It suffices to prove that  $\Phi$  is injective in some neighborhood O of  $\operatorname{id}_E$ . To this end, let us select a small open neighborhood O of the point  $O \in \mathcal{O}^*E$  in O and non-empty open subsets O, O of O of O with the properties: O is injective on O, and O is a relatively compact subset of O. We claim that  $O = \{\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(E) \mid \sigma(\overline{W}_1) \subset W_2\}$  is what is required. Indeed, it is clear that O is an open neighborhood of  $\operatorname{id}_E$  in  $\operatorname{Aut}(E)$ . Moreover, assume that O is an open neighborhood of  $\operatorname{id}_E$  in  $\operatorname{Aut}(E)$ . Moreover, assume that O is all O is an open neighborhood of  $\operatorname{id}_E$  in  $\operatorname{Aut}(E)$ . Moreover, assume that O is all O is an open neighborhood of  $\operatorname{id}_E$  in  $\operatorname{Aut}(E)$ . It follows that O is O of all O of O of O of O of O of all O of O of O of O of O of O of all O of O of O of O of O of all O of all O of O of O of O of O of all O of O of O of O of O of O of all O of all O of O of O of O of O of O of all O of all O of O o

(3)  $\tilde{F}: E \to B^n$  is locally injective. Set  $S = \{z \in E \mid (J\tilde{F})(z) = 0\}$ , where  $(J\tilde{F})(z)$  denotes the holomorphic Jacobian of  $\tilde{F}$  at z. Assume that  $S \neq \emptyset$ . Then S is a complex analytic subset of E of dimension n-1. Once  $S \subset \{(z', z'') \in C^k \times C^{n-k} \mid z'' = 0\} \equiv C^k$  is shown, we arrive at a contradiction, since dim  $S = n-1 > k = \dim C^k$  by our assumption. Thus we have only to show that  $S \subset C^k \times \{0\}$ . To this end, take an arbitrary point  $x = (x', x'') \in S$  and assume that  $x'' \neq 0$ . We may assume that x is a regular point of S. Recall that  $\tilde{F} \circ \sigma = \Phi(\sigma) \circ \tilde{F}$  on E for all  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(E)$  by (2). Then

$$(J\tilde{F})(\sigma(x)) \cdot (J\sigma)(x) = (J\Phi(\sigma))(\tilde{F}(x)) \cdot (J\tilde{F})(x) = 0$$
 and  $(J\sigma)(x) \neq 0$ 

for all  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(E)$ . This means that  $\operatorname{Aut}(E) \cdot x$ , the  $\operatorname{Aut}(E)$ -orbit passing through the point x, is contained in S. This is impossible. Indeed, since  $x'' \neq 0$ , one can show by using the explicit expression of  $\operatorname{Aut}(E(k, \alpha))$  as in [11; Section 1] that the orbit  $\operatorname{Aut}(E) \cdot x$  is a real analytic submanifold of E of real dimension 2n-1; on the other hand, S near x is a real analytic submanifold of E of real dimension 2n-2. Therefore we conclude that  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \{0\}$ , completing the proof of (3).

Before proceeding further, we need some preparation. First, notice that  $B^n$  is homogeneous and each element  $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(B^n)$  extends to a biholomorphic mapping defined in an open neighborhood of  $\overline{B}^n$ . Thus, shrinking the neighborhood V of  $\partial^*E$  and replacing  $\widetilde{F}$  by a suitable mapping of the form  $g \circ \widetilde{F}$  with some  $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(B^n)$ , if necessary, we may assume that the holomorphic mapping  $\widetilde{F}: E \cup V \to C^n$  satisfies an additional condition  $\widetilde{F}(o) = o$ , where o stands for the origin of  $C^n$ . Next, let us consider the toral subgroups  $T_E$  and  $T_{B^n}$  of  $\operatorname{Aut}(E)$  and  $\operatorname{Aut}(B^n)$ , respectively, induced by the rotations on  $C^n$  as follows:

$$(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto ((\exp \sqrt{-1} \theta_1) z_1, \ldots, (\exp \sqrt{-1} \theta_n) z_n), \qquad (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Then  $\Phi(T_E)(o) = \Phi(T_E)(\widetilde{F}(o)) = \widetilde{F}(T_E(o)) = \widetilde{F}(o) = o$ , which says that  $\Phi(T_E)$  is contained in the unitary group U(n) of degree n (the isotropy subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(B^n)$  at the origin o). Since  $\Phi(T_E)$  as well as  $T_{B^n}$  is now a maximal torus in U(n) by (2), it is well-known that they are conjugate to each other in U(n), that is, there exists an element  $\tau \in U(n)$  such that  $\tau \cdot \Phi(T_E) \cdot \tau^{-1} = T_{B^n}$ . Thus, considering  $\tau \circ \widetilde{F}$ ,  $\tau \circ \Phi \circ \tau^{-1}$  instead of  $\widetilde{F}$ ,  $\Phi$  if necessary, we may further assume that  $\Phi(T_E) = T_{B^n}$ . Under these assumptions, we claim the following:

(4)  $\tilde{F}: E \to B^n$  is, in fact, a biholomorphic mapping. Thanks to the fact (3) one can choose a small open ball  $B_{\rho} = \{z \in C^n \mid |z| < \rho\} \subset E$  on which  $\tilde{F}$  is injective. Then, since  $\tilde{F}(B_{\rho}) = \tilde{F}(T_E(B_{\rho})) = \Phi(T_E)(\tilde{F}(B_{\rho})) = T_{B^n}(\tilde{F}(B_{\rho}))$ , we see that  $\tilde{F}(B_{\rho})$  is a bounded Reinhardt domain in  $C^n$  with center at  $\tilde{F}(o) = o$ . Therefore, by a well-known theorem of H. Cartan [21; p. 24], the restriction  $\tilde{F}|B_{\rho}:B_{\rho}\to \tilde{F}(B_{\rho})$  is a linear transformation. So we may assume that  $\tilde{F}\in \operatorname{Aut}(C^n)$ . This, combined with the facts that  $\tilde{F}(\partial^*E)\subset \partial B^n$  and  $\partial^*E$  is dense in  $\partial E$ , guarantees that  $\tilde{F}(E)=B^n$ ; and hence  $\tilde{F}:E\to B^n$  is a biholomorphic mapping. Finally, the assertion  $\alpha=1$  follows from a result of Naruki [17]. This completes the proof of the Lemma.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1. The proof is divided into three cases as follows:

Case 1.  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ . We have  $E_1 = B^n = E_2$  in this case; hence our theorem follows at once from Rudin's result [21; p. 311].

Case 2.  $\alpha \neq 1$ ,  $\beta = 1$  or  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta \neq 1$ . We claim that this case does not occur. Indeed, assume the contrary. Since  $\partial^* E_1$  and  $\partial^* E_2$  are open dense subsets of  $\partial E_1$  and  $\partial E_2$ , respectively, and since  $f: U_1 \to U_2$  is a biholomorphic mapping, we may assume that

$$p_1 \in \partial^* E_1$$
,  $U_1 \cap \partial E_1 = U_1 \cap \partial^* E_1$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$  and  $\beta = 1$ .

In particular, we have  $E_2 = B^n$ . As an immediate consequence of the Lemma in Section 1, we now have  $\alpha = 1$ , a contradiction.

Case 3.  $\alpha \neq 1$ ,  $\beta \neq 1$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $p_i \in \partial^* E_i$  and  $U_i \cap \partial E_i = U_i \cap \partial^* E_i$  for each i = 1, 2. Here, we claim that any strictly pseudoconvex real analytic hypersurface  $\partial^* E_i$  has no umbilical points in the sense of CR-geometry; hence, Webster's CR-invariant Riemannian metric  $g_i$  can be defined on the whole space  $\partial^* E_i$ . (For the notion of umbilical points and Webster's CR-invariant metrics in CR-geometry, see [4]; and also, [22], [23], [24].) To prove our claim, assume that there exists an unbilical point on  $\partial^* E_i$ . Then, all the points of  $\partial^* E_i$  are umbilical, since  $\operatorname{Aut}(E_i)$  acts transitively on  $\partial^* E_i$  by (1.3). Hence,  $\partial^* E_i$  must be locally biholomorphically equivalent to the sphere  $\partial B^n$  (see, for example, [22; p. 213]). By the Lemma in Section 1 we conclude that  $\alpha = 1$  or  $\beta = 1$  according as i = 1 or i = 2. This is a contradiction, as desired. Moreover, we see that  $(\partial^* E_i, g_i)$  is complete as a Riemannian manifold, because  $\partial^* E_i$  is homogeneous under the CR-automorphism group  $\operatorname{Aut}(E_i)$ . As a result, each  $(\partial^* E_i, g_i)$ 

is a connected and simply connected, complete real analytic Riemannian manifold. On the other hand,  $f: U_1 \cap \partial^* E_1 \to U_2 \cap \partial^* E_2$  is an isometry with respect to the CR-invariant metrics  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ . By a well-known fact in Riemannian geometry [10; p. 256], f can now be uniquely extended to a global isometry  $F: (\partial^* E_1, g_1) \to (\partial^* E_2, g_2)$ . It is easily seen that  $F: \partial^* E_1 \to \partial^* E_2$  is a real analytic CR-diffeomorphism. Accordingly, by a result of Pinchuk [18], [19; p. 186] there are open neighborhoods  $V_1$  of  $\partial^* E_1$  and  $V_2$  of  $\partial^* E_2$ in  $C^n$  such that  $F: \partial^* E_1 \to \partial^* E_2$  and its inverse  $G: = F^{-1}: \partial^* E_2 \to \partial^* E_1$  extend to locally biholomorphic mappings written in the same notation  $F: V_1 \to \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $G: V_2 \to \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying  $F(V_1 \cap E_1) \subset E_2$  and  $G(V_2 \cap E_2) \subset E_1$ . Hence, in exactly the same way as in (1) of the proof of the Lemma in Section 1, it can be shown that F and G extend to holomorphic mappings  $\tilde{F}: E_1 \to \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\tilde{G}: E_2 \to \mathbb{C}^n$ . Moreover, replacing  $\psi(z'')$  by  $\psi_1(z'') = \rho_2(\tilde{F}(z'_o, z''))$  in (1) of the proof of the Lemma in Section 1, we can prove that  $\tilde{F}(E_1) \subset E_2$ , where  $\rho_2$  is the continuous plurisubharmonic function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  defined by  $\rho_2(z) = -1 + \sum_{i=1}^{l} |z_i|^2 + (\sum_{j=l+1}^{n} |z_j|^2)^{\beta}, \ z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Analogously, we see that  $\widetilde{G}(E_2) \subset E_1$ . Since  $\tilde{G} \circ \tilde{F} = \mathrm{id}_{E_1}$  near  $\partial^* E_1$  and  $\tilde{F} \circ \tilde{G} = \mathrm{id}_{E_2}$  near  $\partial^* E_2$ , we conclude by analytic continuation that  $\tilde{G} \circ \tilde{F} = \mathrm{id}_{E_1}$  and  $\tilde{F} \circ \tilde{G} = \mathrm{id}_{E_2}$ ; consequently,  $\tilde{F} \colon E_1 \to E_2$  is a biholomorphic mapping. Finally the assertion  $(k, \alpha) = (l, \beta)$  follows now from Naruki [17], completing the proof of Theorem 1.

3. Proof of Theorem 2. The case k = n - 1 is contained in our previous paper [13]. Thus it suffices to prove Theorem 2 when  $k \le n - 2$ . We have two cases to consider: Case 1. The point  $p \in \partial D$  is a strictly pseudoconvex boundary point. Hence D is biholomorphically equivalent to  $B^n$  by a result of Rosay [20]. Fix a biholomorphic mapping  $F: D \to B^n$ . Using a theorem on the boundary continuity of proper holomorphic mappings due to Forstnerič and Rosay [7], one sees that F extends to a homeomorphism from a connected open neighborhood M of p in  $\partial D \cap \partial E$  onto an open subset M' of  $\partial B^n$ . Accordingly, by results of Bell [3; Theorem 2], Pinchuk [19; p. 186], the CR-homeomorphism  $F: M \to M'$  can be extended to a biholomorphism between some open neighborhoods O of M and O' of M' in  $C^n$ . Hence,  $E = B^n$  by the Lemma in Section 1 and F extends to a biholomorphic automorphism  $\Phi$  of  $B^n$  by [21; p. 311]. Set  $\Psi = \Phi^{-1} \in \operatorname{Aut}(B^n)$ . Then, since  $\Psi = F^{-1}$  near M', we have that  $\Psi = F^{-1}$  on  $B^n$  by analytic continuation. Thus we obtain that  $D = F^{-1}(B^n) = \Psi(B^n) = B^n = E$ , as desired.

Case 2. The point  $p \in \partial D$  is not a strictly pseudoconvex boundary point. The point p must be of the form  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_k, 0, \dots, 0)$  by (1.1). Therefore, it follows at once by Theorem K in the introduction that there exists a biholomorphic mapping  $F: D \to E$ . In exactly the same way as in the proof of [13; Lemma 3], it can be shown that F extends to a homeomorphism from an open subset of  $U \cap \partial^* E \cap \partial D$  onto an open subset of  $\partial^* E$ . By the same reasoning as above, one can now find points  $p_1 \in U \cap \partial^* E$ ,  $p_2 \in \partial^* E$ , open neighborhoods  $U_1$  of  $P_1$ ,  $U_2$  of  $P_2$  in  $C^n$  and a biholomorphic extension  $\tilde{F}: U_1 \to U_2$  of F satisfying all the conditions in (2) of Theorem 1. Thus  $\tilde{F}$  extends to a biholomorphic automorphism  $\tilde{\Phi}$  of E; hence, repeating exactly the same arguments as in Case 1, we

can show that D = E as sets. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. ALEXANDER, Proper holomorphic mappings in C<sup>n</sup>, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 26 (1977), 137-146.
- [2] S. R. Bell, The Bergman kernel function and proper holomorphic mappings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 270 (1982), 685-691.
- [3] S. R. Bell, Local regularity of CR homeomorphisms, Duke Math. J. 57 (1988), 295-300.
- [4] D. Burns and S. Shnider, Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 30 (1977), 141–168.
- [5] G. DINI AND A. SELVAGGI PRIMICERIO, Localization principle of automorphisms on generalized pseudoellipsoids, to appear in J. Geom. Anal.
- [6] G. DINI AND A. SELVAGGI PRIMICERIO, Localization principle for a class of Reinhardt domains, Seminari di Geometria 1994–1995, Bologna (1996), 117–127.
- [7] F. FORSTNERIČ AND J. P. ROSAY, Localization of the Kobayashi metric and the boundary continuity of proper holomorphic mappings, Math. Ann. 279 (1987), 239–252.
- [8] R. E. GREENE AND S. G. KRANTZ, Characterizations of certain weakly pseudoconvex domains with non-compact automorphism groups, Lecture Notes in Math. 1268, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris and Tokyo, 1987, 121–157.
- [9] S. Helgason, Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces, Academic Press, New York, London, Toronto, Sydney and San Francisco, 1978.
- [10] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry, Volume I, Interscience Publishers, New York and London, 1963.
- [11] A. Kodama, Characterizations of certain weakly pseudoconvex domains  $E(k, \alpha)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , Tôhoku Math. J. 40 (1988), 343–365.
- [12] A. KODAMA, A characterization of certain domains with good boundary points in the sense of Greene-Krantz, Kodai Math. J. 12 (1989), 257–269.
- [13] A. KODAMA, A characterization of certain domains with good boundary points in the sense of Greene-Krantz, II, Tôhoku Math. J. 43 (1991), 9–25.
- [14] A. KODAMA, A characterization of certain domains with good boundary points in the sense of Greene-Krantz, III, Osaka J. Math. 32 (1995), 1055–1063.
- [15] A. KODAMA, S. G. KRANTZ AND D. MA, A characterization of generalized complex ellipsoids in C<sup>n</sup> and related results, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 41 (1992), 173–195.
- [16] R. Narasimhan, Several complex variables, Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 1971.
- [17] I. NARUKI, The holomorphic equivalence problem for a class of Reinhardt domains, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., Kyoto Univ. 4 (1968), 527-543.
- [18] S. I. PINCHUK, On the analytic continuation of holomorphic mappings, Math. USSR Sb. 27 (1975), 375–392.
- [19] S. I. Pinchuk, Holomorphic maps in C<sup>n</sup> and the problem of holomorphic equivalence, Encyclopaedia of Math. Sciences, Vol. 9, G. M. Khenkin, ed., Several Complex Variables III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris and Tokyo, 1989, 173–200.
- [20] J. P. Rosay, Sur une caractérisation de la boule parmi les domaines de C<sup>n</sup> par son groupe d'automorphismes, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 29 (1979), 91–97.
- [21] W. Rudin, Function Theory in the Unit Ball of  $C^n$ , Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg and Berlin, 1980.
- [22] A. E. TUMANOV, The geometry of CR-manifolds, Encyclopaedia of Math. Sciences, Vol. 9, G. M. Khenkin, ed., Several Complex Variables III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York,

- London, Paris and Tokyo, 1989, 201-222.
- [23] S. M. Webster, Pseudo-hermitian structures on a real hypersurface, J. Diff. Geom. 13 (1978), 25-41.
- [24] S. M. Webster, On the transformation group of a real hypersurface, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 231 (1977), 179-190.
- [25] B. Wong, Characterization of the unit ball in C" by its automorphism group, Invent. Math. 41 (1977), 253–257.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF SCIENCE KANAZAWA UNIVERSITY KANAZAWA 920–1192 JAPAN