

Evaluation modules for the three-point sl_2 loop algebra (Finite Groups and Algebraic Combinatorics)

メタデータ	言語: eng 出版者: 公開日: 2017-10-03 キーワード (Ja): キーワード (En): 作成者: メールアドレス: 所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2297/36712

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 International License.



Evaluation modules for the three-point sl_2 loop algebra

Tatsuro Ito

Kanazawa University

Paul Terwilliger

University of Wisconsin

1

Overview

- The tetrahedron algebra realization of the three-point sl_2 loop algebra
- The f.d. irreducible modules
- The evaluation modules
- The S_4 -action on the evaluation modules
- 24 bases for an evaluation module
- Realization of the evaluation modules by polynomials in two variables

2

Warmup: The Lie algebra sl_2

Throughout, \mathbb{F} will denote an algebraically closed field with characteristic 0.

Recall that sl_2 is the Lie algebra over \mathbb{F} with a basis e, f, h and Lie bracket

$$\begin{aligned} [h, e] &= 2e, & [h, f] &= -2f, \\ [e, f] &= h. \end{aligned}$$

3

The equitable basis for sl_2

The equitable basis for sl_2

Define

$$x = 2e - h, \quad y = -2f - h, \quad z = h.$$

Then x, y, z is a basis for sl_2 and

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y] &= 2x + 2y, \\ [y, z] &= 2y + 2z, \\ [z, x] &= 2z + 2x. \end{aligned}$$

We call x, y, z the **equitable basis** for sl_2 .

4

The three-point sl_2 loop algebra

The three-point sl_2 loop algebra is the Lie algebra over \mathbb{F} consisting of the vector space

$$sl_2 \otimes \mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}, (t-1)^{-1}], \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{F}}$$

where t is indeterminate, and Lie bracket

$$[u \otimes a, v \otimes b] = [u, v] \otimes ab.$$

6

The equitable presentation for the three-point \mathfrak{sl}_2 loop algebra

We now recall the equitable presentation for the three-point \mathfrak{sl}_2 loop algebra.

To give the presentation we define a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} by generators and relations, and display an isomorphism from \mathfrak{g} to the three-point \mathfrak{sl}_2 loop algebra.

\mathfrak{g} and the three-point \mathfrak{sl}_2 loop algebra

Theorem [Hartwig +T] There exists an isomorphism of Lie algebras

$$\psi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}, (t-1)^{-1}]$$

that sends

$$\begin{aligned} x_{12} &\mapsto x \otimes 1, & x_{03} &\mapsto y \otimes t + z \otimes (t-1), \\ x_{23} &\mapsto y \otimes 1, & x_{01} &\mapsto z \otimes (1-t^{-1}) - x \otimes t^{-1}, \\ x_{31} &\mapsto z \otimes 1, & x_{02} &\mapsto x \otimes (1-t)^{-1} + y \otimes t(1-t)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where x, y, z is the equitable basis for \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

From now on we work with \mathfrak{g} .

The tetrahedron algebra \mathfrak{g}

Definition [Hartwig+T] The tetrahedron algebra \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra over \mathbb{F} that has generators

$$\{x_{ij} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{I}, i \neq j\} \quad \mathbb{I} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$$

and the following relations:

(i) For distinct $i, j \in \mathbb{I}$,

$$x_{ij} + x_{ji} = 0.$$

(ii) For mutually distinct $h, i, j \in \mathbb{I}$,

$$[x_{hi}, x_{ij}] = 2x_{hi} + 2x_{ij}.$$

(iii) For mutually distinct $h, i, j, k \in \mathbb{I}$,

$$[x_{hi}, [x_{hi}, [x_{hi}, x_{jk}]]] = 4[x_{hi}, x_{jk}].$$

Decompositions

Let V denote a f.d. irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module.

By a **decomposition** of V we mean a sequence $\{V_n\}_{n=0}^d$ of nonzero subspaces of V such that

$$V = \sum_{n=0}^d V_n \quad (\text{direct sum}).$$

We call d the **diameter** of the decomposition.

By the **shape** of this decomposition we mean the sequence $\{\dim(V_n)\}_{n=0}^d$.

Finite-dimensional irred. \mathfrak{g} -modules

Our goal is to describe the f.d. irreducible \mathfrak{g} -modules.

For these modules there is a special case called an **evaluation module**.

It turns out that every f.d. irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module is a tensor product of evaluation modules.

After some general remarks we focus on the evaluation modules.

The decompositions $[i, j]$

Hartwig showed:

- (i) Each generator x_{ij} is semisimple on V .
- (ii) There exists an integer $d \geq 0$ such that for each generator x_{ij} the set of distinct eigenvalues on V is

$$\{2n - d \mid 0 \leq n \leq d\}.$$

We let $[i, j]$ denote the eigenspace decomposition for x_{ij} on V associated with the above ordering of the eigenvalues.

How the decompositions $[i, j]$ are related

The shape of V

Hartwig showed that the shape of the decomposition $[i, j]$ is independent of the pair i, j .

We call this common shape **the shape of V** .

The trivial \mathfrak{g} -module

Up to isomorphism there exists a unique \mathfrak{g} -module V with dimension 1.

Every element of \mathfrak{g} is 0 on V .

We call V the trivial \mathfrak{g} -module.

The evaluation modules for \mathfrak{g}

We now define the evaluation modules for \mathfrak{g} .

For $a \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ we define a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$EV_a : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{F}[t, t^{-1}, (t-1)^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2$$

$$\psi \quad \quad \quad u \otimes f(t) \rightarrow uf(a)$$

For an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module V we pull back the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module structure via EV_a ; this turns V into a \mathfrak{g} -module which we call $V(a)$.

The evaluation modules for \mathfrak{g} , cont.

By an **evaluation module** for \mathfrak{g} we mean the module $V_d(a)$ where

- (i) d is a positive integer;
- (ii) V_d is the irreducible \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module with dimension $d + 1$.

The \mathfrak{g} -module $V_d(a)$ is nontrivial and irreducible.

We call a the **evaluation parameter** for $V_d(a)$.

Characterizing the evaluation modules, I

Theorem For a nontrivial f.d. irreducible \mathfrak{A} -module V TFAE:

- (i) V is isomorphic to an evaluation module for \mathfrak{A} .
- (ii) V has shape $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

19

An S_4 -action on \mathfrak{A} -modules

For a \mathfrak{A} -module V and $\sigma \in S_4$ there exists a \mathfrak{A} -module structure on V , called V twisted via σ , that behaves as follows:

For $u \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $v \in V$, the vector $u.v$ computed in V twisted via σ coincides with the vector $\sigma^{-1}(u).v$ computed in the original \mathfrak{A} -module V .

Sometimes we abbreviate ${}^\sigma V$ for V twisted via σ .

S_4 acts on the set of \mathfrak{A} -modules, with σ sending V to ${}^\sigma V$ for all $\sigma \in S_4$ and all \mathfrak{A} -modules V .

22

Characterizing the evaluation modules, II

Theorem Let V denote a nontrivial f.d. irreducible \mathfrak{A} -module.

Then for $a \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ TFAE:

- (i) V is isomorphic to an evaluation module with evaluation parameter a .
- (ii) Each of the following vanishes on V :

$$\begin{aligned} ax_{01} + (1-a)x_{02} - x_{03}, \\ ax_{10} + (1-a)x_{13} - x_{12}, \\ ax_{23} + (1-a)x_{20} - x_{21}, \\ ax_{32} + (1-a)x_{31} - x_{30}. \end{aligned}$$

20

The S_4 -action on \mathfrak{A} -modules, cont.

The above S_4 -action on \mathfrak{A} -modules sends evaluation modules to evaluation modules.

The effect of this action on the evaluation parameter is described in the following two slides.

23

An S_4 -action on \mathfrak{A}

We identify the symmetric group S_4 with the group of permutations of \mathbb{I} .

S_4 acts on the set of generators for \mathfrak{A} by permuting the indices:

$$\sigma(x_{ij}) = x_{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)} \quad \sigma \in S_4.$$

This action leaves invariant the defining relations and therefore induces an action of S_4 on \mathfrak{A} as a group of automorphisms.

21

An action of S_4 on $\mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, 1\}$

Lemma There exists an action of S_4 on the set $\mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ that does the following.

For $a \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, 1\}$,

- $(2, 0)$ sends $a \mapsto a^{-1}$;
- $(0, 1)$ sends $a \mapsto a(a-1)^{-1}$;
- $(1, 3)$ sends $a \mapsto a^{-1}$.

24

The effect of S_4 on the evaluation parameter

Theorem For an integer $d \geq 1$, $\sigma \in S_4$, and $a \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ the following are isomorphic:

- (i) The \mathbb{B} -module $V_d(a)$ twisted via σ ;
- (ii) The \mathbb{B} -module $V_d(\sigma(a))$.

The orbits of S_4 on $\mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, 1\}$

We now describe the orbits for the S_4 -action on $\mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

Pick $a \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and mutually distinct $i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{I}$.

By the (i, j, k, ℓ) -relative of a we mean the scalar $\sigma(a)$ where $\sigma \in S_4$ sends the sequence (i, j, k, ℓ) to $(2, 0, 1, 3)$.

A subgroup G of S_4

Earlier we gave an action of S_4 on the set $\mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

Let G denote the kernel of this action.

It turns out that G consists of

$$(01)(23), \quad (02)(13), \quad (03)(12)$$

together with the identity element.

The orbits of S_4 on $\mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, cont.

The relative function satisfies this recursion:

Lemma Pick $a \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and mutually distinct $i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{I}$.

Let α denote the (i, j, k, ℓ) -relative of a . Then

- α^{-1} is the (j, i, k, ℓ) -relative of a ;
- $\alpha(\alpha - 1)^{-1}$ is the (i, k, j, ℓ) -relative of a ;
- α^{-1} is the (i, j, ℓ, k) -relative of a .

The subgroup G of S_4 , cont.

Corollary For an integer $d \geq 1$, for $\sigma \in G$, and for $a \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ the following are isomorphic:

- (i) The \mathbb{B} -module $V_d(a)$ twisted via σ ;
- (ii) The \mathbb{B} -module $V_d(a)$.

We will return to the subgroup G later in the talk.

The orbits of S_4 on $\mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, cont.

Here is another way to view the relative function.

Lemma For $a \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and mutually distinct $i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{I}$ the following (i), (ii) coincide:

- (i) the (i, j, k, ℓ) -relative of a ;
- (ii) the scalar

$$\frac{i - \ell}{i - k} \frac{j - k}{j - \ell}$$

where we define

$$\hat{0} = a, \hat{1} = 0, \hat{2} = 1, \hat{3} = \infty.$$

The orbits of S_4 on $F \setminus \{0, 1\}$, cont.

Here is an explicit description of the relative function.

Theorem Pick $a \in F \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and mutually distinct $i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{I}$.

Then the (i, j, k, ℓ) -relative of a is given in the following table.

(i, j, k, ℓ)				(i, j, k, ℓ) -relative
(2, 0, 1, 3)	(0, 2, 3, 1)	(1, 3, 2, 0)	(3, 1, 0, 2)	a
(0, 2, 1, 3)	(2, 0, 3, 1)	(1, 3, 0, 2)	(3, 1, 2, 0)	a^{-1}
(1, 0, 2, 3)	(0, 1, 3, 2)	(2, 3, 1, 0)	(3, 2, 0, 1)	$1 - a$
(0, 1, 2, 3)	(1, 0, 3, 2)	(2, 3, 0, 1)	(3, 2, 1, 0)	$(1 - a)^{-1}$
(2, 1, 0, 3)	(1, 2, 3, 0)	(0, 3, 2, 1)	(3, 0, 1, 2)	$a(a - 1)^{-1}$
(1, 2, 0, 3)	(2, 1, 3, 0)	(0, 3, 1, 2)	(3, 0, 2, 1)	$1 - a^{-1}$

Location of η_i ($i \in \mathbb{I}$)

24 bases for $V_d(a)$

For the time being we fix an integer $d \geq 1$ and a scalar $a \in F \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

We consider the \mathbb{K} -module $V_d(a)$.

We are about to define 24 bases for this module.

The basis $[i, j, k, \ell]$ for $V_d(a)$

Lemma For mutually distinct $i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{I}$ there exists a unique basis $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^d$ for $V_d(a)$ such that:

- (i) for $0 \leq n \leq d$ the vector u_n is contained in component n of the decomposition $[k, \ell]$;
- (ii) $\eta_i = \sum_{n=0}^d u_n$.

We denote this basis by $[i, j, k, \ell]$.

We have now defined 24 bases for $V_d(a)$.

The vectors η_i ($i \in \mathbb{I}$) in $V_d(a)$

For notational convenience, for $i \in \mathbb{I}$ we fix a nonzero vector $\eta_i \in V_d(a)$ which is a common eigenvector for $\{x_{ij} \mid j \in \mathbb{I}, j \neq i\}$.

The basis $[i, j, k, \ell]$ for $V_d(a)$

How the generators x_{rs} act on the 24 bases

Theorem For mutually distinct $i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{I}$ and distinct $r, s \in \mathbb{I}$ consider the matrix representing x_{rs} with respect to the basis $[i, j, k, \ell]$ of $V_d(a)$. The entries of this matrix are given in the following table. All entries not displayed are zero.

gen.	$(n, n-1)$ -entry	(n, n) -entry	$(n-1, n)$ -entry
x_{rs}	0	$d-2n$	0
x_{rs}	0	$2n-d$	0
x_{ij}	0	$2n-d$	$2d-2n+2$
x_{kl}	0	$d-2n$	$2n-2d-2$
x_{id}	$-2n$	$2n-d$	0
x_{id}	$2n$	$d-2n$	0
x_{ij}	$2an$	$d-2n$	0
x_{kl}	$-2an$	$2n-d$	0
x_{jk}	0	$d-2n$	$2(n-d-1)\alpha^{-1}$
x_{ji}	0	$2n-d$	$2(d-n+1)\alpha^{-1}$
x_r	$2an(\alpha-1)^{-1}$	$(d-2n)(\alpha+1)(\alpha-1)^{-1}$	$2(d-n+1)(1-\alpha)^{-1}$
x_s	$2an(1-\alpha)^{-1}$	$(d-2n)(\alpha+1)(1-\alpha)^{-1}$	$2(d-n+1)(\alpha-1)^{-1}$

In the above table the scalar α denotes the (i, j, k, ℓ) -relative of a .

The matrix Z

The following matrix will play a role in our discussion.

For an integer $d \geq 0$ let $Z = Z(d)$ denote the matrix in $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ with entries

$$Z_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i+j=d; \\ 0, & \text{if } i+j \neq d. \end{cases} \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d).$$

We observe

$$Z^2 = I.$$

Some transition matrices

We now consider the transition matrices between our 24 bases.

In order to describe these, it is convenient to introduce a certain bilinear form on $V_d(a)$.

The transition matrices

Theorem Referring to $V_d(a)$, pick mutually distinct $i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{I}$ and consider the transition matrices from the basis $[i, j, k, \ell]$ to the bases

$$[j, i, k, \ell], \quad [i, k, j, \ell], \quad [i, j, \ell, k].$$

(i) The first transition matrix is diagonal with (r, r) -entry

$$\frac{\langle \eta_j, \eta_\ell \rangle}{\langle \eta_i, \eta_\ell \rangle} \alpha^r$$

for $0 \leq r \leq d$, where α is the (i, j, k, ℓ) -relative of a .

(ii) The second transition matrix is lower triangular with (r, s) -entry

$$\binom{r}{s} \alpha^{r-s} (1-\alpha)^s$$

for $0 \leq s \leq r \leq d$, where α is the (i, j, k, ℓ) -relative of a .

(iii) The third transition matrix is the matrix Z .

A bilinear form on $V_d(a)$

Lemma There exists a nonzero bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $V_d(a)$ such that

$$\langle w.u, v \rangle = -\langle u, w.v \rangle \quad w \in \mathbb{I}, \quad u, v \in V.$$

The form is nondegenerate.

The form is unique up to multiplication by a nonzero scalar in \mathbb{F} .

The form is symmetric (resp. antisymmetric) when d is even (resp. d is odd).

We call $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ a standard bilinear form for $V_d(a)$.

Realizing the evaluation modules for \mathbb{I} using polynomials in two variables

Let z_0, z_1 denote commuting indeterminates.

let $\mathbb{F}[z_0, z_1]$ denote the \mathbb{F} -algebra of all polynomials in z_0, z_1 that have coefficients in \mathbb{F} .

We abbreviate $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{F}[z_0, z_1]$.

We often view \mathcal{A} as a vector space over \mathbb{F} .

For an integer $d \geq 0$ let \mathcal{A}_d denote the subspace of \mathcal{A} consisting of the homogeneous polynomials in z_0, z_1 that have total degree d .

Thus $\{z_0^{d-n} z_1^n\}_{n=0}^d$ is a basis for \mathcal{A}_d .

Realizing the evaluation modules

Note that

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_d \quad (\text{direct sum})$$

and that

$$\mathcal{A}_r \mathcal{A}_s = \mathcal{A}_{r+s} \quad (r, s \geq 0).$$

We fix mutually distinct $\beta_i \in \mathbb{F}$ ($i \in \mathbb{I}$).

Then there exist unique $z_2, z_3 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}} z_i = 0, \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}} \beta_i z_i = 0.$$

Some bases for \mathcal{A}_d

Lemma For an integer $d \geq 0$ and distinct $i, j \in \mathbb{I}$ the elements $\{z_i^{d-n} z_j^n\}_{n=0}^d$ form a basis for \mathcal{A}_d .

Comments on the z_i ($i \in \mathbb{I}$)

Lemma For mutually distinct $i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{I}$ we have

$$z_k = \frac{\beta_\ell - \beta_i}{\beta_k - \beta_\ell} z_i + \frac{\beta_\ell - \beta_j}{\beta_k - \beta_\ell} z_j,$$

$$z_\ell = \frac{\beta_i - \beta_k}{\beta_k - \beta_\ell} z_i + \frac{\beta_j - \beta_k}{\beta_k - \beta_\ell} z_j.$$

Example: Some bases for \mathcal{A}_3

Some bases for \mathcal{A}

Lemma For distinct $i, j \in \mathbb{I}$ the elements

$$z_i^r z_j^s \quad 0 \leq r, s < \infty$$

form a basis for \mathcal{A} .

Derivations of \mathcal{A}

Our next goal is to display a \mathbb{F} -module structure on \mathcal{A} .

We will use the following terms.

By a **derivation** of \mathcal{A} we mean an \mathbb{F} -linear map $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$D(uv) = D(u)v + uD(v) \quad (u, v \in \mathcal{A}).$$

\mathcal{A} is a \mathfrak{K} -module

Theorem There exists a unique \mathfrak{K} -module structure on \mathcal{A} such that:

- (i) each element of \mathfrak{K} acts as a derivation on \mathcal{A} ;
- (ii) $x_{ij}.z_i = -z_i$ and $x_{ij}.z_j = z_j$ for distinct $i, j \in \mathbf{I}$.

49

The decomposition $[i, j]$ for \mathcal{A}_d

Earlier in the talk we described the \mathfrak{K} -module $V_d(a)$.

We now consider how things look from the point of view of \mathcal{A}_d .

Proposition For an integer $d \geq 0$ and for distinct $i, j \in \mathbf{I}$ the decomposition $[i, j]$ on \mathcal{A}_d is described as follows.

For $0 \leq n \leq d$ the n th component is spanned by $z_i^{d-n} z_j^n$.

52

The eigenvectors for the x_{ij} on \mathcal{A}

Lemma for distinct $i, j \in \mathbf{I}$ and integers $r, s \geq 0$ the element $z_i^r z_j^s$ is an eigenvector for x_{ij} with eigenvalue $s - r$.

50

The elements η_i ($i \in \mathbf{I}$) for \mathcal{A}_d

For an integer $d \geq 1$ and $i \in \mathbf{I}$ the element z_i^d is a scalar multiple of η_i .

Recall η_i is defined up to scalar multiplication.

For the rest of talk we choose $\eta_i = z_i^d$.

53

The irreducible \mathfrak{K} -submodules of \mathcal{A}

Proposition Referring to the \mathfrak{K} -module \mathcal{A} ,

- (i) For $d \geq 0$ the subspace \mathcal{A}_d is an irreducible \mathfrak{K} -submodule of \mathcal{A} .
- (ii) The \mathfrak{K} -module \mathcal{A}_0 is trivial.
- (iii) For $d \geq 1$ the \mathfrak{K} -module \mathcal{A}_d is isomorphic to $V_d(a)$ where

$$a = \frac{\beta_0 - \beta_1 \beta_2 - \beta_3}{\beta_0 - \beta_3 \beta_2 - \beta_1}$$

51

The basis $[i, j, k, \ell]$ for \mathcal{A}_d

Proposition For an integer $d \geq 1$ and for mutually distinct $i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbf{I}$ the basis $[i, j, k, \ell]$ of \mathcal{A}_d is described as follows.

For $0 \leq n \leq d$ the n th component is

$$z_k^{d-n} z_\ell^n \binom{d}{n} \frac{(\beta_j - \beta_k)^{d-n} (\beta_j - \beta_\ell)^n}{(\beta_i - \beta_j)^d}$$

54

The group G revisited

We saw earlier that if we twist the \mathbb{K} -module $V_d(a)$ via an element of G then the result is isomorphic to $V_d(a)$.

We now explain this fact using \mathcal{A} .

55

Some automorphisms of \mathcal{A}

Lemma For mutually distinct $i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbf{I}$ there exists a unique automorphism of \mathcal{A} that sends

$$\begin{aligned} z_i &\mapsto \frac{\beta_j - \beta_k}{\beta_i - \beta_k} z_j, & z_j &\mapsto \frac{\beta_i - \beta_\ell}{\beta_j - \beta_\ell} z_i, \\ z_k &\mapsto \frac{\beta_\ell - \beta_i}{\beta_i - \beta_k} z_\ell, & z_\ell &\mapsto \frac{\beta_k - \beta_j}{\beta_j - \beta_\ell} z_k. \end{aligned}$$

56

Some automorphisms of \mathcal{A}

Theorem The following hold for $\sigma \in G$:

(i) There exists an automorphism g_σ of \mathcal{A} that sends z_r to a scalar multiple of $z_{\sigma(r)}$ for all $r \in \mathbf{I}$.

(ii) For $u \in \mathbb{K}$ the equation

$$\sigma(u) = g_\sigma u g_\sigma^{-1}$$

holds on \mathcal{A} .

(iii) The map g_σ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{K} -modules from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{A} twisted via σ .

THE END

57