

Certain Hypersurfaces of a Manifold with (f, g, u, v, λ) -Structure

by

Kichiro TAKAMATSU* and Hong-Suh PARK**

Abstract

The (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure has been defined by K. Yano and M. Okumura [5] in even dimensional manifold. It is well known that the submanifold of codimension 2 of an almost Hermitian manifold and a hypersurface of an almost contact metric manifold admit the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure under certain conditions. A hypersurface is said to be invariant if the tangent hyperplane is invariant by the action of the tensor f . The invariant hypersurface of a manifold with (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure was investigated by K. Yano and M. Okumura [6]. In the present paper we shall study the hypersurface such that the vector fields u, v are tangent to the hypersurface of a manifold with (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure and the invariant hypersurface of a manifold with (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure satisfying certain conditions.

1. (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure

Let M be a $(2n+2)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold of class C^∞ . If there exist in M a tensor field f of type $(1, 1)$, a Riemannian metric g , two vector fields u, v and a function λ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_j^i f_i^h &= -\delta_j^h + u_j u^h + v_j v^h, \\ f_j^t f_i^s g_{ts} &= g_{ji} - u_j u_i - v_j v_i, \\ f_j^i u_i &= \lambda v_j, \quad f_j^i v_i = -\lambda u_j, \\ f_i^h u^i &= -\lambda v^h, \quad f_i^h v^i = \lambda u^h, \\ u_i u^i &= v_i v^i = 1 - \lambda^2, \quad u_i v^i = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $u_i = g_{ji} u^j$, $v_i = g_{ji} v^j$, then the structure is called (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure.

Putting $f_{ji} = f_j^t g_{ti}$, we can easily see that

$$f_{ji} = -f_{ij}.$$

Next, we consider a product manifold $M \times R^2$, where R^2 is a 2-dimensional Euclidean space. Then we can define in it an almost complex structure F with local components F_λ^μ given by

* Section of Applied Mathematics.

** Yeungnam University, Korea.

$$(F\lambda^h) = \begin{pmatrix} f_j^i & u^i & v^i \\ -u_j & 0 & -\lambda \\ -v_j & \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

The local components of Nijenhuis tensor N of F , are written as (Suzuki [3])

$$s_{ij}^h = f_j^m \nabla_m f_i^h - f_i^m \nabla_m f_j^h - f_m^h (\nabla_j f_i^m - \nabla_i f_j^m) + u^h (\nabla_j u_i - \nabla_i u_j) + v^h (\nabla_j v_i - \nabla_i v_j),$$

$$N_{ji}^{(1)} = -f_j^m \nabla_m u_i + f_i^m \nabla_m u_j + u_m (\nabla_j f_i^m - \nabla_i f_j^m) - \lambda (\nabla_j v_i - \nabla_i v_j),$$

$$N_{ji}^{(2)} = -f_j^m \nabla_m v_i + f_i^m \nabla_m v_j + v_m (\nabla_j f_i^m - \nabla_i f_j^m) + \lambda (\nabla_j u_i - \nabla_i u_j),$$

$$N_{(1)i}^h = (\mathcal{L}_u f)_i^h + v^h \nabla_i \lambda,$$

$$N_{(2)i}^h = (\mathcal{L}_v f)_i^h - u^h \nabla_i \lambda,$$

$$N_{(1)i}^{(1)} = -(\mathcal{L}_u u)_i - \lambda \nabla_i \lambda,$$

$$N_{(1)i}^{(2)} = -(\mathcal{L}_u v)_i - f_i^m \nabla_m \lambda, \quad (3)$$

$$N_{(2)i}^{(1)} = -(\mathcal{L}_v u)_i + f_i^m \nabla_m \lambda,$$

$$N_{(2)i}^{(2)} = -(\mathcal{L}_v v)_i - \lambda \nabla_i \lambda,$$

$$N_{(1)(2)}^h = [u, v]^h,$$

$$N_{(1)(2)}^{(1)} = -\mathcal{L}_u \lambda,$$

$$N_{(1)(2)}^{(2)} = -\mathcal{L}_v \lambda,$$

where \mathcal{L}_u denotes the operator of Lie derivation with respect to u^h , and ∇_j denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to g_{ij} . If it satisfies $S_{ji}^h = 0$, then the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure is called to be normal. On normal structures, K. Yano and M. Okumura [5] proved the following

THEOREM A. *Let M be a manifold with normal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure satisfying*

$$\nabla_j v_i - \nabla_i v_j = 2f_{ji}, \quad (4)$$

then we have

$$f_j^i \nabla_h f_i^j - f_i^j \nabla_h f_i^j = u_j (\nabla_i u_h) - u_i (\nabla_j u_h) + v_j (\nabla_i v_h) - v_i (\nabla_j v_h). \quad (5)$$

THEOREM B. *Let M be a manifold with normal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure satisfying (4) and*

$$\nabla_j u_i - \nabla_i u_j = 2\tau f_{ji}, \quad (6)$$

τ being a certain function. If the function $\lambda(1-\lambda^2)$ is almost everywhere non-zero, then we have

$$\nabla_j \lambda = u_j - \tau v_j, \quad (7)$$

and τ is a constant.

On the other hand, the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure is said to be quasi-normal if it satisfies (5). On quasi-normal structure, K. Yano and U-Hang Ki [4] proved the following

THEOREM C. *In a manifold with quasi-normal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure such that the function $\lambda(1-\lambda^2)$ is almost everywhere non-zero and (4) is satisfied, we have (6).*

Taking account of Theorem A and the definitions of quasi-normal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure, we can see that a normal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure satisfying (4) is quasi-normal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure. Therefore, we find that in a normal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure satisfying (4), from Theorem C, the condition (6) is satisfied. Consequently, we can state the following

REMARK. *Theorem B establishes without condition (6).*

2. Hypersurface of M with (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure

We consider a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold V and the immersion $i: V \rightarrow M$ as a hypersurface $i(V)$ of M . The hypersurface $i(V)$ is represented parametrically by the equation

$$x^h = x^h(y^a),$$

where $\{x^h\}$, $\{y^a\}$ be local coordinates of M and $i(V)$ respectively, and the indices a, b, c , etc. run over the range $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n+1\}$.

If we put $B_a^h = \partial_a x^h$, $(\partial_a = \partial/\partial y^a)$, the induced Riemannian metric $\tilde{g}_{,a}$ on $i(V)$ is given

$$\tilde{g}_{,ab} = g_{ji} B_c^j B_b^i.$$

Choosing a unit normal vector N^h to the hypersurface $i(V)$ in such way that the vectors $B_1^h, B_2^h, \dots, B_{2n+1}^h, N^h$ form the positive orientation of M , then we have

$$g_{ji} B_b^j N^i = 0, \quad g_{ji} N^j N^i = 1.$$

The transform $f_i^h B_b^i$ of B_b^i by f_i^h and the transform $f_i^h N^i$ of N^i by f_i^h are respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_i^h B_b^i &= \phi_b^a B_a^h + w_b N^h, \\ f_i^h N^i &= -w^a B_a^h, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where ϕ_b^a is a tensor field of $(1, 1)$ -type, w_b is a 1-form in $i(V)$ and $w^a = w_b \tilde{g}^{ba}$. The vector fields u^h and v^h have the form, along $i(V)$

$$\begin{aligned} u^h &= B_a^h u^a + \alpha N^h, \\ v^h &= B_a^h v^a + \beta N^h, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where u^a, v^a are the vector fields of $i(V)$, and α, β are the functions of $i(V)$.

Now, applying f_h^k to both members of (8) and (9) respectively and using (9), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_b{}^c \phi_c{}^a &= -\delta_b^a + u_b u^a + v_b v^a + w_b w^a, \\
\phi_c{}^a u^c &= -\lambda v^a + \alpha w^a, \\
\phi_c{}^a v^c &= \lambda u^a + \beta w^a, \\
\phi_c{}^a w^c &= \alpha u^a + \beta v^a, \\
u_a u^a &= 1 - \alpha^2 - \lambda^2, \quad v_a v^a = 1 - \beta^2 - \lambda^2, \\
w_a w^a &= 1 - \alpha^2 - \beta^2, \\
u_a v^a &= -\alpha\beta, \quad w_a u^a = -\lambda\beta, \quad w_a v^a = \lambda\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

3. The hypersurface such that u, v are tangent to the hypersurface

We now assume that the vector fields u^h, v^h are tangent to the hypersurface $i(V)$, then we have

$$u^h = u^e B_e{}^h, \quad v^h = v^e B_e{}^h, \tag{11}$$

that is, we have $\alpha=0, \beta=0$ in (9).

Therefore, (10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_b{}^c \phi_c{}^a &= -\delta_b^a + u_b u^a + v_b v^a + w_b w^a, \\
\phi_e{}^a u^e &= -\lambda v^a, \quad \phi_e{}^a v^e = \lambda u^a, \\
\phi_e{}^a w^e &= 0, \\
u_a u^a &= v_a v^a = 1 - \lambda^2, \\
w_a w^a &= 1, \\
u_a v^a &= u_a w^a = v_a w^a = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

If we put

$$\psi_b{}^a = \phi_b{}^a + \frac{1}{1-\lambda} (u_b v^a - v_b u^a), \tag{13}$$

where $\lambda \neq 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_b{}^c \psi_c{}^a &= -\delta_b^a + w_b w^a, \\
\psi_b{}^a w^b &= 0, \quad \psi_b{}^a w_a = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Putting $\tilde{g}_{ba} \psi_c{}^a = \psi_{cb}$, we obtain

$$\psi_{cb} = -\psi_{bc}. \tag{15}$$

Thus, we have following, from (14) and (15),

THEOREM 3.1. *The hypersurface which is tangent to u, v of a manifold with (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure admits an almost contact metric structure (ψ, w, \tilde{g}) if $\lambda \neq 1$ in $i(V)$.*

In a manifold M with normal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure satisfying (4), we have (Yano and Okumura [5])

$$\nabla_j \nabla_i \lambda = -(1 + \tau^2) \lambda g_{ji},$$

where τ is a constant.

Transvecting the equation above with $B_b^j B_a^i$ and using the equation of Gauss:

$$\nabla_b B_a^i = h_{ba} N^i,$$

where h_{ba} is a second fundamental tensor, as $u_i N^i = 0$ in $i(V)$, we have

$$\nabla_b \nabla_a \lambda = -(1 + \tau^2) \lambda \tilde{g}_{ba} + h_{ba} N \cdot \nabla_j \lambda.$$

In a hypersurface $i(V)$ which is tangent to u^h and v^h , by virtue of (7), (11), $N^j \nabla_j \lambda$ vanishes.

Thus, λ being not identically zero, by Obata's Theorem [1], the complete hypersurface $i(V)$ is isometric with a sphere. Consequently, we have following

THEOREM 3.2. *A complete hypersurface which is tangent to u and v of a manifold M with normal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure satisfying (4) is isometric with a sphere, if the function $\lambda(1 - \lambda^2)$ is an almost everywhere non-zero function in M .*

4. Invariant hypersurface of a manifold with (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure

We assume that the hypersurface $i(V)$ is invariant, that is, the tangent hyperplane of $i(V)$ is invariant by linear transformation f_i^h . Then we have

$$f_i^h B_b^i = \phi_b^a B_a^h, \tag{16}$$

that is, we have in (8)

$$w_b = 0. \tag{17}$$

Hence, (10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_b^c \phi_c^a &= -\delta_b^a + u_b u^a + v_b v^a, \\ \alpha u^a + \beta v^a &= 0, \\ \phi_c^a u^c &= 0, \quad \phi_c^a v^c = 0, \\ \alpha^2 + \beta^2 &= 1, \\ u_a u^a &= 1 - \alpha^2, \quad v_a v^a = 1 + \beta^2, \quad u_a v^a = -\alpha\beta \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

because of $\lambda = 0$ on $i(V)$.

If we put

$$V_\alpha = \{p \in i(V) \mid \alpha(p) \neq 0\},$$

$$V_\beta = \{p \in i(V) \mid \beta(p) \neq 0\},$$

then V_α and V_β are open in $i(V)$ and $V_\alpha \cup V_\beta = i(V)$.

Putting $\eta_b^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{\alpha} v_b$ in V_α and $\eta_b^{(\beta)} = -\frac{1}{\beta} u_b$ in V_β , we have $\eta_b^{(\beta)} = \eta_b^{(\alpha)}$ in $V_\alpha \cap V_\beta$.

If we define a 1-form η by

$$\eta = \begin{cases} \eta_b^{(\alpha)} dy^b & \text{in } V_\alpha, \\ \eta_b^{(\beta)} dy^b & \text{in } V_\beta, \end{cases}$$

then η is well defined on $i(V)$ and we find

$$u_b = -\beta \eta_b, \quad v_b = \alpha \eta_b. \quad (19)$$

Now, in a manifold M with (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure, we assume that

$$N_{(1)i}{}^h = (\mathcal{L}_u f)_i{}^h + v^h \nabla_i \lambda = 0,$$

$$N_{(2)i}{}^h = (\mathcal{L}_v f)_i{}^h - u^h \nabla_i \lambda = 0, \quad (20)$$

that is

$$u^i \nabla_j f_i{}^h - f_i{}^j \nabla_j u^h + f_j{}^h \nabla_i u^j + v^h \nabla_i \lambda = 0,$$

$$v^i \nabla_j f_i{}^h - f_i{}^j \nabla_j v^h + f_j{}^h \nabla_i v^j - u^h \nabla_i \lambda = 0. \quad (21)$$

Then transvecting (21) with $B_a{}^i B_b$ we have, by virtue of (19) and (17),

$$(\nabla_b \phi_{ac}) u^b + \alpha N(\nabla_j f_i{}^h) B_a{}^i B_b{}^h - \phi_a{}^b \nabla_b u_c + \alpha \phi_a{}^b h_{bc} + \phi_{bc} (\nabla_a u^b) - \alpha \phi_{bc} h_a{}^b + v_c \nabla_a \lambda = 0,$$

$$(\nabla_b \phi_{ac}) v^b + \beta N(\nabla_j f_i{}^h) B_a{}^i B_b{}^h - \phi_a{}^b \nabla_b v_c + \beta \phi_a{}^b h_{bc} + \phi_{bc} (\nabla_a v^b) - \beta \phi_{bc} h_a{}^b - u_c \nabla_a \lambda = 0. \quad (22)$$

Subtracting the equation which is multiplied α to the second equation of (22) from the equation which is multiplied β to the first equation of (22), we have

$$(\nabla_b \phi_{ac}) (\beta u^b - \alpha v^b) - \phi_a{}^b (\beta \nabla_b u_c - \alpha \nabla_b v_c) + \phi_{bc} (\beta \nabla_a u^b - \alpha \nabla_a v^b) + (\beta v_c + \alpha u_c) \nabla_a \lambda = 0. \quad (23)$$

Substituting (19) into (23), we have

$$(\nabla_b \phi_{ac}) \eta^b + \phi_{bc} \nabla_a \eta^b - \phi_a{}^b \nabla_b \eta_c = 0,$$

because of $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$ and $\alpha \nabla_a \alpha + \beta \nabla_a \beta = 0$,

from which, we have

$$(\nabla_b \phi_a{}^c) \eta^b + \phi_b{}^c \nabla_a \eta^b - \phi_a{}^b \nabla_b \eta^c = 0$$

or

$$N_a{}^c = (\mathcal{L}_\lambda \phi)_a{}^c = 0.$$

On the other hand, we know (Yano and Okumura [6]) that an invariant hypersurface $i(V)$ of M with (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure admits an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, η, \tilde{g}) .

Thus, we conclude that the hypersurface $i(V)$ admits a K -contact metric structure (Sasaki and Hatakeyama [2]). Consequently, we have following

THEOREM 4.1. *Let M be a manifold with (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure satisfying $N_{(x)i}^h = 0$. Then the invariant hypersurface $i(V)$ of M admits a K -contact metric structure.*

References

- (1) M. Obata, Certain conditions for Riemannian manifold to be isometric with a sphere, J. Math. Soc. Japan **14**(1962), 333-340.
- (2) S. Sasaki and Y. Hatakeyama, On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure II, Tôhoku Math. J. **13**(1961), 281-294.
- (3) H. Suzuki, Notes on (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure, to appear.
- (4) K. Yano and U-Hang Ki, On quasi-normal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures, Kôdai Math. Sem. Rep. **24**(1972), 106-120.
- (5) K. Yano and M. Okumura, On (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures, Kôdai Math. Sem. Rep. **22**(1970), 401-423.
- (6) K. Yano and M. Okumura, Invariant hypersurfaces of a manifold with (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure, Kôdai Math. Sem. Rep. **23**(1971), 290-304.

(Received May 17, 1973.)