Visualization of wounding-induced root-to-shoot communication in Arabidopsis

メタデータ	言語: eng
	出版者:
	公開日: 2017-10-05
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者:
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	https://doi.org/10.24517/00028407
	This work is licensed under a Creative Commons

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 International License.



1 Visualization of Wounding-induced Root-to-Shoot Communication in

2 Arabidopsis.

Yusuke Sogabe¹, Haruna Nakamura², Tomoyuki Nakagawa¹, Satoko Hasegawa¹,
Tomoya Asano¹, Hiroyuki Ohta³, Kazuo Yamaguchi^{1, 4}, Martin J. Mueller⁵, Hiroaki
Kodama⁶ & Takumi Nishiuchi^{1, 4, *}

Institution Addresses: ¹Division of Life Science, Graduate School of Natural Science 6 and Technology, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan; ²Graduate 7 School of Science and Technology, Chiba University, 1-33 Yayoi, Inage Chiba 8 263-8522, Japan; ³ Tokyo Institute of Technology, Center for Biological Resources 9 and Informatics, 4259-B65, Nagatsuta-cho, Midori-Ku, Yokohama 226-8501, Japan; ⁴ 10 11 Division of Functional Genomics, Advanced Science Research Center, Kanazawa 12University, Takaramachi, 13-1 Kanazawa 920-0934, Japan; Julius-von-Sachs-Institute 13for Biosciences, Pharmaceutical Biology, Julius-von-Sachs-Platz 2, D-97082 Würzburg, Germany; ⁶Graduate School of 14Horticulture, Chiba University, 648 Matsudo, Chiba 271-8510, Japan 15

16

1 * Correspondence to:

2 Takumi Nishiuchi; E mail: tnish9@kenroku.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

3

Key words: wounding, root-to-shoot, inter-organ communication, long distance
signaling, oxylipin, microarray

Addendum to: Hasegawa S, Sogabe Y, Asano T, Nakagawa T, Nakamura H,
Kodama H, Ohta H, Yamaguchi K, Mueller MJ, Nishiuchi T. Gene expression
analysis of wounding-induced root-to-shoot communication in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell Environ. in press.

It is known that wounding systemically activates the expression of various 1 $\mathbf{2}$ defense-related genes in plants. However, most studies of wound-induced 3 systemic response are concerned with a leaf-to-leaf response. We have 4 recently reported that the long distance signaling was also observed in the shoots of Arabidopsis seedling with wounded roots. We identified early $\mathbf{5}$ 6 and late root-to-shoot responsive (RtS) genes that were up-regulated in the 7 shoots of root-wounded seedlings at 30 min and 6 h post-injury, 8 respectively. It is likely that the primary signals were rapidly transfered 9 from injured roots to shoots, and then these signals were converted into 10 chemical signals. In fact, increase of JA and OPDA content activated the 11 expression of early and late RtS genes in shoots, respectively. In addition, 12we visualized wound-induced root-to-shoot response by using RtS 13 promoter-luciferase (Luc) transgenic plants. Analysis of the AtERF13 14promoter::Luc transgenic plants clearly shows that the wound-induced root-to-shoot signaling was rapidly activated via the vascular systems. 15

16

17

18

1 In higher plants, "Root-to-Shoot" signaling plays an important role in their $\mathbf{2}$ adaptation in response to various environmental stresses such as dehydration, flooding, larvae attack, and pathogen infection.^{1, 2, 3, 4} However, little attention 3 4 have been paid to root-to-shoot systemic gene expression in response to stresses.⁵ To our knowledge, there have been no report of mechanical $\mathbf{5}$ 6 wounding-induced root-to-shoot systemic gene expression. In previous study, we 7 found that the systemic induction of tobacco ethylene-responsive transcription factor (ERF) genes in shoots of seedlings with wounded roots (unpublished 8 9 results). Therefore, we tried to establish a model system to monitor the gene expression during wound-induced root-to-shoot communication in Arabidopsis 10 seedlings.⁶ Then, we have performed the transcriptome analysis of 11 wound-induced root-to-shoot response in Arabidopsis.⁶ When the roots of 1213Arabidopsis seedlings were wounded, the expression in the shoots of many genes was up-regulated more than 3-fold at 30 min and 6 h post-injury⁶. 14

15

JA and OPDA regulate the wound-induced <u>root-to-shoot</u> response of *RtS*gene.

18 Early RtS genes encode the transcription factors, jasmonic acid (JA)

biosynthetic enzymes, mediators of calcium signaling, and so on.⁶ It was 1 reported that many of these genes were also rapidly induced by JA application.⁷ $\mathbf{2}$ Root-to-shoot response of all early RtS genes examined was significantly 3 decreased in the JA-deficient mutant. In addition, ethylene (ET)-related genes 4 such as 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) synthase 6 (ACS6) were $\mathbf{5}$ also induced in the shoots with wounded roots.^{6,8} In fact, root-to-shoot response 6 7 of some early RtS genes examined in the ET-insensitive ein3 mutant was apparently low compared with wild type plants.⁶ On the other hand, late RtS 8 genes contain two genes encoding vegetative storage proteins (VSP1 and 9 VSP2), which are OPDA-inducible genes.⁷ Correspondingly, JA and OPDA 10 11 content were apparently increased in shoots of seedlings 30 min and 360 min, 12respectively (Fig. 1). Therefore, it is likely that the JA and OPDA signaling pathway is involved in the root-to-shoot systemic response of RtS gene 13expression. In, the ET signaling is at least partially involved in the regulation of 14early RtS gene expression. 15

Expression of most *RtS* genes examined was not induced in the injured roots⁶. Correspondingly, JA and OPDA content in roots were neither increased after 30 min or 6 h of root wounding.⁶ This data implied that neither JA nor OPDA

1	directly moved from injured roots to shoots. It is likely that physical signals such
2	as hydraulic signals were rapidly transfer from injured roots to shoots via
3	vascular systems, and then these signals were converted into chemical signals
4	such JA in shoots.9, 10 Next, we tried to monitor the spatial expression pattern of
5	RtS genes during wound-induced root-to-shoot response. For this purpose, we
6	introduced some <i>RtS</i> promoter::firefly Luc fusion genes into Arabidopsis plants.
7	
8	Wound-induced Root-to-Soot communication occurred via vascular
9	systems.
10	As stated above, early RtS genes contained three ERF genes (AtERF1, AtERF2,
11	and AtERF#109) in the B3 group of AP2/ERF superfamily. ¹¹ Root-to-shoot
12	response of the AtERF13 gene, which also belonged to the B3 group, was
13	statistically insignificant by microarray analysis, but its increase in expression
14	was confirmed by qPCR analysis. ⁶ As shown in Figure 2A, the observed 5.1 -fold
15	increases of AtERF13 promoter activities in shoots of seedlings with wounded
16	roots is quite consistent with the increase in expression of endogenous AtERF13
17	mRNA by qRT-PCR (6.1-fold increase). ⁶ Results suggest that the root-to-shoot
18	response of the AtERF13 gene is mainly regulated at the transcriptional level.

Therefore, *AtERF13* promoter::*Luc* transgenic plants were subjected to further
 analysis.

As shown in Figure 2B, when roots of the AtERF13 promoter::Luc 3 transgenic plants were wounded, the promoter activity was found to be 4 increased in vascular systems of whole shoots within 30 min. In contrast, such a $\mathbf{5}$ 6 systemic activation was not observed in mock-treated plants. This result 7 suggests that primary signals generated in the roots are rapidly transmitted to shoot via vascular systems, and converted into chemical signals such as JA, 8 9 ultimately leading to the transcriptional activation of AtERF13 gene. As stated above, the promoter activity was not increased in injured roots. Thus, roots are 10 11 capable of sensing environmental conditions in the subterranean area and 12generating signals that propagate signals to shoots through vascular systems. 13Root-to-shoot signaling communication plays an important role in environmental stress response especially in defense response.^{3, 12} 14

15

16

1 110101010000	1	References
----------------	---	------------

 $\mathbf{2}$ 1. Bailey-Serres J, Voesenek LA. Flooding stress: acclimations and genetic 3 diversity. Annu Rev of Plant Biol 2008; 59:313-9. 4 2. Erb M, Ton J, Degenhardt J, Turlings TC. Interactions between $\mathbf{5}$ 6 arthropod-induced aboveground and belowground defenses in plants. Plant Physiol 2008; 146: 867-74. 78 3. Bakker PA, Pieterse CM, van Loon LC. Induced systemic resistance by 9 10 fluorescent Pseudomonas spp. Phytopathol 2007; 97: 239-43. 11 124. Schachtman DP, Goodger JQ Chemical root to shoot signaling under drought. Trends in Plant Sci 2008; 13: 281-7. 13145. Erb M, Flors V, Karlen D, de Lange E, Planchamp C, D'Alessandro M, 1516Turlings TC, Ton J. Signal signature of aboveground-induced resistance upon belowground herbivory in maize. The Plant J 2009; 59: 292–302. 17

1	6. Hasegawa S, Sogabe Y, Asano T, Nakagawa T, Nakamura H, Kodama H,
2	Ohta H, Yamaguchi K, Mueller MJ, Nishiuchi T. Gene expression analysis of
3	wounding-induced root-to-shoot communication in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant
4	Cell Environ. in press.
5	
6	7. Taki N, Sasaki-Sekimoto Y, Obayashi T, Kikuta A, Kobayashi K, Ainai T, Yagi
7	K, Sakurai N, Suzuki H, Masuda T, Takamiya K, Shibata D, Kobayashi Y, Ohta
8	H. 12-Oxo-phytodienoic acid triggers expression of a distinct set of genes and
9	plays a role in wound-induced gene expression in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol
10	2005; 139: 1268-83.
11	
12	8. Lin Z, Zhong S, Grierson D. Recent advances in ethylene research. J Exp
13	Bot. 2009; 60: 3311-36.
14	
15	9. Hlavácková V, Naus J. Chemical signal as a rapid longdistance information
16	messenger after local wounding of a plant? Plant Signal & Behavior 2007; 2:
17	103–5.

18

1	10. Hlavác [*] ková V, Krchnák P, Naus J, Novák O, Spundová M, Strnad M.
2	Electrical and chemical signals involved in short-term systemic photosynthetic
3	responses of tobacco plants to local burning. Planta 2006; 225: 235–44.
4	
5	11. Sakuma Y, Liu Q, Dubouzet JG, Abe H, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki
6	K. DNA-binding specificity of the ERF/AP2 domain of Arabidopsis DREBs,
7	transcription factors involved in dehydration- and cold-inducible gene
8	expression. Biochem Biophys Res Comm 2002; 290: 998-1009.
9	
10	12. Verhagen BW, Glazebrook J, Zhu T, Chang HS, van Loon LC, Pieterse CM.
11	The transcriptome of rhizobacteriainduced systemic resistance in Arabidopsis.
12	Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 2004; 17: 895–908.



Wounding-Induced Root-to-Shoot Communication



Figure 2

Α

В

1 Figure Legends

2 Figure 1. JA and OPDA were involved in the regulation of *RtS* gene expression during wound-induced root-to-shoot communication. 3 4 When root of seedlings were wounded with a razor blade, primary signals were generated in the wounded roots, and then rapidly transferred to the shoots. In $\mathbf{5}$ shoots of seedlings with wounded roots, primary signals were converted to 6 7 chemical signals, JA and OPDA. Increase of JA and OPDA content activate the expression of RtS genes in the shoots after 30min and 360 min of 8 9 root-wounding, respectively.

10

11 Figure 2. Visualization of wounding-induced root-to-shoot communication of 12AtERF13 expression. (A) Quantitative analysis of luciferase activity of the 13AtERF13 promoter::Luc plants in shoots of seedlings with wounded roots and wounded leaves (local response). Proteins were extracted from each sample, 1415and the luciferase activity was quantified. Fold changes are relative to those of 16untreated control samples. Each bar shows mean of three independent samples. 17Error bars represent the standard deviation (n = 3). Similar results were obtained 18 from two independent *AtERF13* promoter::*Luc* transgenic lines (#8 and #10). (B) Visualization of wounding-induced root-to-shoot communication of *AtERF13* expression. Two-week-old *AtERF13* promoter::*Luc* #8 seedlings were sprayed with luciferin (Sigma-Aldrich). After 30 min of spraying, roots of seedlings were wounded. Luminescence from seedlings was continuously captured by a charge couple device (CCD) camera. In the right panel, luminescence is shown in the seedling at 0, 30 and 120 min after wounding of the roots. In the left panel, luminescence is shown in a mock-treated seedling.