

The transcription factor SALL4 regulates stemness of EpCAM-positive hepatocellular carcinoma

Sha Sha Zeng¹, Taro Yamashita^{1,2,*}, Mitsumasa Kondo¹, Kouki Nio¹, Takehiro Hayashi¹, Yasumasa Hara¹, Yoshimoto Nomura¹, Mariko Yoshida¹, Tomoyuki Hayashi¹, Naoki Oishi¹, Hiroko Ikeda³, Masao Honda¹, Shuichi Kaneko¹

¹Department of Gastroenterology, Kanazawa University Hospital, Kanazawa, Ishikawa, Japan; ²Department of General Medicine, Kanazawa University Hospital, Kanazawa, Ishikawa, Japan; ³Department of Pathology, Kanazawa University Hospital, Kanazawa, Ishikawa, Japan

Background & Aims: Recent evidence suggests that hepatocellular carcinoma can be classified into certain molecular subtypes with distinct prognoses based on the stem/maturation status of the tumor. We investigated the transcription program deregulated in hepatocellular carcinomas with stem cell features.

Methods: Gene and protein expression profiles were obtained from 238 (analyzed by microarray), 144 (analyzed by immunohistochemistry), and 61 (analyzed by qRT-PCR) hepatocellular carcinoma cases. Activation/suppression of an identified transcription factor was used to evaluate its role in cell lines. The relationship of the transcription factor and prognosis was statistically examined.

Results: The transcription factor SALL4, known to regulate stemness in embryonic and hematopoietic stem cells, was found to be activated in a hepatocellular carcinoma subtype with stem cell features. SALL4-positive hepatocellular carcinoma patients were associated with high values of serum alpha fetoprotein, high frequency of hepatitis B virus infection, and poor prognosis after surgery compared with SALL4-negative patients. Activation of SALL4 enhanced spheroid formation and invasion capacities, key characteristics of cancer stem cells, and up-regulated the hepatic stem cell markers *KRT19*, *EPCAM*, and *CD44* in cell lines. Knockdown of SALL4 resulted in the down-regulation of these stem cell markers, together with attenuation of the invasion capacity. The SALL4 expression status was associated with

histone deacetylase activity in cell lines, and the histone deacetylase inhibitor successfully suppressed proliferation of SALL4-positive hepatocellular carcinoma cells.

Conclusions: SALL4 is a valuable biomarker and therapeutic target for the diagnosis and treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma with stem cell features.

© 2013 European Association for the Study of the Liver. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Cancer is a heterogeneous disease in terms of morphology and clinical behavior. This heterogeneity has traditionally been explained by the clonal evolution of cancer cells and the accumulation of serial stochastic genetic/epigenetic changes [1]. The alteration of the microenvironment by tumor stromal cells is also considered to contribute to the development of the heterogeneous nature of the tumor through the activation of various signaling pathways in cancer cells, including epithelial mesenchymal transition programs [2].

Recent evidence suggests that a subset of tumor cells with stem cell features, known as cancer stem cells (CSCs), are capable of self-renewal and can give rise to relatively differentiated cells, thereby forming heterogeneous tumor cell populations [3]. CSCs were also found to generate tumors more efficiently in immunodeficient mice than non-cancer stem cells in various solid tumors as well as hematological malignancies [4]. CSCs are also more metastatic and chemo/radiation-resistant than non-CSCs and are therefore considered to be a pivotal target for tumor eradication [5,6].

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a leading cause of cancer death worldwide [7]. Recently, we proposed a novel HCC classification system based on the expression status of the hepatic stem/progenitor markers epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) and alpha fetoprotein (AFP) [8]. EpCAM-positive (+) AFP⁺ HCC (hepatic stem cell-like HCC; HpSC-HCC) is characterized by an onset of disease at younger ages, activation of Wnt/ β -catenin signaling, a high frequency of portal vein invasion and poor

Keywords: Cancer stem cell; Hepatocellular carcinoma; Gene expression profile; Chemosensitivity.

Received 15 March 2013; received in revised form 27 August 2013; accepted 28 August 2013

* Corresponding author. Address: Department of General Medicine/Gastroenterology, Kanazawa University Hospital, 13-1 Takara-Machi, Kanazawa, Ishikawa 920-8641, Japan. Tel.: +81 76 265 2042; fax: +81 76 234 4281.

E-mail address: taroy@m-kanazawa.jp (T. Yamashita).

Abbreviations: CSC, cancer stem cell; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; EpCAM, epithelial cell adhesion molecule; AFP, alpha fetoprotein; HpSC-HCC, hepatic stem cell-like HCC; MH-HCC, mature hepatocyte-like HCC; SALL4, Sal-like 4 (*Drosophila*); qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction; HDAC, histone deacetylase; SAHA, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid; SBHA, suberic bis-hydroxamic acid; NuRD, nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase.



ELSEVIER

Journal of Hepatology 2013 vol. xxx | xxx-xxx

Research Article

prognosis after radical resection, compared with EpCAM⁻ AFP⁻ HCC (mature hepatocyte-like HCC; MH-HCC) [9]. *EPCAM* is a target gene of Wnt/ β -catenin signaling, and EpCAM⁺ HCC cells isolated from primary HCC and cell lines show CSC features including tumorigenicity, invasiveness, and resistance to fluorouracil [9,10]. Thus, EpCAM appears to be a potentially useful marker for the isolation of liver CSCs in HpSC-HCC. However, key transcriptional programs responsible for the maintenance of EpCAM⁺ CSCs are still unclear.

In this study, we aimed to clarify the transcriptional programs deregulated in HpSC-HCC using a gene expression profiling approach. We found that the *SALL4* gene encoding Sal-like 4 (*Drosophila*) (*SALL4*), a zinc finger transcriptional activator and vertebrate orthologue of the *Drosophila* gene *spalt (sal)* [11], was up-regulated in HpSC-HCC. In adults, *SALL4* is known to be expressed in hematopoietic stem cells and their malignancies, but its role in HCC has not yet been fully elucidated [12–14]. We therefore investigated the role of *SALL4* in the regulation and maintenance of EpCAM⁺ HCC.

Materials and methods

Clinical HCC specimens

A total of 144 HCC tissues and adjacent non-cancerous liver tissues were obtained from patients who underwent hepatectomy for HCC treatment from 2002 to 2010 at Kanazawa University Hospital, Kanazawa, Japan. These samples were formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded, and used for immunohistochemistry (IHC). A further 61 HCC samples were obtained from patients who underwent hepatectomy from 2008 to 2011; these were freshly snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and used for RNA analysis. Of these 61 HCCs, 8 and 36 cases were defined as HpSC-HCC and MH-HCC, respectively, according to previously described criteria [8].

27 HCC cases were included in both the IHC cohort (n = 144) and quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) cohort (n = 61), and *SALL4* gene and protein expression were compared between these cases. An additional fresh HpSC-HCC sample was obtained from a surgically resected specimen and immediately used for preparation of a single-cell suspension. All experimental and tissue acquisition procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee and the Institutional Review Board of Kanazawa University Hospital. All patients provided written informed consent.

Microarray analysis

Detailed information on microarray analysis is available in the [Supplementary Materials and methods](#).

Cell culture and reagents

Human liver cancer cell lines HuH1, HuH7, HLE, and HLF were obtained from the Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources (JCRB), and Hep3B and SK-Hep-1 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Single-cell suspensions of primary HCC tissue were prepared as described previously [15]. Detailed information is available in the [Supplementary Materials and methods](#). The histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor suberic bis-hydroxamic acid (SBHA) and suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA) were obtained from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI). Plasmid constructs pCMV6-SALL4 (encoding *SALL4A*), pCMV6-SALL4-GFP, and 29mer shRNA constructs against human *SALL4* (No. 7412) were obtained from OriGene Technologies, Inc. (Rockville, MD). These constructs were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Western blotting

Whole cell lysates were prepared using RIPA lysis buffer. Nuclear and cytoplasmic proteins were extracted using NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents (Pierce Biotechnology Inc., Rockford, IL). Mouse monoclonal antibody

to human *Sall4* clone 6E3 (Abnova, Walnut, CA), rabbit polyclonal antibodies to human Lamin B1 (Cell Signaling Technology Inc., Danvers, MA), and mouse monoclonal anti- β -actin antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) were used. Immune complexes were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham Biosciences Corp., Piscataway, NJ) as described previously [15,16].

Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Detailed information on qRT-PCR is available in the [Supplementary Materials and methods](#).

IHC and immunofluorescence (IF) analyses

IHC was performed using an Envision+ kit (Dako, Carpinteria, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Anti-SALL4 monoclonal antibody 6E3 (Abnova, Walnut, CA), anti-EpCAM monoclonal antibody VU-1D9 (Oncogene Research Products, San Diego, CA), and anti-CK19 monoclonal antibody RCK108 (Dako Japan, Tokyo, Japan) were used for detecting SALL4, EpCAM, and CK19, respectively. Anti-Sall4 rabbit polyclonal antibodies (ab29112) (Abnova) and vector red (Vector Laboratories Inc., Burlingame, CA) were used for double color IHC analysis. Samples with >5% positive staining in a given area were considered to be positive for a particular antibody. For IF analyses, Alexa 488 fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG) (Life Technologies) was used as a secondary antibody.

Cell proliferation, spheroid formation, invasion, and HDAC activity assay

Detailed information on this topic is available in the [Supplementary Materials and methods](#).

Statistical analyses

Student's *t* tests were performed with GraphPad Prism software 5.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) to compare various test groups assayed by cell proliferation assays and qRT-PCR analysis. Spearman's correlation analysis and Kaplan-Meier survival analysis were also performed with GraphPad Prism software 5.0 (GraphPad Software).

Results

Activation of *SALL4* in HpSC-HCC

To elucidate the transcriptional programs deregulated in HpSC-HCC, we performed class-comparison analyses and identified 793 genes showing significant differences in differential expression between HpSC-HCC (n = 60) and MH-HCC (n = 96) ($p < 0.001$), as previously described [9]. Of them, 455 genes were specifically up-regulated in HpSC-HCC, and we performed transcription factor analysis using this gene set to identify their transcriptional regulators by MetaCore software. We identified four transcription factor genes, *SALL4*, *NFYA*, *TP53*, and *SP1*, that were potentially activated in HpSC-HCC (Fig. 1A). Involvement of *TP53* and *SP1* in the stemness of HCC has previously been described [17,18], but the roles of *SALL4* and *NFYA* were unclear.

We investigated the interaction networks affected by *SALL4* and *NFYA* using the MetaCore dataset. We showed that *SALL4* might be a regulator of Akt signaling (*SP1*), Wnt signaling (*TCF7L2*), and epigenetic modification (*JARID2*, *DMRT1*, *DNMT3B*) [19], and could potentially regulate two other transcriptional regulators, *SP1* and *NFYA*, through Akt and Myb signaling pathways (Fig. 1B). As a recent study indicated that *SALL4* is a direct target of the Wnt signaling pathway [20], which is dominantly activated in HpSC-HCC [9], we focused on the expression of *SALL4* in HpSC-HCC, and confirmed its up-regulation in HpSC-HCC compared




Fig. 1. Transcription factors potentially activated in HpSC-HCC. (A) Transcription factor analysis. Transcription factors regulating genes up-regulated in HpSC-HCC are listed with their *p* values and z-scores as calculated by MetaCore software. (B) Interaction network analysis. Seven genes (*ABL1*, *DMRT1*, *DNMT3B*, *JARID2*, *NFYA*, *SP1*, and *TCF7L2*, indicated in orange) shown to be up-regulated in HpSC-HCC were identified as potential target genes regulated by *SALL4* (indicated in red). (C) *SALL4* gene expression evaluated by microarray analysis. Tumor/non-tumor (T/N) ratios of microarray data in HpSC-HCC (*n* = 60) and MH-HCC (*n* = 96). (D) *SALL4* gene expression evaluated by qRT-PCR. Gene expression levels of *SALL4* in HpSC-HCC (*n* = 8) and MH-HCC (*n* = 36) samples. (E) Scatter plot analysis. Gene expression levels of *EPCAM* (upper panel) and *AFP* (lower panel) were positively correlated with those of *SALL4* in microarray data (*n* = 238, T/N ratios), as shown by Spearman's correlation coefficients. (F) Scatter plot analysis. Gene expression levels of *EPCAM* (upper panel) and *AFP* (lower panel) were positively correlated with those of *SALL4* in qRT-PCR data (*n* = 61), as shown by Spearman's correlation coefficients.

with MH-HCC as evaluated by microarray data (Fig. 1C). We validated this using an independent HCC cohort evaluated by qRT-PCR (Fig. 1D). We further examined the expression of *SALL4*, *EPCAM*, and *AFP* using microarray data of 238 HCC cases (Fig. 1E) and qRT-PCR data of 61 HCC cases (Fig. 1F). For the tumor/non-tumor ratios, we identified a weak positive correlation between *SALL4* and *EPCAM* ($r = 0.31$, $p < 0.0001$) and between *SALL4* and *AFP* ($r = 0.31$, $p = 0.0003$) in the microarray cohort. We further evaluated expression of these genes in HCC tissues by qRT-PCR, and we validated the strong positive correlation between *SALL4* and *EPCAM* ($r = 0.70$, $p < 0.0001$) and between *SALL4* and *AFP* ($r = 0.66$, $p < 0.0001$) in the independent cohort.

Next we performed IHC analysis of 144 HCC cases surgically resected at Kanazawa University Hospital. We first confirmed the nuclear accumulation of *SALL4* stained by an anti-human *SALL4* antibody (Fig. 2A). We further confirmed the concordance of *SALL4* protein expression evaluated by IHC, and *SALL4* gene expression evaluated by qRT-PCR using the same samples (Fig. 2B). We detected the nuclear expression of *SALL4* in 43 of 144 HCC cases (Table 1). After evaluating the clinicopathological characteristics of *SALL4*-positive and -negative HCC cases, we identified that *SALL4*-positive HCCs were associated with a significantly high frequency of hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection and significantly high serum AFP values. We further identified that

Research Article




Fig. 2. SALL4 expression in human primary HCCs and cell lines. (A) Representative images of SALL4-positive and -negative HCC immunostaining (scale bar, 100 μ m). (B) Gene expression of SALL4 in SALL4-positive (n = 13) and -negative HCCs (n = 14) as shown by IHC (mean \pm SD). (C) Double color IHC analysis of HCC stained with anti-SALL4 and anti-EpCAM or anti-CK19 antibodies (scale bar, 100 μ m). (D) Kaplan-Meier survival analysis with Log-rank. Recurrence-free survival of SALL4-positive (n = 43) and -negative (n = 101) HCCs was analyzed. (E) SALL4 expression in EpCAM⁺ (Hep3B, HuH7, and HuH1) and EpCAM⁻ (SK-Hep-1, HLE, and HLF) HCC cell lines evaluated by qRT-PCR. (F) SALL4 expression in EpCAM⁺ and EpCAM⁻ HCC cell lines evaluated by Western blotting. (G) IHC analysis of SALL4 expression in subcutaneous tumors obtained from EpCAM⁺ (HuH7 and Hep3B) HCC cell lines xenografted in NOD/SCID mice. (H) Spheroid formation capacity of sorted EpCAM⁺ and EpCAM⁻ cells obtained from a primary HCC. Number of spheroids obtained from 2000 sorted cells is indicated (n = 3, mean \pm SD). Gene expression of SALL4 in sorted EpCAM⁺ and EpCAM⁻ cells obtained from a primary HCC (n = 3, mean \pm SD).

SALL4-positive HCCs were associated with expression of the hepatic stem cell markers EpCAM and CK19. Co-expression of SALL4, EpCAM, and CK19 was confirmed by double color IHC analysis (Fig. 2C). Evaluation of the survival outcome of these surgically resected HCC cases by Kaplan-Meier survival analysis indicated that SALL4-positive HCCs were associated with significantly lower recurrence-free survival outcomes within one year compared with SALL4-negative HCCs ($p = 0.0049$) (Fig. 2D).

Because SALL4 expression was positively correlated with EpCAM and AFP expression in primary HCC cases, we evaluated the expression of SALL4 in EpCAM⁺ AFP⁺ and EpCAM⁻ AFP⁻ HCC cell lines. Consistent with the primary HCC data, two of three EpCAM⁺ AFP⁺ HCC cell lines (Hep3B and HuH7) abundantly expressed SALL4, as shown by qRT-PCR (Fig. 2E) and Western blotting (Fig. 2F). We identified the expression of two isoforms of SALL4 proteins with molecular weights of 165 kDa (SALL4A)

and 115 kDa (SALL4B), and SALL4B was found to be the dominant endogenous isoform in HCC cell lines. All EpCAM⁻ AFP⁻ HCC cell lines (SK-Hep-1, HLE, and HLF) and one EpCAM⁺ AFP⁺ cell line (HuH1) did not express SALL4. Nuclear accumulation of SALL4 in Hep3B and HuH7 cells was confirmed by IHC using subcutaneous tumors developed in xenotransplanted NOD/SCID mice (Fig. 2G). We further evaluated the expression of EPCAM and SALL4 using single cell suspensions derived from a surgically resected primary HCC. EpCAM⁺ and EpCAM⁻ cells were separated by magnetic beads, and we revealed a strong spheroid formation capacity of sorted EpCAM⁺ cells compared with EpCAM⁻ cells (Fig. 2H, left panel). Interestingly, when comparing the expression of SALL4 in these sorted cells, we identified a high expression of SALL4 in sorted EpCAM⁺ cells compared with EpCAM⁻ cells (Fig. 2H, right panel), indicating that SALL4 is activated in EpCAM⁺ liver CSCs.

Table 1. Clinicopathological characteristics of SALL4-positive and -negative HCC cases used for IHC analyses.

Parameters	SALL4-positive (n = 43)	SALL4-negative (n = 101)	p value*
Age (yr, mean \pm SE)	60.8 \pm 1.8	64.6 \pm 1.0	0.13
Sex (male/female)	27/16	70/18	0.06
Etiology (HBV/HCV/B + C/other)	21/14/0/8	20/63/3/15	0.0014
Liver cirrhosis (yes/no)	21/22	61/40	0.27
AFP (ng/ml, mean \pm SE)	13,701 \pm 9292	175.5 \pm 55.0	<0.0001
Histological grade**			
I-II	3	18	
II-III	33	68	
III-IV	7	15	0.24
Tumor size (<3 cm/>3 cm)	17/26	57/44	0.071
EpCAM (positive/negative)	27/16	29/72	0.0002
CK19 (positive/negative)	12/31	12/89	0.027

*Mann-Whitney U-test or χ^2 test.

**Edmondson-Steiner.

SALL4 regulates stemness of HpSC-HCC

To explore the role of SALL4 in HpSC-HCC, we evaluated the effect of its overexpression in HuH1 cells which showed little expression of SALL4 irrespective of EpCAM⁺ and AFP⁺ HpSC-HCC phenotype. We transfected plasmid constructs encoding SALL4 (pCMV6-SALL4) or control (pCMV7), and we similarly identified the expression of two isoforms by using this construct (Fig. 3A). Evaluation of the subcellular localization of GFP-tagged SALL4 (pCMV6-SALL4-GFP) showed that it could be detected in both the cytoplasm and nucleus (Fig. 3B). We observed strong up-regulation of the hepatic stem cell marker *KRT19*, modest up-regulation of *EPCAM* and *CD44*, and down-regulation of the mature hepatocyte marker *ALB* in HuH1 cells transfected with pCMV6-SALL4 compared with the control (Fig. 3C). Up-regulation of CK19 by SALL4 overexpression was also confirmed at the protein level by IF analysis (Fig. 3D). Phenotypically, SALL4 overexpression in HuH1 cells resulted in the significant activation of spheroid formation and invasion capacities with activation of *SNAIL1*, which induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition, compared with the control (Fig. 3E and F, Supplementary Fig. 1A).

We further investigated the effect of SALL4 knockdown in HuH7 cells, which intrinsically expressed high levels of SALL4. Expression of *SALL4* was decreased to 50% in HuH7 cells transfected with SALL4 sh-RNA compared with the control when evaluated by qRT-PCR (Fig. 4A). However, the reduction of SALL4 protein was more evident when evaluated by Western blotting, suggesting that this sh-RNA construct might work at the translational as well as the transcriptional level (Fig. 4B). Knock down of *SALL4* resulted in a compromised invasion capacity and spheroid formation capacity with decreased expression of *EPCAM* and *CD44* in HuH7 cells (Fig. 4C and D, Supplementary Fig. 1B and C).

SALL4 and HDAC activity in HpSC-HCC

The above data suggested that SALL4 is a good target and biomarker for the diagnosis and treatment of HpSC-HCCs. However, it is difficult to directly target SALL4 as no studies have investigated the inhibition of its transcription using chemical or other approaches [21]. We therefore re-investigated the interaction networks associated with SALL4, and found that SALL4 activation

appeared to induce epigenetic modification (Fig. 1B). In particular, a recent study suggested that SALL4 forms a nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase (NuRD) complex with HDACs and potentially regulates HDAC activity [22]. We therefore confirmed that SALL4 knock down resulted in the reduced activity of total HDAC in HuH7 cells (Fig. 4E). We also evaluated the effect of the overexpression of SALL4 in HuH1 and HLE cells, which do not express SALL4 endogenously, and SALL4 overexpression was found to result in a modest increase of HDAC activity and mild enhancement of chemosensitivity to an HDAC inhibitor SBHA in both cell lines (Supplementary Fig. 2A and B). We further investigated HDAC activity in two SALL4-positive (Hep3B, HuH7) and two SALL4-negative (HLE, HLF) HCC cell lines. Interestingly, high HDAC activities were detected in SALL4-positive compared with SALL4-negative HCC cell lines (Fig. 4F). The HDAC inhibitor SBHA was found to inhibit proliferation of SALL4-positive HCC cell lines at a concentration of 10 μ M. By contrast, SBHA had little effect on the proliferation of SALL4-negative HCC cell lines at this concentration (Fig. 4G). SBHA treatment suppressed the expression of SALL4 gene/protein expression in SALL4-positive HuH7 and Hep3B cell lines (Supplementary Fig. 3A and B). We further investigated the effect of SAHA, an additional HDAC inhibitor, in these HCC cell lines, and SAHA was found to more efficiently suppress the cell proliferation of SALL4-positive cell lines compared with SALL4-negative cell lines (Supplementary Fig. 3C).

Taken together, our data suggest a pivotal role for the transcription factor SALL4 in regulating the stemness of HpSC-HCC. SALL4 was detected in HpSC-HCCs with poor prognosis, and inactivation of SALL4 resulted in a reduced invasion/spheroid formation capacity and decreased expression of hepatic stem cell markers. The HDAC inhibitors inhibited proliferation of SALL4-positive HCC cell lines with a reduction of SALL4 gene/protein expression, suggesting their potential in the treatment of SALL4-positive HpSC-HCC.

Discussion

Stemness traits in cancer cells are currently of great interest because they may explain the clinical outcome of patients according to the malignant nature of their tumor. Recently, we

Research Article




Fig. 3. Effect of SALL4 overexpression. (A) Western blots of cell lysates with anti-SALL4 antibodies. HuH1 cells were transfected with pCMV7 or pCMV6-SALL4 and incubated for 72 h. (B) IF analysis of HuH1 cells transfected with pCMV7 or pCMV6-SALL4 and incubated for 72 h. (C) qRT-PCR analysis of *KRT19*, *EPCAM*, *CD44*, and *ALB* in HuH1 cells transfected with pCMV7 or pCMV6-SALL4 and incubated for 48 h. (D) IF analysis of HuH1 cells transfected with pCMV7 or pCMV6-SALL4, incubated for 72 h and stained with anti-CK19 antibodies, evaluated by the confocal laser scanning microscopy. (E) Spheroid formation assay of HuH1 cells transfected with pCMV7 or pCMV6-SALL4. Number of spheroids obtained from 2000 cells is indicated (n = 3, mean \pm SD). (F) Invasion assay of HuH1 cells transfected with pCMV7 or pCMV6-SALL4 (n = 3, mean \pm SD).

proposed an HCC classification system based on the stem/maturation status of the tumor by EpCAM and AFP expression status [8]. These HCC subtypes showed distinct gene expression patterns with features resembling particular stages of liver lineages. Among them, HpSC-HCC was characterized by a highly invasive nature, chemoresistance to fluorouracil, and poor prognosis after radical resection, warranting the development of a novel therapeutic approach against this HCC subtype [9].

In this study, we showed that the transcription factor SALL4 was activated in HpSC-HCC and that SALL4 might regulate HCC stemness, as characterized by the activation of EpCAM, CK19, and CD44 with highly tumorigenic and invasive natures. Furthermore, we identified that SALL4-positive HCC cell lines tended to




Fig. 4. Effect of SALL4 knockdown and HDAC activity. (A) qRT-PCR analysis of *SALL4* in HuH7 cells transfected with control or *SALL4* sh-RNAs (n = 3, mean \pm SD). (B) Western blots of lysates obtained from HuH7 cells transfected with control or *SALL4* sh-RNAs with anti-SALL4 antibodies. (C) qRT-PCR analysis of *EPCAM* and *CD44* in HuH7 cells transfected with control or *SALL4* sh-RNAs (n = 3, mean \pm SD). (D) Invasion assay of HuH7 cells transfected with control or *SALL4* sh-RNAs (n = 3, mean \pm SD). (E) HDAC activity of nuclear extracts obtained from HuH7 cells transfected with control or *SALL4* sh-RNAs. (F) HDAC activity of nuclear extracts obtained from each cell line. HDAC activity was measured in duplicate and average amounts of deacetylated products are indicated (upper panel). Lamin B included in the nuclear extracts loaded for HDAC activity assays was measured by Western blotting (lower panel). (G) Cell proliferation assay of HCC cell lines. Each cell line was treated with control DMSO or 10 μ M SBHA and cultured for 72 h (n = 4, mean \pm SD).

show high HDAC activity and chemosensitivity to the HDAC inhibitors SBHA and SAHA. This study reveals for the first time the utility of SBHA for the treatment of HCC with stem cell features.

SALL4 is a zinc finger transcription factor originally cloned based on sequence homology to *Drosophila sal* [11]. *SALL4* mutations are associated with the Okhiro syndrome, a human disease involving multiple organ defects [23,24]. SALL4 plays a fundamental role in the maintenance of embryonic stem cells, potentially through interaction with Oct4, Sox2, and Nanog [25–30]. Furthermore, knockdown of SALL4 significantly reduces the efficiency of induced pluripotent stem cell generation [31]. SALL4 is also expressed in hematopoietic stem cells and leukemia cells, where it regulates their maintenance [14,32]. SALL4 is known to encode two isoforms (SALL4A and SALL4B), and a recent study

suggested the important role of SALL4B on maintaining the stemness of embryonic stem cells [25]. Interestingly, our data indicated that SALL4B is also a dominant form in HpSC-HCC cell lines. It is unclear how SALL4 isoform expression is regulated in cancer, and future studies are required to explore the mechanisms of SALL4 isoform regulation.

In the liver, SALL4 is expressed in fetal hepatic stem/progenitors but not in adult hepatocytes, and a mouse study demonstrated that inhibition of SALL4 in hepatic stem/progenitors contributes to their differentiation [33]. Interestingly, recent studies indicated that AFP-producing gastric cancer expresses SALL4, suggesting that SALL4 might play a role in the hepatoid differentiation of gastric cancer [34]. Consistently, our data indicated a positive correlation between SALL4, AFP, and EPCAM expression in two independent HCC cohorts. Strikingly, SALL4 was recently shown to be expressed in a subset of human liver cancers with poor prognoses, while modification of SALL4 expression resulted in the alteration of cell proliferation *in vitro* and tumor growth *in vivo*, consistent with our current study [35]. A recent study reported the expression of SALL4 in 46% of HCC cases, which is almost comparable to our present study [36]. Furthermore, a very recent study of two independent large cohorts demonstrated that SALL4 is a marker for a progenitor subclass of HCC with an aggressive phenotype [37]. It is still unclear how SALL4 expression is regulated and which target genes are directly activated by SALL4 binding. Future studies using next generation sequencing are required to fully understand the mechanisms of SALL4 regulation of HCC stemness.

In this study, we demonstrated that SALL4-positive HCC cell lines have high HDAC activity and chemosensitivity against the HDAC inhibitors SBHA and SAHA compared with SALL4-negative HCC cell lines. SALL4 was recently found to directly connect with the epigenetic modulator NuRD complex [22], thereby possibly affecting the histone modification associated with stemness. The NuRD complex is a multiunit chromatin remodeling complex containing chromodomain-helicase-DNA-binding proteins and HDACs that regulate histone deacetylation [38]. Its role in cancer is still controversial, while its function in HCC has not yet been determined.

Our data suggest that SALL4 plays a role in controlling HDAC activity and contributing to the maintenance of HCC with stem cell features. Consistently, HDAC inhibitors might be useful for the eradication of SALL4-positive HCC cells through their inhibitory effects on histone deacetylation by NuRD [39]. Encouragingly, a recent study demonstrated the utility of a SALL4-binding peptide to inhibit its binding to phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted on chromosome 10 (PTEN) through interaction with HDAC, thereby targeting leukemia cells [21]. Further studies are required to understand the relationship between SALL4, the NuRD complex, and the maintenance of stemness in HCC.

Financial support

This study was supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan (23590967), a grant from the Japanese Society of Gastroenterology, a grant from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, and a grant from the National Cancer Center Research and Development Fund (23-B-5), Japan.

Conflict of interest

The authors who have taken part in this study declared that they do not have anything to disclose regarding funding or conflict of interest with respect to this manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We thank Ms. Masayo Baba and Ms. Nami Nishiyama for excellent technical assistance.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2013.08.024>.

References

- [1] Nowell PC. The clonal evolution of tumor cell populations. *Science* 1976;194:23–28.
- [2] Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* 2011;144:646–674.
- [3] Jordan CT, Guzman ML, Noble M. Cancer stem cells. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355:1253–1261.
- [4] Clarke MF, Dick JE, Dirks PB, Eaves CJ, Jamieson CH, Jones DL, et al. Cancer stem cells—perspectives on current status and future directions: AACR Workshop on cancer stem cells. *Cancer Res* 2006;66:9339–9344.
- [5] Dean M, Fojo T, Bates S. Tumour stem cells and drug resistance. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2005;5:275–284.
- [6] Visvader JE, Lindeman GJ. Cancer stem cells in solid tumours: accumulating evidence and unresolved questions. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2008;8:755–768.
- [7] Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2011;61:69–90.
- [8] Yamashita T, Forgues M, Wang W, Kim JW, Ye Q, Jia H, et al. EpCAM and alpha-fetoprotein expression defines novel prognostic subtypes of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 2008;68:1451–1461.
- [9] Yamashita T, Ji J, Budhu A, Forgues M, Yang W, Wang HY, et al. EpCAM-positive hepatocellular carcinoma cells are tumor-initiating cells with stem/progenitor cell features. *Gastroenterology* 2009;136:1012–1024.
- [10] Yamashita T, Budhu A, Forgues M, Wang XW. Activation of hepatic stem cell marker EpCAM by Wnt-beta-catenin signaling in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 2007;67:10831–10839.
- [11] de Celis JF, Barrio R. Regulation and function of spalt proteins during animal development. *Int J Dev Biol* 2009;53:1385–1398.
- [12] Aguila JR, Liao W, Yang J, Avila C, Hagag N, Senzel L, et al. SALL4 is a robust stimulator for the expansion of hematopoietic stem cells. *Blood* 2011;118:576–585.
- [13] Yang J, Chai L, Gao C, Fowles TC, Alipio Z, Dang H, et al. SALL4 is a key regulator of survival and apoptosis in human leukemic cells. *Blood* 2008;112:805–813.
- [14] Yang J, Chai L, Liu F, Fink LM, Lin P, Silberstein LE, et al. Bmi-1 is a target gene for SALL4 in hematopoietic and leukemic cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007;104:10494–10499.
- [15] Yamashita T, Honda M, Nio K, Nakamoto Y, Takamura H, Tani T, et al. Oncostatin m renders epithelial cell adhesion molecule-positive liver cancer stem cells sensitive to 5-fluorouracil by inducing hepatocytic differentiation. *Cancer Res* 2010;70:4687–4697.
- [16] Yamashita T, Honda M, Takatori H, Nishino R, Minato H, Takamura H, et al. Activation of lipogenic pathway correlates with cell proliferation and poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2009;50:100–110.
- [17] Woo HG, Wang XW, Budhu A, Kim YH, Kwon SM, Tang ZY, et al. Association of TP53 mutations with stem cell-like gene expression and survival of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 2011;140:1063–1070.
- [18] Ji J, Wang XW. Clinical implications of cancer stem cell biology in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Semin Oncol* 2012;39:461–472.

Research Article

- [19] Yang J, Corsello TR, Ma Y. Stem cell gene SALL4 suppresses transcription through recruitment of DNA methyltransferases. *J Biol Chem* 2012;287:1996–2005.
- [20] Bohm J, Sustmann C, Wilhelm C, Kohlhasse J. SALL4 is directly activated by TCF/LEF in the canonical Wnt signaling pathway. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2006;348:898–907.
- [21] Gao C, Dimitrov T, Yong KJ, Tatetsu H, Jeong HW, Luo HR, et al. Targeting transcription factor SALL4 in acute myeloid leukemia by interrupting its interaction with an epigenetic complex. *Blood* 2013;121:1413–1421.
- [22] Lu J, Jeong HW, Kong N, Yang Y, Carroll J, Luo HR, et al. Stem cell factor SALL4 represses the transcriptions of PTEN and SALL1 through an epigenetic repressor complex. *PLoS One* 2009;4:e5577.
- [23] Al-Baradie R, Yamada K, St Hilaire C, Chan WM, Andrews C, McIntosh N, et al. Duane radial ray syndrome (Okhiro syndrome) maps to 20q13 and results from mutations in SALL4, a new member of the SAL family. *Am J Hum Genet* 2002;71:1195–1199.
- [24] Kohlhasse J, Heinrich M, Schubert L, Liebers M, Kispert A, Laccone F, et al. Okhiro syndrome is caused by SALL4 mutations. *Hum Mol Genet* 2002;11:2979–2987.
- [25] Rao S, Zhen S, Roumiantsev S, McDonald LT, Yuan GC, Orkin SH. Differential roles of Sall4 isoforms in embryonic stem cell pluripotency. *Mol Cell Biol* 2010;30:5364–5380.
- [26] Tanimura N, Saito M, Ebisuya M, Nishida E, Ishikawa F. Stemness-related factor Sall4 interacts with transcription factors oct-3/4 and sox2 and occupies oct-sox elements in mouse embryonic stem cells. *J Biol Chem* 2013;288:5027–5038.
- [27] Wu Q, Chen X, Zhang J, Loh YH, Low TY, Zhang W, et al. Sall4 interacts with nanog and co-occupies nanog genomic sites in embryonic stem cells. *J Biol Chem* 2006;281:24090–24094.
- [28] Yang J, Chai L, Fowles TC, Alipio Z, Xu D, Fink LM, et al. Genome-wide analysis reveals Sall4 to be a major regulator of pluripotency in murine-embryonic stem cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008;105:19756–19761.
- [29] Yang J, Gao C, Chai L, Ma Y. A novel SALL4/OCT4 transcriptional feedback network for pluripotency of embryonic stem cells. *PLoS One* 2010;5:e10766.
- [30] Zhang J, Tam WL, Tong GQ, Wu Q, Chan HY, Soh BS, et al. Sall4 modulates embryonic stem cell pluripotency and early embryonic development by the transcriptional regulation of Pou5f1. *Nat Cell Biol* 2006;8:1114–1123.
- [31] Tsubooka N, Ichisaka T, Okita K, Takahashi K, Nakagawa M, Yamanaka S. Roles of Sall4 in the generation of pluripotent stem cells from blastocysts and fibroblasts. *Genes Cells* 2009;14:683–694.
- [32] Yang J, Liao W, Ma Y. Role of SALL4 in hematopoiesis. *Curr Opin Hematol* 2012;19:287–291.
- [33] Oikawa T, Kamiya A, Kakinuma S, Zeniya M, Nishinakamura R, Tajiri H, et al. Sall4 regulates cell fate decision in fetal hepatic stem/progenitor cells. *Gastroenterology* 2009;136:1000–1011.
- [34] Ikeda H, Sato Y, Yoneda N, Harada K, Sasaki M, Kitamura S, et al. Alpha-Fetoprotein-producing gastric carcinoma and combined hepatocellular and cholangiocarcinoma show similar morphology but different histogenesis with respect to SALL4 expression. *Hum Pathol* 2012;43:1955–1963.
- [35] Oikawa T, Kamiya A, Zeniya M, Chikada H, Hyuck AD, Yamazaki Y, et al. SALL4, a stem cell biomarker in liver cancers. *Hepatology* 2013;57:1469–1483.
- [36] Gonzalez-Roibon N, Katz B, Chaux A, Sharma R, Munari E, Faraj SF, et al. Immunohistochemical expression of SALL4 in hepatocellular carcinoma, a potential pitfall in the differential diagnosis of yolk sac tumors. *Hum Pathol* 2013;44:1293–1299.
- [37] Yong KJ, Gao C, Lim JS, Yan B, Yang H, Dimitrov T, et al. Oncofetal gene SALL4 in aggressive hepatocellular carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:2266–2276.
- [38] Lai AY, Wade PA. Cancer biology and NuRD: a multifaceted chromatin remodelling complex. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2011;11:588–596.
- [39] Marquardt JU, Thorgeirsson SS. Sall4 in “stemness”-driven hepatocarcinogenesis. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:2316–2318.