

On the value at $s=-1$ of partial zeta functions for ray classes of real quadratic field

メタデータ	言語: eng 出版者: 公開日: 2021-05-20 キーワード (Ja): キーワード (En): 作成者: メールアドレス: 所属:
URL	https://doi.org/10.24517/00061956

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 International License.



On the value at $s=-1$ of partial zeta functions for ray classes of real quadratic field

Hiroshi YAMASHITA

Abstract. Let k be a real quadratic field. Let α be an integer of k and m be a positive rational integer. Denote by $\zeta((\alpha), (m), s)$ be a partial zeta function associated to a ray class containing the principal ideal (α) which is defined with a conductor (m) . We give a formula of the value of $\zeta((\alpha), (m), -1)$ by means of the modification of the sum defined by L. Carlitz, *c.f.* [2]. It is an analogue to the generalized Dedekind sum defined in [6].

1. Introduction. We studied the value at zero of a partial zeta function on a real quadratic field in [8, 9, 10], based on the Shintai formula in [7]. A formula of the value at $s = 0$ for a ray class containing a principal ideal in a real quadratic field was given and it was shown that the value is able to be computed when the conductor of the ray class group is a positive integer. In the present paper, we shall study that the value at $s = -1$ with the analogous method. To this end, we use a sum

$$c_{r,s}(h, k) = \sum_{(\mu) \bmod k} B_r\left(\frac{\mu}{k}\right) B_s\left(\left\{\frac{h\mu}{k}\right\}\right)$$

defined from the transformation of Lambert series in [2], where $\{x\}$ is the fractional part of a real number x . This sum

is extension of the generalized Dedekind sum in [1]. We call it the Carlitz sum in this paper.

2. Review of the Shintai formula.

Let α be an integer of a real quadratic field k . Denote by (α) an ideal generated by α . We assume (α) is prime to the conductor (m) of the ray class group. The partial zeta function associated to a ray class containing (α) is defined to be

$$\zeta((\alpha), (m), s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{b}} \frac{1}{N\mathfrak{b}^s}, \quad s > 1,$$

which is continued meromorphically to the complex plain, where \mathfrak{b} runs the set of every integral ideals contained in the ray class. Let σ_1 and σ_2 be embeddings of k

into the field of real numbers. We identify x with $\sigma_1 x$ and write $\sigma_2 x$ as x' . The quadratic field k is mapped into a subset of a two dimensional real vector space by $x \rightarrow (\sigma_1 x, \sigma_2 x)$. Let C be the set of every vectors whose coordinates are positive. Let $E_+(m)$ be a subgroup of units which are congruent to 1 with modulo m and totally positive. We see $E_+(m)$ is a free abelian group of rank one. Let ε be a generator of $E_+(m)$ such that $\varepsilon > \varepsilon'$. The group $E_+(m)$ acts on the set C by multiplication. Let C_1 be a subset of C generated by a vector $v_1 = (m, m)$:

$$C_1 = \{xv : x > 0\}.$$

Set $v_2 = (m\varepsilon, m\varepsilon')$. Let C_2 be a subset C consisting of every vectors $x_1 v_1 + x_2 v_2$, $x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0$. The union of these two sets is a fundamental domain with respect to action of $E_+(m)$. Let S_1 (resp. S_2) be a bounded subset of C_1 (resp. C_2) of every element such that $0 < x \leq 1$ (resp. $0 < x_1, x_2 \leq 1$). Since the fractional ideal $(m^{-1}\alpha)$ is mapped into a discrete set in the two dimensional vector space, the intersection $(1 + (m^{-1}\alpha)) \cap S_i$ is a finite set, where $1 + (m^{-1}\alpha)$ is a set of elements $1 + y$ for every $y \in (m^{-1}\alpha)$. We need the sets of coordinates of these finite sets to formulate the value of the partial zeta function. Namely, put

$$R(1) = \{x : xv_1 \in S_1\},$$

$$R(2) = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 v_1 + x_2 v_2 \in S_2\}.$$

These sets $R(i)$ are determined concretely in [8]. Let $1, w$ be the standard basis of the ring

of integers of k , such that $w > 0$. We write α as a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination concerning this basis and decompose it as

$$\alpha = c(f_1 + f_2 w), \quad c > 0, \quad (f_1, f_2) = 1.$$

Let a and b be positive integers such that

$$\varepsilon = a + bw.$$

We see $a \equiv 1 \pmod m$ and $b \equiv 0 \pmod m$. We set $\lambda = 0$ if $f_2 = 0$. When $f_2 \neq 0$, we solve a congruent equation

$$(1) \quad f_2 \lambda \equiv f_1 + f_2 Tr(w) \pmod{|N(\beta)|}$$

and set the solution to λ .

PROPOSITION 1. We have

(i) The set $R(1)$ is equal to

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{m} + \frac{l}{c} : 0 \leq l \leq c - 1 \right\}.$$

(ii) Put $N = |N(\beta)|b/m$ and $\delta = a + b\lambda$. The set $R(2)$ is

$$\{(x_1, x_2) : 1 \leq j \leq c, 1 \leq s \leq mcN\}.$$

where

$$x_1 = \frac{j - \left\{ -\frac{c}{m} + \frac{\delta s}{mN} \right\}}{c},$$

$$x_2 = \frac{\delta}{mcN}.$$

We define Bernoulli polynomials attached to linear forms for matrices

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} m & m \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} m & m \\ m\varepsilon & m\varepsilon' \end{pmatrix},$$

which are defined to be

$$L(t) = A_1 \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} L_1(t) \\ L_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = A_2 \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where we abbreviate (t_1, t_2) to t . A Bernoulli polynomial $B_n^{(i)}(A_1; x)$ with respect to $L(t)$ is defined from a function

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{uL(t)} \cdot \frac{uL(t)e^{uL(t)x}}{e^{uL(t)} - 1} \Bigg|_{t_i=1}.$$

We expand this function as a Laurent series and denote the coefficient of $u^{2(n-1)}t_{3-i}^{n-1}$ as

$$\frac{1}{(n!)^2} B_n^{(i)}(A_1; x).$$

By symmetry of t_1 and t_2 , we see $B_n^{(1)}(A_1; x) = B_n^{(2)}(A_1; x)$. The Bernoulli polynomial relative to A_1 is

$$B_n(A_1; x) = B_n^{(1)}(A_1; x).$$

A Bernoulli polynomial $B_n^{(i)}(A_2; x_1, x_2)$ relative to A_2 is defined similarly from a function

$$(3) \quad \prod_{j=1}^2 \frac{1}{uL_j(t)} \cdot \frac{uL_j(t)e^{uL_j(t)x_j}}{e^{uL_j(t)} - 1} \Bigg|_{t_i=1}.$$

After expanding this expression, we take the coefficient of $u^{2(n-1)}t_{3-i}^{n-1}$ and express it as

$$\frac{1}{(n!)^2} B_n^{(i)}(A_2; x_1, x_2).$$

Since ε and ε' are conjugate to each other with respect to the action of the Galois group of k , $B_n^{(2)}(A_1; x)$ is conjugate to $B_n^{(1)}(A_1; x)$. The Bernoulli polynomial relative to A_2 is a polynomial of two variables defined to be

$$B_n(A_2; x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2} T\tau \left(B_n^{(1)}(A_2; x_1, x_2) \right).$$

Then, by the Shintai formula, we obtain

THEOREM 2. For $p = 1, 2, \dots$, the value of $\zeta((\alpha), (m), 1 - p)$ is given by a formula

$$-\frac{|N(\alpha)|^{p-1}}{p^2} \sum_{x \in R(1)} B_p(A_1, x) + \frac{|N(\alpha)|^{p-1}}{p^2} \sum_{(x_1, x_2) \in R(2)} B_p(A_2; x_1, x_2).$$

3. The Carlitz sum. The primary Bernoulli polynomial $B_n(x)$ is defined from a generating function

$$\frac{te^{tx}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n(x)}{n!} t^n.$$

We use the following well-known formulae:

(I) $B_n = B_n(0)$.

(II) $B_n(1 - x) = (-1)^n B_n(x)$.

(III) $\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} B_n \left(x + \frac{j}{k} \right) = k^{1-n} B_n(kx)$.

Let Δ be a monoid generated by $X = X^1$. Δ is a set $\{X^0, X^1, X^2, \dots\}$ on which multiplication is defined to be $X^m X^n = X^{m+n}$. Denote by $\mathcal{Q}\Delta$ a linear space over \mathcal{Q} generated by Δ . We make this linear space a ring by defining multiplication as extension of that of Δ . When we write

$$f(X)|_{X^n \rightarrow B_n}$$

for an element $f(x)$ of $\mathcal{Q}\Delta$, it means to replace each X^n contained in $f(X)$ to a Bernoulli number B_n . We also use

$$f(X)|_{X^n \rightarrow B_n(x)}$$

to replace each X^n in $f(X)$ to a Bernoulli polynomial $B_n(x)$. These replacement symbol

is extensively used in two variables case such as

$$f(X, Y)|_{X^m Y^n \rightarrow B_m B_n}.$$

We note the element $f(X)$ is needed to be expanded completely before replacement.

Let h and k be integers such that $(h, k) = 1$ and $k > 0$. In [2], a sum

$$c_{r,s} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} B_r \left(\frac{j}{k} \right) B_s \left(\left\{ \frac{hj}{k} \right\} \right)$$

is defined and deduces relations from the transformation formula of the Lambert series. This sum is extension of the generalized Dedekind sum defined in [1]. By the property (II) of Bernoulli polynomials, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} B_r \left(\frac{j}{k} \right) B_s \left(\left\{ \frac{hj}{k} \right\} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k B_r \left(\frac{k-j}{k} \right) B_s \left(\left\{ \frac{h(k-j)}{k} \right\} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{r+s} \sum_{j=1}^k B_r \left(\frac{j}{k} \right) B_s \left(\left\{ \frac{hj}{k} \right\} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{r+s} c_{r,s}(h, k) = \\ & c_{r,s}(h, k) + B_r B_s - (-1)^r B_r B_s. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $c_{r,s}(h, k) = 0$ if $r + s$ is odd and $r \neq 1$. If $r = 1$, we see $2c_{r,s}(h, k) = B_s$.

In the below, we assume $r + s$ is even and abuse notation and write $c_r(h, k)$ for $c_{2n-r,r}(h, k)$:

$$c_r(h, k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} B_{2n-r} \left(\frac{j}{k} \right) B_r \left(\left\{ \frac{hj}{k} \right\} \right)$$

We have

$$c_0(h, k) = c_{2n}(h, k) = \frac{B_n}{k^{2n-1}}$$

by the property (III) of Bernoulli polynomials. A formula describing relations between $c_r(h, k)$'s was given in Theorem 2, [3]:

THEOREM 3 (L. Carlitz). Let h and k be positive integers such that $(h, k) = 1$. For $0 \leq r \leq 2n - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{2n}{r+1} h k^{2n-1} c_{2n-1-r}(h, k) + \binom{2n}{r} k^{2n-1} c_{2n-r}(h, k) \\ &= \binom{2n}{r+1} h^{2n-1-r} (X - kX^0)^{r+1} X^{2n-1-r} |_{X^p Y^q \rightarrow c_p(k, h)} \\ & \quad + \binom{2n}{r} (Xk + Yh)^{2n-r} Y^r |_{X^p Y^q \rightarrow B_p B_q}. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 4. Set $n = 2$. Then,

(i) for $r = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & 4hk^3 c_3(h, k) = \\ & -4h^3 k c_3(k, h) - \frac{h^4}{30} + \frac{h^2 k^2}{6} - \frac{k^4}{30} - \frac{1}{10}, \end{aligned}$$

(ii) for $r = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & 6hk^3 c_2(h, k) + 4k^3 c_3(h, k) = \\ & -12h^2 k c_3(k, h) + 6h^2 k^2 c_2(k, h) \\ & - \frac{2h^3}{15} + \frac{hk^2}{3} - \frac{1}{5h}, \end{aligned}$$

(iii) for $r = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & 4hk^3 c_1(h, k) + 6k^3 c_2(h, k) = \\ & -4hk^3 c_1(k, h) + 12hk^2 c_2(k, h) - 12hk c_3(k, h) \\ & + \frac{k^2}{6} - \frac{2}{15h^2} - \frac{h^2}{5}, \end{aligned}$$

(iv) for $r = 3$,

$$4k^3c_1(h, k) = -4kc_3(k, h) + 6k^2c_2(k, h) - 4k^3c_1(k, h) - \frac{1}{30h^3} - \frac{k^4}{30h^3} - \frac{h}{10}.$$

We deduce reciprocity laws from this corollary.

THEOREM 5. We have the following formulae:

(i)
$$k^2c_3(h, k) + h^2c_3(k, h) = -\frac{k^3}{120h} - \frac{h^3}{120k} + \frac{hk}{24} - \frac{1}{40hk}.$$

(ii)
$$kc_2(h, k) - hc_2(k, h) = +\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{k}{h}c_3(h, k) - \frac{h}{k}c_3(k, h) \right) + \frac{1}{90} \left(\frac{k^2}{h^2} - \frac{h^2}{k^2} \right).$$

(iii)
$$c_1(h, k) + c_1(k, h) = \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{c_2(h, k)}{h} + \frac{c_2(k, h)}{k} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{c_3(h, k)}{h^2} + \frac{c_3(k, h)}{k^2} \right) - \frac{1}{60} \left(\frac{h}{h^3} + \frac{k}{h^3} \right) - \frac{1}{120h^3k^3}.$$

Proof. The formula (i) follows from Corollary 4, immediately. We also have

$$6hk^3c_2(h, k) - 6h^2k^2c_2(k, h) = -4(k^3c_3(h, k) + 3h^2kc_3(k, h)) - \frac{1}{5h} + \frac{hk^2}{3} - \frac{2h^3}{15}$$

Hence,

$$kc_2(h, k) - hc_2(k, h) = -\frac{2}{3hk^2} (k^3c_3(h, k) + 3h^2kc_3(k, h)) - \frac{1}{30h^2k^2} + \frac{1}{18} - \frac{h^2}{45k^2}$$

Applying the formula (i), we have

$$kc_2(j, k) - hc_2(k, h) = -\frac{4h}{3k}c_3(k, h) - \frac{1}{180} \left(\frac{h^2}{k^2} + \frac{k^2}{h^2} \right) - \frac{1}{60h^2k^2} - \frac{h^2}{45k^2} + \frac{1}{36}.$$

Let $\sigma = (h, k)$ be a transposition of symbols h and k . Let ι be the identity transposition. We apply the alternizer $\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2}(\iota - \sigma)$ to the expression and obtain

$$kc_2(h, k) - hc_2(k, h) = \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{k}{h}c_3(h, k) - \frac{h}{k}c_3(k, h) \right) + \frac{1}{90} \left(\frac{k^2}{h^2} - \frac{h^2}{k^2} \right)$$

Similarly, applying the symmetrizer $\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{2}(\iota + \sigma)$ to the expression of (iii) in Corollary 4, the formula (iv) is deduced. \square

We note $c_3(h, k) = c_1(h', k)$ holds for an integer h' such that $h'h \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$, because of an equality

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} B_1 \left(\frac{j}{k} \right) B_3 \left(\left\{ \frac{hj}{k} \right\} \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} B_1 \left(\left\{ \frac{h'j}{k} \right\} \right) B_3 \left(\frac{j}{k} \right).$$

4. The formula of $\zeta((\alpha), (m), -1)$. We calculate explicit expression of $B_2(A_1, x)$ and $B_2(A_2; x_1, x_2)$. The coefficient of $u^{2(n-1)}$ in the expansion of (2) is

$$\frac{B_{2n-1}(x)}{(2n-1)!} m^{2(n-1)} (1+t_2)^{2(n-1)}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{B_n^{(1)}(A_1; x)}{(n!)^2} = \binom{2n-2}{n-1} \frac{m^{2n-2}}{(2n-1)!} B_{2n-1}(x).$$

Setting $n = 2$, we have

$$B_2(A_1; x) = \frac{4m^2}{3} B_3(x).$$

Hence,

$$(4) \sum_{l=0}^{c-1} B_2\left(A_1; \frac{1}{m} + \frac{l}{c}\right) = \frac{4m^2}{3c^2} B_3\left(\frac{c}{m}\right).$$

The Bernoulli polynomial $B_2(A_2; x_1, x_2)$ is yielded by expanding (3). Put $u' = mu$. The coefficient of $u'^{2(n-1)}$ in the expansion is

$$\frac{1}{(2n)!} \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{j} B_{2n-j}(x_1) B_j(x_2) \times (1+t_2)^{2n-j-1} (\varepsilon + \varepsilon' t_2)^{j-1}.$$

Since $\varepsilon \varepsilon' = 1$, we replace $\varepsilon + \varepsilon' t_2$ to $\varepsilon(1 + \varepsilon^{-2} t_2)$. Let P_j be the coefficient of t_2^{j-1} in $(1+t_2)^{2n-j-1} (1 + \varepsilon^{-2} t_2)^{j-1}$. We compute

$$L_j = \binom{2n}{j} C_n B_{2n-j}(x_1) B_j(x_2) \varepsilon^{j-1} P_j$$

where $C_n = \frac{m^{2n-2}}{\binom{2n}{n}}$.

(i) $j = 0$

$$P_0 = \sum_{p+q=n-1} \binom{2n-1}{p} (-1)^q (\varepsilon^{-2})^q.$$

$$L_0 = C_n B_{2n}(x_1) \varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{q=0}^{2n-1} \binom{2n-1}{n-1-q} (-\varepsilon^{-2})^q$$

(ii) $j = 2n$

$$P_{2n} = \sum_{p+q=n-1} \binom{2n-1}{q} \varepsilon^{-2q} (-1)^p.$$

$$L_{2n} = C_n B_{2n}(x_2) \varepsilon \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \binom{2n-1}{n-1-p} (-\varepsilon^2)^p.$$

(iii) $0 < j < n$

$$P_j = \sum_{q=0}^{j-1} \binom{2n-j-1}{n-1-q} \binom{j-1}{q} \varepsilon^{-2q}.$$

$$L_j = \binom{2n}{j} C_n B_{2n-j}(x_1) B_j(x_2) \varepsilon^{j-1} \times \sum_{q=0}^{j-1} \binom{2n-j-1}{n-1-q} \binom{j-1}{q} \varepsilon^{-2q}.$$

(iv) $n \leq j < 2n$

$$P_j = \sum_{q=j-n}^{n-1} \binom{2n-j-1}{n-1-q} \binom{j-1}{q} \varepsilon^{-2q}.$$

$$L_j = \binom{2n}{j} C_n B_{2n-j}(x_1) B_j(x_2) \varepsilon^{j-1} \times \sum_{q=j-n}^{n-1} \binom{2n-j-1}{n-1-q} \binom{j-1}{q} \varepsilon^{-2q}.$$

Set $n = 2$. We see $C_n = \frac{m^2}{6}$ and

$$L_0 = \frac{m^2(3\varepsilon^{-1} - \varepsilon^{-3})}{6} B_4(x_1)$$

$$L_4 = \frac{m^2(3\varepsilon - \varepsilon^3)}{6} B_4(x_2)$$

$$L_1 = \frac{4m^2}{3} B_3(x_1) B_1(x_2)$$

$$L_2 = m^2 Tr(\varepsilon) B_2(x_1) B_2(x_2)$$

$$L_3 = \frac{4m^2}{3} B_1(x_1) B_3(x_2)$$

Therefore, the value of $\zeta((\beta c), (m), -1)$ equals

$$-\frac{|N(\beta)|c^2}{4} \cdot \frac{4m^2}{3c^2} B_3\left(\frac{c}{m}\right) + \frac{|N(\beta)|c^2}{4} \sum_{x_1, x_2} \sum_{i=0}^4 L_i$$

We introduce a modified Carlitz sum

$$c_r(h, k; c') = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} B_{2n-r} \left(\frac{j}{k} \right) B_r \left(\left\{ \frac{hj + c'}{k} \right\} \right).$$

Put

$$\tau(s) = \left\{ -\frac{c}{m} + \frac{\delta s}{mN} \right\},$$

We have

$$x_1 = \frac{j - \tau(s)}{c}.$$

Hence, $c_r(\delta, mcN; c)$ equals

$$S_r = \sum_{j=1}^c \sum_{s=1}^{mcN} B_{4-r} \left(\frac{s}{mcN} \right) B_r \left(\frac{j - \tau(s)}{c} \right).$$

We compute S_4 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^c \sum_{s=1}^{mcN} B_4 \left(\frac{s}{mcN} \right) \\ &= c \sum_{j=0}^{c-1} \sum_{t=1}^{mN} B_4 \left(\frac{t + jmN}{mcN} \right) \\ &= c^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^{mN} B_4 \left(\frac{t}{mN} \right) \\ &= c^{-2} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{mN-1} B_4 \left(\frac{t}{mN} \right) - B_4 + B_4(1) \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{30m^3N^3c^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^c B_r \left(\frac{j - \tau(s)}{c} \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{c-1} B_r \left(\frac{j + 1 - \tau(s)}{c} \right) = c^{1-r} B_r(1 - \tau(s)),$$

we also have

$$S_0 = -\frac{1}{30m^3N^3c^2}.$$

The deformation (5) is also available to S_r when $1 \leq r \leq 3$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_r &= c^{1-r} \sum_{s=1}^{mcN} B_{4-r} \left(\frac{s}{mcN} \right) B_r(1 - \tau(s)) \\ &= c^{-2} \sum_{s=1}^{mN} B_{4-r} \left(\frac{s}{mN} \right) B_r(1 - \tau(s)). \end{aligned}$$

Here, by changing s to $mN - s$, the sum

$$\sum_{s=1}^{mN} B_{4-r} \left(\frac{s}{mN} \right) B_r(1 - \tau(s))$$

is transformed to

$$\sum_{s=0}^{mN-1} B_{4-r} \left(\frac{mN-s}{mN} \right) B_r \left(1 - \left\{ -\frac{c}{m} + \frac{\delta(mN-s)}{mN} \right\} \right),$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} S_r &= (-1)^r c^{-2} \sum_{s=0}^{mN-1} B_{4-r} \left(\frac{s}{mN} \right) B_r \left(\left\{ \frac{c}{m} + \frac{\delta s}{mN} \right\} \right) \\ &= (-1)^r c^{-2} c_r(\delta, mN; cN). \end{aligned}$$

The formula in Theorem 2 is described explicitly with $c_r(\delta, mcN; c')$.

THEOREM 6. The quotient of the value of $\zeta((\beta c), (m), -1)$ by $|N(\beta)|$ is equal to the value of an expression

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{m^2}{3} B_3 \left(\frac{c}{m} \right) + \frac{Tr(\varepsilon^3) - 3\varepsilon}{720mN^3} \\ & -\frac{m^2}{3} (c_1(\delta, mN; cN) + c_3(\delta, mN; cN)) \\ & + \frac{m^2 Tr(\varepsilon)}{4} c_2(\delta, mN; cN). \end{aligned}$$

We needed to obtain the value of the generalized Dedekind sum $s(h, k; c)$ in [6] to compute the value $\zeta((\alpha), (m), 0)$. Since the reciprocity formula of $s(h, k; c) + s(k, h; c)$ is known by [4] and its elementary proof was given in [5], the value of $s(h, k; c)$ can be computed rapidly by using this reciprocity law and the Euclidean algorithm. The analogous method is expected to the modified Carlitz sum. Denote by $\Delta_r(h, k; c)$ the difference of $c_r(h, k; c)$ from $c_r(h, k)$:

$$c_r(h, k; c) = c_r(h, k) + \Delta_r(h, k; c).$$

The formula of Theorem 6 is separated into two factors. We note $N = |N(\beta)|b/m$ and

δ is obtained from a solution of congruence equation (1). Hence, a part

$$\frac{\text{Tr}(\varepsilon^3 - 3\varepsilon)}{720mN^3} - \frac{m^2}{3}(c_1(\delta, mN) + c_3(\delta, mN)) + \frac{m^2 \text{Tr}(\varepsilon)}{4}c_2(\delta, mN),$$

is dependent only on β and b . This sum is computable by means of Theorem 5. The remainder sum

$$-\frac{m^2}{3}(\Delta_1(\delta, mN; cN) + \Delta_3(\delta, mN; cN)) + \frac{m^2 \text{Tr}(\varepsilon)}{4}\Delta_2(\delta, mN; cN) - \frac{m^2}{3}B_3\left(\frac{c}{m}\right)$$

is determined with depending on c .

References

- [1] T. M. Apostol; Generalized Dedekind sums and transformation formulae of certain Lambert series, *Duke Math. J.* 17(1950), 147–157.
- [2] L. Carlitz; Some theorems on generalized Dedekind sums, *Pacific J. Math.* 3(1953), 513–522.
- [3] L. Carlitz; Dedekind sums and Lambert series, *Proc. Amer. Soc.* 5(1954), 580–584.
- [4] L. Carlitz; Generalized Dedekind sums, *Math. Zeitschr.* 85(1964), 83–90.
- [5] D. E. Knuth; *The Art of Computer Programming* third ed., Vol. 2 *Seminumerical Algorithm*, Addison-Wesley, 1998.
- [6] H. Rademacher and E. Grosswald; *Dedekind sums*, The Math. Asso. of Amer. 1972.
- [7] T. Shintani; On evaluation of zeta functions of totally real algebraic number fields at non-positive integers, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. IA*, 23(1976), 393–417.
- [8] H. Yamashita; On the values at zero of partial zeta functions for ray classes of a real quadratic field, *Bull. of the School of Teacher Edu., College of Human and Social Sci., Kanazawa Univ. No.8*(2016), 13–24.
- [9] H. Yamashita; On the values at zero of partial zeta functions for ray classes of a real quadratic field II, *Bull. of the School of Teacher Edu., College of Human and Social Sci., Kanazawa Univ. No.9*(2017), 13–22.
- [10] H. Yamashita; On the values at zero of partial zeta functions for ray classes of a real quadratic field III, *Bull. of the School of Teacher Edu., College of Human and Social Sci., Kanazawa Univ. No.12*(2020), 47–56.