

pm-singular numbers and the value of a p-adic L-function at $s=1$ of an abelian field

メタデータ	言語: eng 出版者: 公開日: 2022-06-03 キーワード (Ja): キーワード (En): 作成者: 山下, 浩, Yakashita, Hiroshi メールアドレス: 所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2297/00065610

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 International License.



p^m -singular Numbers and the Value of a p -adic L -Function at $s=1$ of an Abelian Field

Hiroshi YAMASHITA

(1994, 10, 20 受理)

1. Introduction Let p be an odd prime and K be an Abelian field containing a primitive p -th root of unity. Let k be the maximal real subfield of K . We suppose that the conductor of K is not divisible by p^2 and that the extension degree of K is prime to p . Denote by G (resp. \mathfrak{g}) the Galois group of K (resp. k) over the field \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers. Put $g = \#\mathfrak{g}$. Let \mathbb{Q}_p be the field of p -adic numbers and \mathbb{Z}_p be the valuation ring of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let \mathbb{C}_p be the completion of an algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p with the valuation. Denote by v_p the normalized valuation of \mathbb{C}_p such that $v_p(p) = 1$. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the set of \mathbb{C}_p -irreducible characters of \mathfrak{g} and \mathcal{O} be the ring of values: $\mathbb{Z}_p[\chi(\sigma); \chi \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}, \sigma \in \mathfrak{g}]$. For $\chi \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, denote by $\bar{\chi}$ the character defined by $\bar{\chi}(\sigma) = \chi(\sigma^{-1})$. Let e_χ denote the idempotent associated with χ :

$$e_\chi = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{g}} \bar{\chi}(\sigma) \sigma \in \mathcal{O}\mathfrak{g},$$

where $\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{g}$ is the group ring of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients \mathcal{O} .

Let $B_k(p^m)$ be the subgroup of $k^\times/k^{\times p^m}$ generated by p^m -singular number with respect to the set S of every places of k lying above p defined in [3]. Namely, it is a set of numbers which is locally p^m -th power at every place lying over p and which is contained in a p^m -th power of an ideal of k : there is an ideal \mathfrak{a} such that $a \in \mathfrak{a}^{p^m}$. Denote by $B_k^{(1)}(p^m)$ a subgroup generated by units:

$$B_k^{(1)}(p^m) = E_k k^{\times p^m} / k^{\times p^m} \cap B_k(p^m)$$

where E_k is the group of units of k . Let

$$i_{m,n} : k^\times / k^{\times p^m} \longrightarrow k^\times / k^{\times p^n}$$

be a homomorphism defined to be $i_{m,n}(ak^{\times p^m}) = a^{p^{n-m}} k^{\times p^n}$ for natural numbers $m < n$. Let B_k and $B_k^{(1)}$ be the inductive limits of $B_k(p^m)$ and $B_k^{(1)}(p^m)$ with respect to $i_{m,n}$. Let A_k denote the p -Sylow subgroup of the ideal class group of k . Let $B_k^{(0)}(p^m)$ be the subgroup of A_k generated by every ideal \mathfrak{a} determined for every p^m -singular number a in the above. $B_k^{(0)}$ denotes the injective limit of $B_k^{(0)}(p^m)$ with respect to a natural inclusion $B_k^{(0)}(p^m) \subset B_k^{(0)}(p^n)$ for $m < n$. The exact sequence (1.1) in [3] yields the following one:

$$1 \longrightarrow B_k^{(1)} \longrightarrow B_k \longrightarrow B_k^{(0)} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 2 in [3], we see $B_k^{(1)}$ is of finite order, and hence B_k is also. Since k is totally real, the above sequence induces an exact sequence

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow B_k^{(1)} \longrightarrow B_k \longrightarrow A_k \longrightarrow 0$$

by virtue of Corollary to Theorem 6 of [3].

We denote by $t_p(M)$ the p -primary torsion subgroup for an Abelian group M . $\mathcal{O}M$ denotes the extension of coefficients over \mathbb{Z} when the order of M is finite and dose that over \mathbb{Z}_p when M is a \mathbb{Z}_p -module. Let U_k be the direct product of the groups of local units of k at places lying over p : $U_k = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ where $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a subgroup of $u \in k_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(u) = 0$. Let E_k be the group of units of k and C_k be a subgroup of E_K consisting of cyclotomic units of k in the sence of [2]. E_k is embedded into U_k . Denote by \overline{E}_k and \overline{C}_k the (topological) closure in U_k of E_k and C_k , respectively. By virtue of Lemma 2 in [3], we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow t_p(\overline{E}_k/\overline{C}_k) \longrightarrow t_p(U_k/\overline{C}_k t_p(U_k)) \longrightarrow B_k^{(1)} \longrightarrow 0$$

Since the extension degree of k over \mathbb{Q} is prime to p , we have the order of $t_p(\overline{E}_k/\overline{C}_k)$ equals that of A_k , (see [2]). Therefore, by comparing (1.1) with this exact sequence. we obtain

$$|B_k| = |t_p(U_k/\overline{C}_k t_p(U_k))|.$$

This equality is a motivation of presenting this article.

Let m be the order of the residue field of a prime lying above p . Since U_k^{m-1} is a \mathbb{Z}_p -module, we abbreviate $\mathcal{O}U_k^{m-1}$, $\mathcal{O}\overline{E}_k^{m-1}$, $\mathcal{O}\overline{C}_k^{m-1}$ to $\mathcal{O}U_k$, $\mathcal{O}\overline{E}_k$, $\mathcal{O}\overline{C}_k$, respectively. We have the following exact sequences:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow e_{\chi}\mathcal{O}B_k^{(1)} \longrightarrow e_{\chi}\mathcal{O}B_k \longrightarrow e_{\chi}\mathcal{O}A_k \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow e_{\chi}\mathcal{O}\overline{E}_k/\mathcal{O}\overline{C}_k \longrightarrow e_{\chi}\mathcal{O}U_k/(\mathcal{O}\overline{C}_k + \mathcal{O}t_p(U_k)) \longrightarrow e_{\chi}\mathcal{O}B_k^{(1)} \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We observe the central terms in these sequences are of the same order.

Let $L_p(s, \chi)$ denote the p -adic L -function associated with $\chi \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then, we have the following theorem:

THEOREM. *Let \mathfrak{h} be the decomposition group of the place (p) of \mathbb{Q} in k/\mathbb{Q} . For each $\chi \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, we have:*

- (1) $v_p(L_p(1, \chi)) = v_p(|e_{\chi}\mathcal{O}B_k|)$ if $e_{\chi}\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} = 0$.
- (2) $v_p(L_p(1, \chi)) = v_p(|e_{\chi}\mathcal{O}U_k/\mathcal{O}\overline{C}_k|)$.
- (3) $|e_{\chi}\mathcal{O}A_k| = |e_{\chi}\mathcal{O}\overline{E}_k/e_{\chi}\overline{C}_k|$ if $e_{\chi}\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} = 0$.

Note the staement (3) follows from those of (1) and (2), directly.

2. The order of $e_\chi \mathcal{O}B_k$ — the Proof of (1)

Let μ_n be a group of every p^n th root of unity and put $K_n = K(\mu_n)$. Denote by A_n the ideal class group of K_n . Let D_n be a subgroup of A_n generated by ideals dividing the principal ideal (p) . Let \mathbf{T} be the Tate module. Namely a projective limit of finite groups μ_m with respect to canonical maps $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu_m$ for $m < n$. \mathbf{T} affords a character ω of G taking values in \mathbf{Z}_p . Denote by χ^* the reflection $\omega \bar{\chi}$ of χ . We abbreviate $B_{K_n}(p^m)$ to $B_n(p^m)$. In [3], a non-degenerate pairing

$$e_\chi \cdot A_n / A_n^{p^n} D_n \times e_\chi B_n(p^n) \rightarrow \mu_n$$

is defined. Denote by Γ_n the Galois group of K_n/K . By Theorem 10 of [3], we have

$$B_n(p^n)^{\Gamma_n} \cong B_K(p^n).$$

By the definition of p^m -singular numbers, we observe $e_\chi \mathcal{O}B_K(p^n) \cong e_\chi \mathcal{O}B_k(p^n)$ for $\chi \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let γ_n be a generator of Γ_n and κ be a p -adic integer such that $\zeta^{\gamma_n} = \zeta^{\kappa_n}$ for every $\zeta \in \mu_n$. Put $\gamma_n^* = \gamma_n - \kappa_n$.

LEMMA 1. Suppose $B_k^{p^n} = \{1\}$. Then $e_\chi \mathcal{O}B_k$ and $e_{\chi^*} \mathcal{O}A_n / (p^n \mathcal{O}A_n + \gamma_n^* \mathcal{O}A_n)$ are dual to each other relative to the pairing (2.1).

We see every prime of K_n lying above p is totally ramified in K_n/K . Let H be a decomposition group of p in K/\mathbf{Q} . Let \mathbf{Q}_n is the maximal p -extension over \mathbf{Q} in $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_n)$. H is also considered the decomposition group of a prime of K_n lying over p by identifying G with $\text{Gal}(K_n/\mathbf{Q}_n)$. Let $\{\sigma_i | i = 1, \dots, r\}$ be a complete set of representatives of G/H such that $\sigma_1 = 1$. Let I_n is a free Abelian group over the set of primes of K_n lying over p . D_n is the subgroup of A_n generated by I_n . I_n is a $\mathbf{Z}_p G$ -modules by extending \mathbf{Z}_p -linearly of a permutation on the primes induced by each element of G . This module structure yields a $\mathbf{Z}_p G$ -isomorphism between $\mathbf{Z}_p G/H$ and $\mathbf{Z}_p I_n$. Thus we have a surjection $I_n \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p D_n$. This means $e_{\chi^*} \mathcal{O}D_n = 0$ if there is $\sigma \in H$ such that $\chi^*(\sigma) \neq 1$.

We abbreviate U_{K_n} to U_n . Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of K lying above p . Denote by \mathfrak{P} a prime of K_n dividing the enlargement of \mathfrak{p} . Let $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (resp. $U_{\mathfrak{P}}$) be the unit group of the completion of K (resp. K_n) at \mathfrak{p} (resp. \mathfrak{P}). Since U_n is isomorphic to the induced module $\mathbf{Z}G \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}H} U_{\mathfrak{P}}$, $U_1/N_n U_n$ is also the induced module of $U_{\mathfrak{p}}/N_n U_{\mathfrak{P}}$, where N_n is the norm map of $K_{n,\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Note H acts on $U_{\mathfrak{p}}/N_n U_{\mathfrak{P}}$ trivially. Thus $e_{\chi^*} \mathcal{O}U_1/N_n U_n = 0$ if $e_{\chi^*} \mathcal{O}G/H = 0$. Set $w_m = \gamma_n^{p^{m-1}} - 1$ for $m < n$. By arguing similarly as the proof of Lemma 11 in [4], we have an isomorphism:

$$(2.2) \quad e_{\chi^*} \mathcal{O}A_m \cong e_{\chi^*} \mathcal{O}A_n / A_n^{w_m}$$

if $e_{\chi^*} \mathcal{O}G/H = 0$. Let H_∞ be the projective limit with respect to norm maps. Let Γ be the Galois group of $\cup_n K_n$ over K . This group is a projective limit of Γ_n . Denote by γ and κ the limits of γ_n

and κ_n in Γ and \mathbf{Z}_p , respectively. Put $\gamma^* = \gamma - \kappa$.

LEMMA 2. *If $e_{\chi^*} \mathcal{O}G/H = 0$, we have the following isomorphism:*

$$e_{\chi} \mathcal{O}B_k \cong e_{\chi^*} \mathcal{O}H_{\infty} / \gamma^* \mathcal{O}H_{\infty}.$$

Proof. Observe $e_{\chi} \mathcal{O}B_K \cong e_{\chi} \mathcal{O}B_k$. Since $e_{\chi^*} \mathcal{O}D_m = 0$, we have

$$e_{\chi} \mathcal{O}B_k \cong e_{\chi^*} \mathcal{O}A_m / (p^m \mathcal{O}A_m + \gamma^* \mathcal{O}A_m)$$

for sufficiently large integer m . By the isomorphism (2.2), we obtain

$$e_{\chi} \mathcal{O}B_k \cong e_{\chi^*} \mathcal{O}A_n / (p^m \mathcal{O}A_n + w_m \mathcal{O}A_n + \gamma^* \mathcal{O}A_n)$$

for $n > m$. Since the canonical map $\mathcal{O}H_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}A_n$ is surjective, this isomorphism induces the following one:

$$e_{\chi} \mathcal{O}B_k \cong e_{\chi^*} \mathcal{O}H_{\infty} / (p^m \mathcal{O}H_{\infty} + w_m \mathcal{O}H_{\infty} + \gamma^* \mathcal{O}H_{\infty}).$$

By letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the isomorphism to be proved. *q.e.d.*

Recall we suppose $p \nmid g$ and $\chi \neq 1$. We suppose $\chi \in \hat{g}$ additionally. Let q be the least common multiple of p and the conductor of χ . Select γ so that $\kappa = 1 + q$. Let $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}[[T]]$ be the ring of formal power series on indeterminant T . The action of Λ on $\mathcal{O}H_{\infty}$ defined by $Tx = (\gamma - 1)x$ makes this module a compact Λ -module. Since K is Abelian over \mathbf{Q} , we have an elementary Λ -module E_{χ^*} where $e_{\chi^*} \mathcal{O}H_{\infty}$ injects with finite cokernel. The characteristic polynomial h of $e_{\chi^*} \mathcal{O}H_{\infty}$ is a product of distinguished polynomials h_i such that E_{χ^*} is isomorphic to $\prod \Lambda/h_i$. Then, by virtue of the main theorem of Iwasawa theory (see [1]), there is $u \in \Lambda^{\times}$ such that

$$L_p(s, \chi) = h((1+q)^s - 1)u((1+q)^s - 1).$$

Substituting 1 for s , we have

$$(2.3) \quad h(\kappa - 1) = L_p(1, \chi)u(\kappa - 1)^{-1}.$$

Note $h(\kappa - 1) \neq 0$ follows from (2.2). Let π be an element of Λ being prime to h . By virtue of Lemma 7 and 8 in [4], we have

$$\begin{aligned} |e_{\chi^*} H_{\infty} / \pi e_{\chi^*} H_{\infty}| &= |E_{\chi^*} / \pi E_{\chi^*}| \\ &= |\Lambda / (h, \pi)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $h(\kappa - 1) \neq 0$ implies h is prime to $T + 1 - \kappa$, we set $\pi = T + 1 - \kappa$. We have $\Lambda / (h, \pi) \cong \mathcal{O} / h(\kappa - 1)\mathcal{O}$. By Lemma 2 and (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v_p(|e_{\chi} \mathcal{O}B_k|) &= v_p(|e_{\chi^*} \mathcal{O}H_{\infty} / \pi e_{\chi^*} \mathcal{O}H_{\infty}|) \\ &= v_p(h(\kappa - 1)) \\ &= v_p(L_p(1, \chi)). \end{aligned}$$

The statement (1) of the theorem follows.

3. Computation of the order of $\mathcal{O}U_k/\mathcal{O}\bar{C}_k$ — the proof of (2)

Let e be the ramification index of p in k/\mathbb{Q} and s be the number of primes of k lying above p . We have a decomposition of ideals:

$$(p) = (\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s)^e.$$

Note $e \mid p-1$ and $e < p-1$. Let $\iota_i : k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ be an embedding which determines \mathfrak{p}_i . Let $\tilde{k}^{(i)}$ be the completion of k at \mathfrak{p}_i . $\tilde{k}^{(i)}$ is the composite of $\text{Im } \iota_i$ and \mathbb{Q}_p . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{(i)}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)}$ be the valuation ring of $\tilde{k}^{(i)}$ and its maximal ideal. We abbreviate $\tilde{k}^{(1)}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}$ to \tilde{k} , $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$, respectively. Since $\tilde{k} = \tilde{k}^{(i)}$, we see $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{(i)}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)}$. We embed k into the s -ply product $\tilde{k}^s = \tilde{k} \times \cdots \times \tilde{k}$ by $\iota = \iota_1 \times \cdots \times \iota_s$. This embedding gives an isomorphism $U_k \cong \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^\times \times \cdots \times \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^\times$. We select each ι_i once for all and consider this embedding and isomorphism canonical. We abuse notation and denote by U_k the \mathbb{Z}_p -module $(1 + \tilde{\mathfrak{p}})^s$. Put $V_k = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^s = \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \times \cdots \times \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$.

Let $\log_p x$ be the p -adic log function defined on \mathbb{C}_p . $x \rightarrow \log_p x$ induces a surjection

$$1 + \tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$$

whose kernel is $t_p(1 + \tilde{\mathfrak{p}})$. Hence, $(x_1, \dots, x_s) \rightarrow (\log_p x_1, \dots, \log_p x_s)$ defines a \mathbb{Z}_p -homomorphism into V_k . Denote by the symbol Log_p this homomorphism, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow t_p(U_k) \longrightarrow U_k \xrightarrow{\text{Log}_p} V_k.$$

Let α be an integer of k which generates a principal ideal

$$(\alpha) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s \alpha, \quad (\alpha, p) = 1.$$

αV_k is the image of Log_p : $\alpha V_k = \text{Log}_p(U_k)$.

Let \mathcal{O}_k be the ring of integers of k and $\mathcal{O}_{k,p}$ be the localization with respect to a multiplicatively closed subset $\{x \in \mathcal{O}^\times \mid (p, x) = 1\}$. An isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} k \cong \prod \tilde{k}^{(i)}$ induces

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathcal{O}_k \cong \prod \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{(i)} = V_k,$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is the localization of \mathbb{Z} at p . Since $\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathcal{O}_{k,p}$ is surjective and since the \mathbb{Z}_p -ranks of both modules are equal, this surjection is isomorphic. We identify these two modules. Let \mathfrak{h} be the decomposition group of \mathfrak{p}_1 in k/\mathbb{Q} . Since V_k is an induced module of $\mathbb{Z}_p \mathfrak{h}$ -module $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_k &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \iota} \mathcal{O} V_k = \text{Ind } \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \\ &= \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Ind } \tilde{\mathcal{O}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let ξ be a root of unity such that $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}_p[\xi]$. Let $g \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of ξ . Suppose g is decomposed into a product of irreducible polynomials g_i , $i = 1, \dots, r$ in $\tilde{k}[X]$. Let ξ_i be a root of an algebraic equation $g_i = 0$ and suppose $\xi_1 = \xi$. We have

$$\mathcal{O}V_k = \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{O}}[X]/g \cong \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\mathcal{O}}[\xi_i].$$

Denote by pr the composite of these isomorphisms and the projection of the direct product onto the first factor $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}[\xi]$.

Let f be the conductor of χ and ζ be a primitive f -th root of unity. Let k_χ be the intermediate field of k/\mathbb{Q} corresponding to $\text{Ker } \chi$ and \mathcal{O}_χ be the ring of integers of k_χ . Let Tr_χ be the trace map of k/k_χ . Since p is prime to $[k : k_\chi]$, we have

$$e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\chi = e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes \text{Tr}_\chi \mathcal{O}_k = e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O}_k.$$

Hence we suppose $k = k_\chi$. Denote by \mathcal{O}_f the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ and Tr be the trace map with respect to $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/k_\chi$.

LEMMA 3. *Suppose $k = k_\chi$. Let $\tau(\bar{\chi})$ be the Gauss sum of $\bar{\chi}$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O}_k &\cong e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes \text{Tr} \mathcal{O}_f, \\ e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O}_k &\cong \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \tau(\bar{\chi}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let \mathfrak{P}_1 be an extension of \mathfrak{p}_1 onto $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. The completion of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ there is $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)$. Let $\tilde{\text{Tr}}$ be the trace map from $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)$ into \tilde{k} . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_f$ be the valuation ring of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)$. We have

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes \text{Tr} \mathcal{O}_f \cong \left(\tilde{\text{Tr}} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_f \right)^{e_\chi} = \tilde{\text{Tr}} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_f \times \dots \times \tilde{\text{Tr}} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_f.$$

Let \tilde{k}_f be the inertia field in $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)/\tilde{k}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_f$ be the valuation ring. Denote by Tr_1 (resp. Tr_2) the trace of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)/\tilde{k}_f$ (resp. \tilde{k}_f/\tilde{k}). Note $\tilde{\text{Tr}} = \text{Tr}_2 \circ \text{Tr}_1$. We see $\text{Tr}_1(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_f) = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$. The assumption $p^2 \nmid f$ implies p does not divide the ramification index, we have $\text{Tr}_1 \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_f \supset \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_f$. However, since every element of $\text{Tr}_1 \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_f$ is integral over \mathbb{Z}_p , we have the converse inclusion. Therefore, we obtain

$$\tilde{\text{Tr}}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_f) = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}.$$

This proves $\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes \text{Tr} \mathcal{O}_f \cong V_k$. Consequently,

$$e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes V_k \cong e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes \text{Tr} \mathcal{O}_f.$$

Since $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O}_k \cong \mathcal{O} \otimes V_k$, we have the first isomorphism.

We define $\chi(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(t, f) = 1$ to be $\chi(t) = \chi(\sigma_t)$, where σ_t is restriction of an automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ sending ζ onto ζ^t . $e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes \text{Tr} \mathcal{O}_f$ is generated by $\{e_\chi 1 \otimes \text{Tr}(\zeta^t) | t = 0, \dots, f-1\}$,

where

$$e_\chi 1 \otimes \text{Tr} \zeta^t = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (t, f) \neq 1, \\ \chi(t) \frac{1}{f} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{g}} \bar{\chi}(\sigma) \otimes \text{Tr} \zeta^\sigma & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O}_k$ is a free \mathcal{O} -module of rank 1, it must be generated by $\sum \bar{\chi}(\sigma) \otimes \text{Tr} \zeta^\sigma$. Simultaneously, the image of $e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O}_k$ with pr is a free \mathcal{O} -module whose rank is 1 or 0. Let N_f be the norm map from $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta)$ to k . We have

$$pr \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{g}} \bar{\chi}(\sigma) \otimes N_f \zeta^\sigma \right) = \tau(\bar{\chi}) \neq 0.$$

Hence $e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O}_k \cong \mathcal{O} \tau(\bar{\chi})$. *q.e.d.*

LEMMA 4. Suppose $k = k_\chi$. We have

$$e_\chi \mathcal{O} \alpha V_k = \begin{cases} pe_\chi \mathcal{O} V_k & \text{if } \chi(p) \neq 0 \\ e_\chi \mathcal{O} V_k & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $\chi(p) \neq 0$, the prime ideal (p) is not ramified in k . Hence we are able to choose $\alpha = p$. Assume $\chi(p) = 0$. Let F be the residue field of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$. We have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes \alpha V_k \longrightarrow e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes V_k \longrightarrow e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes F^s \longrightarrow 0.$$

Recall \mathfrak{h} is the inertia group of p in k/\mathbf{Q} . This group acts on F trivially. F^s in the right term of the above sequence is an induced module of F :

$$F^s \cong \mathbf{Z}_p \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p \mathfrak{h}} F.$$

$\chi(p) = 0$ means χ is not trivial on the inertia group. Hence

$$e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p \mathfrak{h}} F = 0.$$

We have $e_\chi \mathcal{O} \alpha V_k = e_\chi \mathcal{O} V_k$ from the above exact sequence. *q.e.d.*

LEMMA 5. Suppose $k = k_\chi$ and set $\eta(\bar{\chi}) = \sum_{a=1}^{f-1} \bar{\chi}(a) \log_p(1 - \zeta^a)$. Then

$$pr \circ \text{Log}_p(e_\chi \mathcal{O} \bar{C}_k) = \mathcal{O} \eta(\bar{\chi}).$$

Proof. Let D_k be a subgroup of k^\times generated by $d_n = N_n(1 - \zeta_n)$ for $n \geq 3$, where ζ_n is a primitive n -th root of unity and N_n is the norm map from $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_n)$ to k . Recall $C_k = D_k \cap E_k$. Let D be a subgroup of $\mathcal{O} \otimes D_k$ generated by d_f as a $\mathcal{O} \mathfrak{g}$ -module. Suppose $(n, f) < f$. Since there is

$\sigma \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\sigma d_n = d_n$, we have $e_X 1 \otimes d_n = 0$. When $f|n$ and $n > f$, we have $e_X 1 \otimes d_n \in e_X D$. Hence $e_X D = e_X \mathcal{O} \otimes D_k$ is generated by $e_X 1 \otimes d_f$.

When f is not a power of a prime, we have $e_X \mathcal{O} \otimes C_k = e_X \mathcal{O} \otimes D_k$. Suppose f is a power of prime: $f = q^e$. We have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow e_X \mathcal{O} \otimes C_k \longrightarrow e_X \mathcal{O} \otimes D_k \longrightarrow e_X \mathcal{O} \otimes I_q$$

where I_q is the subgroup of the ideal group of k generated by ideals dividing q . Since q is totally ramified in k/\mathbb{Q} , \mathfrak{g} acts on I_q trivially, and hence $e_X \mathcal{O} \otimes I_q = 0$. We have $e_X \mathcal{O} \otimes C_k = \mathcal{O} \otimes D_k$.

Since $\mathcal{O} \otimes C_k \cong \mathcal{O} \otimes \overline{C}_k$, we have $e_X \mathcal{O} \overline{C}_k$ is generated by $e_X 1 \otimes d_f$. We compute $pr \circ \text{Log}_p(e_X 1 \otimes d_f)$:

$$\begin{aligned} pr \circ \text{Log}_p(e_X 1 \otimes d_f) &= pr \left(\left(\frac{1}{g} \sum_{\sigma} \bar{\chi}(\sigma) \otimes \log_p(\iota_i(N_f(1 - \zeta))) \right)_{1 \leq i \leq s} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{g} \sum_{\sigma} \bar{\chi}(\sigma) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq b < f \\ \chi(b)=1}} \log_p(1 - \zeta^b) \\ &= \frac{1}{g} \eta(\bar{\chi}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta(\bar{\chi}) \neq 0$ and $e_X \mathcal{O} \overline{C}_k$ is a free \mathcal{O} -module of rank 1, we have $e_X \mathcal{O} \overline{C}_k \cong \mathcal{O} \eta(\bar{\chi})$. *q.e.d.*

Now, we shall prove the statement (2) of the theorem. Set $\beta = p$ when $\chi(p) \neq 0$ and $\beta = \alpha$ in otherwise. By Lemma 3, 4 and 5, we have isomorphisms

$$\frac{e_X \mathcal{O} U_k}{e_X \mathcal{O} C_k} \cong \frac{e_X \text{Log}_p(\mathcal{O} U_k)}{e_X \text{Log}_p(\mathcal{O} C_k)} \cong \frac{\beta \mathcal{O} \tau(\bar{\chi})}{\mathcal{O} \eta(\bar{\chi})}.$$

Since $\tau(\chi) \tau(\bar{\chi}) = \chi(-1) f$, we have

$$[\beta \mathcal{O} \tau(\bar{\chi}) : \mathcal{O} \eta(\bar{\chi})] = [\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{O} \eta(\bar{\chi}) \tau(\chi) f^{-1} \beta^{-1}].$$

Since

$$L_p(1, \chi) = \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p} \right) \frac{\tau(\chi)}{f} \sum_{a=1}^{f-1} \log_p(1 - \zeta^a),$$

the above equality proves (2).

References

- [1] B. Mazur and A. Wiles; *Class field of abelian extensions of \mathbb{Q}* . Invent. math., 76(1984), 179-320
- [2] W. Sinnott; *On the Stickelberger ideal and circular units of an abelian field*. Invent math., 63(1980), 181-234

- [3] H. Yamashita; *A note on some properties of p^m -singular numbers of algebraic number fields.*
J. Kanazawa Women's Univ., 7(1993),13-25
- [4] _____; *A note on Stickelberger ideals of abelian fields.* Bull. Kanazawa Women's
College, 35(1993),85-95