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## HARMONIC ANALYSIS WITH PROOFS

SHUICHI SATO

## 1. Introduction

We review some basic, classical results in harmonic analysis. Proofs will be given for the results in detail.

We focus on results related to trigonometric series. In this note $\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the set of integers and $\mathbb{N}$ stands for the set of positive integers.
2. Some definitions and formulae for trigonometric functions

Definition 2.1. The Dirichlet kernels $D_{n}(x), n \geq 0$, are defined as

$$
D_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{2}+\cos x+\cos 2 x+\cdots+\cos n x, \quad n \geq 1 ; \quad D_{0}(x)=\frac{1}{2} .
$$

Definition 2.2. We define the conjugate Dirichlet kernels $\widetilde{D}_{n}(x), n \geq 0$, as

$$
\widetilde{D}_{n}(x)=\sin x+\sin 2 x+\cdots+\sin n x, \quad n \geq 1 ; \quad \widetilde{D}_{0}(x)=0 .
$$

Definition 2.3. The Fejér kernels $K_{n}(x), n \geq 0$, are defined as

$$
K_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} D_{\nu}(x) .
$$

Definition 2.4. We define the conjugate Fejér kernels $\widetilde{K}_{n}(x), n \geq 0$, as

$$
\widetilde{K}_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \widetilde{D}_{\nu}(x) .
$$

Theorem 2.5. Let $n \geq 1$. We have

$$
\frac{1}{2} a_{0}+\sum_{m=1}^{n} a_{m} \cos m x=\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} D_{\nu}(x) \Delta a_{\nu}+a_{n} D_{n}(x)
$$

where $\Delta a_{\nu}=a_{\nu}-a_{\nu+1}$ for $\nu \geq 0$.
Theorem 2.6. Let $n \geq 2$. We see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} D_{\nu}(x) \Delta a_{\nu}=\sum_{m=0}^{n-2}(m+1) K_{m}(x) \Delta^{2} a_{m}+n K_{n-1}(x) \Delta a_{n-1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $\Delta^{2} a_{m}=\Delta\left(\Delta a_{m}\right)=\Delta a_{m}-\Delta a_{m+1}=a_{m}-a_{m+1}-\left(a_{m+1}-a_{m+2}\right)=$ $a_{m}-2 a_{m+1}+a_{m+2}$;
(2.2) $\frac{1}{2} a_{0}+\sum_{m=1}^{n} a_{m} \cos m x$
$$
=\sum_{m=0}^{n-2}(m+1) K_{m}(x) \Delta^{2} a_{m}+n K_{n-1}(x) \Delta a_{n-1}+a_{n} D_{n}(x)
$$

Theorem 2.7. Let $n \geq 2$. We have

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{n} b_{m} \sin m x=\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \widetilde{D}_{\nu}(x) \Delta b_{\nu}+b_{n} \widetilde{D}_{n}(x)
$$

Theorem 2.8. Let $n \geq 3$. We see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \widetilde{D}_{\nu}(x) \Delta b_{\nu}=\sum_{m=1}^{n-2}(m+1) \widetilde{K}_{m}(x) \Delta^{2} b_{m}+n \widetilde{K}_{n-1}(x) \Delta b_{n-1}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \sum_{m=1}^{n} b_{m} \sin m x
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Applying summation by parts arguments (see [3, Theorem 3.41, p.70]), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} a_{0}+\sum_{m=1}^{n} a_{m} \cos m x & =\frac{1}{2} a_{0}+\sum_{m=1}^{n} a_{m}\left(D_{m}(x)-D_{m-1}(x)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} a_{0}+\sum_{m=1}^{n} a_{m} D_{m}(x)-\sum_{m=1}^{n} a_{m} D_{m-1}(x) \\
& =\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} a_{\nu} D_{\nu}(x)+a_{n} D_{n}(x)-\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} a_{\nu+1} D_{\nu}(x) \\
& =\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} D_{\nu}(x) \Delta a_{\nu}+a_{n} D_{n}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Proof of (2.1). We note that $D_{\nu}=(\nu+1) K_{\nu}-\nu K_{\nu-1}$ for $\nu \geq 1$, and $D_{0}=K_{0}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} D_{\nu}(x) \Delta a_{\nu}=K_{0} \Delta a_{0}+\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1}(\nu+1) K_{\nu} \Delta a_{\nu}-\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \nu K_{\nu-1} \Delta a_{\nu} \\
& =K_{0} \Delta a_{0}+\sum_{m=1}^{n-2}(m+1) K_{m} \Delta a_{m}+n K_{n-1}(x) \Delta a_{n-1}-\sum_{m=0}^{n-2}(m+1) K_{m} \Delta a_{m+1} \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{n-2}(m+1) K_{m}(x) \Delta^{2} a_{m}+n K_{n-1}(x) \Delta a_{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (2.1). The formula (2.2) follows from Theorem 2.5 and (2.1).
Proof of Theorem 2.7. We note that $\sin m x=\widetilde{D}_{m}(x)-\widetilde{D}_{m-1}(x)$ for $m \geq 1$ and $\widetilde{D}_{0}(x)=0$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=1}^{n} b_{m} \sin m x & =\sum_{m=1}^{n} b_{m}\left(\widetilde{D}_{m}(x)-\widetilde{D}_{m-1}(x)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} b_{\nu} \widetilde{D}_{\nu}(x)-\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} b_{\nu+1} \widetilde{D}_{\nu}(x) \\
& =\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \widetilde{D}_{\nu}(x) \Delta b_{\nu}+b_{n} \widetilde{D}_{n}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.8. Proof of (2.3). We note that $\widetilde{D}_{\nu}=(\nu+1) \widetilde{K}_{\nu}-\nu \widetilde{K}_{\nu-1}$ for $\nu \geq 1$, and $\widetilde{D}_{0}=\widetilde{K}_{0}=0$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \widetilde{D}_{\nu}(x) \Delta b_{\nu}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1}(\nu+1) \widetilde{K}_{\nu} \Delta b_{\nu}-\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \nu \widetilde{K}_{\nu-1} \Delta b_{\nu} \\
& =\sum_{m=1}^{n-2}(m+1) \widetilde{K}_{m} \Delta b_{m}+n \widetilde{K}_{n-1}(x) \Delta b_{n-1}-\sum_{m=1}^{n-2}(m+1) \widetilde{K}_{m} \Delta b_{m+1} \\
& =\sum_{m=1}^{n-2}(m+1) \widetilde{K}_{m}(x) \Delta^{2} b_{m}+n \widetilde{K}_{n-1}(x) \Delta b_{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of (2.3). The equation (2.4) follows from Theorem 2.7 and (2.3).

For the Dirichlet kernels and the conjugate Dirichlet kernels, we have the following formulae.

Theorem 2.9 (Zygmund [5, p. 2]). Let $n \geq 0$. We have

$$
D_{n}(x)=\frac{\sin \left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) x}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2} x}
$$

Proof. The proof is needed only for the case $n \geq 1$. We express $2 \sin \frac{1}{2} x D_{n}(x)$ by a telescoping series and see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin \frac{1}{2} x+\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} 2 \sin \frac{1}{2} x \cos \nu x & =\sin \frac{1}{2} x+\sum_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(\sin \left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right) x-\sin \left(\nu-\frac{1}{2}\right) x\right) \\
& =\sin \left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) x
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the conclusion.
Theorem 2.10 (Zygmund [5, p. 2]). Let $n \geq 0$. We have

$$
\widetilde{D}_{n}(x)=\frac{\cos \frac{1}{2} x-\cos \left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) x}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2} x} .
$$

Proof. We may assume that $n \geq 1$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.9, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} 2 \sin \frac{1}{2} x \sin \nu x & =\sum_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(\cos \left(\nu-\frac{1}{2}\right) x-\cos \left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right) x\right) \\
& =\cos \frac{1}{2} x-\cos \left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) x
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we deduce the conclusion.
Applying Theorem 2.9, we have the following.
Theorem 2.11 (Zygmund [5, p. 88]). For $n \geq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{n}(t) & =\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} D_{\nu}(t)=\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \frac{\sin \left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right) t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2} t} \\
& =\frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1-\cos (n+1) t}{\left(2 \sin \frac{1}{2} t\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{2}{n+1}\left\{\frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(n+1) t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2} t}\right\}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The second equality follows from Theorem 2.9. We note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} 2 \sin \frac{1}{2} t \sin \left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right) t & =\sum_{\nu=0}^{n}(\cos \nu t-\cos (\nu+1) t) \\
& =1-\cos (n+1) t
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the third equality. The last equality follows by the formula $1-\cos \theta=$ $2 \sin ^{2}(\theta / 2)$.

Using Theorem 2.10, we have the following.
Theorem 2.12 (Zygmund [5, p. 91]). Let $n \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{K}_{n}(t) & =\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \widetilde{D}_{\nu}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2} t-\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \frac{\cos \left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right) t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2} t} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2} t-\frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\sin (n+1) t}{\left(2 \sin \frac{1}{2} t\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The second equality follows from Theorem 2.10. We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} 2 \sin \frac{1}{2} t \cos \left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right) t & =\sum_{\nu=0}^{n}(\sin (\nu+1) t-\sin \nu t) \\
& =\sin (n+1) t
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the last equality.
3. Special trigomometric series with decreasing positive coefficients

For results in this section we refer to [2, III].
We consider the series of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} e^{i n \theta} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{n}>0, \lambda_{n} \geq \lambda_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 3.1. Let the series $\sum \lambda_{n} e^{i n \theta}$ be as in (3.1). We further assume that $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then the series is uniformly convergent in any subset $I$ of $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(I, 2 \pi \mathbb{Z})>0$, where $2 \pi \mathbb{Z}=\{2 k \pi: k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\operatorname{dist}(E, F)=$ $\inf _{x \in E, y \in F}|x-y|$ for $E, F \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Corollary 3.2. Let $I$ be a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ as in Theorem 3.1. Then each of the two series

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin n \theta}{n}, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos n \theta}{n}
$$

converges uniformly on $I$.
This follows from Theorem 3.1 with $\lambda_{n}=1 / n$.
To prove Theorem 3.1 we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 3.3. Let $0 \leq p \leq q, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \backslash 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
\left|\sum_{n=p}^{q} e^{i n \theta}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right|}
$$

Lemma 3.4. Let $0 \leq p \leq q, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda_{n}>0, \lambda_{n} \geq \lambda_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
\left|\sum_{n=p}^{q} \lambda_{n} e^{i n \theta}\right| \leq \frac{\lambda_{p}}{\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right|},
$$

for $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \backslash 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof of Lemma 3.3. Summing up a geometric series, we have

$$
\sum_{n=p}^{q} e^{i n \theta}=e^{i p \theta} \sum_{n=0}^{q-p} e^{i n \theta}=e^{i p \theta} \frac{1-e^{i(q-p+1) \theta}}{1-e^{i \theta}}
$$

We note that

$$
\left|1-e^{i \theta}\right|^{2}=(1-\cos \theta)^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta=2(1-\cos \theta)=4 \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}
$$

Thus

$$
\left|\sum_{n=p}^{q} e^{i n \theta}\right| \leq \frac{2}{\left|1-e^{i \theta}\right|}=\frac{1}{\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right|}
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Let

$$
U_{m}=\sum_{n=p}^{m} e^{i n \theta}
$$

Then by Lemma 3.3, $\left|U_{m}\right| \leq 1 /|\sin (\theta / 2)|$. Applying summation by parts, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=p}^{q} \lambda_{n} e^{i n \theta} & =\lambda_{p} U_{p}+\lambda_{p+1}\left(U_{p+1}-U_{p}\right)+\cdots+\lambda_{q}\left(U_{q}-U_{q-1}\right) \\
& =U_{p}\left(\lambda_{p}-\lambda_{p+1}\right)+U_{p+1}\left(\lambda_{p+1}-\lambda_{p+2}\right)+\cdots+U_{q-1}\left(\lambda_{q-1}-\lambda_{q}\right)+\lambda_{q} U_{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, using $\left|U_{m}\right| \leq 1 /|\sin (\theta / 2)|$ and $\lambda_{m} \geq \lambda_{m+1} \geq 0$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{n=p}^{q} \lambda_{n} e^{i n \theta}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right|}\left(\left(\lambda_{p}-\lambda_{p+1}\right)+\left(\lambda_{p+1}-\lambda_{p+2}\right)+\cdots+\left(\lambda_{q-1}-\lambda_{q}\right)+\lambda_{q}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right|} \lambda_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $\delta=\operatorname{dist}(I, 2 \pi \mathbb{Z})$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\theta \in I} \frac{1}{\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right|} \leq \pi \delta^{-1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $\epsilon>0$, there exists $p_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda_{p_{0}} \pi \delta^{-1}<\epsilon$. Thus, if $p_{0} \leq p \leq q$ and $\theta \in I$, by Lemma 3.4 and (3.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{n=p}^{q} \lambda_{n} e^{i n \theta}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right|} \lambda_{p} \\
& \leq \lambda_{p} \pi \delta^{-1} \leq \lambda_{p_{0}} \pi \delta^{-1}<\epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the series $\sum \lambda_{n} e^{i n \theta}$ is uniformly convergent on $I$ by the Cauchy criterion.

Theorem 3.5. Suppose that $\lambda_{n}>0, \lambda_{n} \geq \lambda_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $n \lambda_{n} \leq C_{0}$ for all $n \geq 1$ with a constant $C_{0}$. Then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta$ is boundedly convergent on $\mathbb{R}$.
Corollary 3.6. The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \sin n \theta$ is boundedly convergent on $\mathbb{R}$.
Proof of Theorem 3.5. Let $U_{N}(\theta)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta$. We show that $\left|U_{N}(\theta)\right| \leq C$ for $\theta \in(0, \pi)$ with a constant $C$ independent of $\theta$ and $N$. This implies that the same holds for $-\pi<\theta<0$ by the oddness of the function $U_{N}$. Also, we have $U_{N}(-\pi)=U_{N}(0)=U_{N}(\pi)=0$. It follows that $\left|U_{N}(\theta)\right| \leq C$ for $\theta \in[-\pi, \pi]$. Thus the inequality is true for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ by the $2 \pi$ periodicity of $U_{N}$, which is what we need.

We split $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta$ into two pieces:

$$
U_{N}(\theta)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta=\sum_{n=1}^{M} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta+\sum_{n=M+1}^{N} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta=S_{1}+S_{2}, \quad \text { say. }
$$

By Lemma 3.4, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{2}\right| \leq\left|\sum_{n=M+1}^{N} \lambda_{n} e^{i n \theta}\right| \leq \frac{\lambda_{M+1}}{\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right|} \leq \frac{C_{0}}{M+1} \frac{1}{\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right|} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $|\sin n \theta| \leq n|\theta|$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{1}\right|=\left|\sum_{n=1}^{M} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta\right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{M} \lambda_{n} n \theta \leq C_{0} M \theta \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we choose $M$ so that $\theta^{-1} \leq M<\theta^{-1}+1$, then by (3.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{1}\right| \leq C_{0}\left(\theta^{-1}+1\right) \theta=C_{0}(1+\theta) \leq C_{0}(1+\pi) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, since $\sin x \geq(2 / \pi) x(0<x \leq \pi / 2)$, by (3.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{2}\right| \leq C_{0} \frac{1}{M+1} \frac{\pi}{\theta} \leq C_{0} \frac{1}{M+1} M \pi \leq C_{0} \pi \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.5) and (3.6), we have $\left|U_{N}(\theta)\right| \leq C_{0}(1+2 \pi)$, which completes the proof.

Let $\lambda_{n}>0, \mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \geq \lambda_{n+1}, n \geq 0, \lambda_{n} \rightarrow 0$. We consider

$$
\text { (C) } \quad f(\theta)=\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \cos n \theta, \quad(S) \quad g(\theta)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta \text {. }
$$

By Theorem 3.1, each of the two series is uniformly convergent on any compact subset of $[-\pi, \pi] \backslash\{0\}$ and so $f, g$ are continuous on $[-\pi, \pi] \backslash\{0\}$.
Theorem 3.7. Suppose that $f \in L^{1}([-\pi, \pi])$. Then the series in $(C)$ is the Fourier series of $f$. Also, if $g \in L^{1}([-\pi, \pi])$, then the series in $(S)$ is the Fourier series of $g$.

Proof. Suppose that $g \in L^{1}([-\pi, \pi])$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We note that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta \sin m \theta$ converges uniformly on $[-\pi, \pi]$. This can be seen through the Cauchy criterion by using Lemma 3.4 as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{n=p}^{q} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta \sin m \theta\right| & \leq \frac{\lambda_{p}}{\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right|}|\sin m \theta| \\
& \leq \lambda_{p} \frac{m|\theta|}{(2 / \pi)|(1 / 2) \theta|}=\lambda_{p} m \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second inequality follows from the inequalities $|\sin x| \leq|x|$ and $|\sin y| \geq$ $(2 / \pi)|y|(|y| \leq \pi / 2)$. Thus we can apply term by term integration and get

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) \sin m \theta d \theta=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin n \theta \sin m \theta d \theta=\lambda_{m}
$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This is what we have claimed for $(S)$.
Next, let $f \in L^{1}([-\pi, \pi])$. As in the case of $(S)$, the series

$$
\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{0}(1-\cos m \theta)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \cos n \theta(1-\cos m \theta)
$$

converges uniformly on $[-\pi, \pi]$, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{n=p}^{q} \lambda_{n} \cos n \theta(1-\cos m \theta)\right| & \leq \frac{\lambda_{p}}{\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right|}|(1-\cos m \theta)| \\
& \leq \lambda_{p} \frac{(1 / 2)(m \theta)^{2}}{(2 / \pi)|(1 / 2) \theta|}=\lambda_{p} m^{2} \pi|\theta| / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

for $m \geq 1$, where for the second inequality the estimate $|1-\cos x| \leq(1 / 2) x^{2}$ is also used. Thus, integrating term by term, for $m \geq 1$ we have
(3.7) $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta)(1-\cos m \theta) d \theta=\lambda_{0}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos n \theta \cos m \theta d \theta=\lambda_{0}-\lambda_{m}$.

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ and using the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma (see [4, p.103]) and our assumption that $\lambda_{m} \rightarrow 0$, we have $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) d \theta=\lambda_{0}$. Using this in (3.7), we have $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) \cos m \theta d \theta=\lambda_{m}$ for all $m \geq 1$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.8. Let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ be as in the definition of the series $(C)$ and ( $S$ ). Set

$$
\Lambda=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n}}{n}
$$

(1) If $\Lambda<\infty$, then $f, g \in L^{1}([-\pi, \pi])$ and the series in $(C)$ and $(S)$ are Fourier series of $f$ and $g$, respectively.
(2) If $g \in L^{1}([-\pi, \pi])$ and the series in $(S)$ is the Fourier series of $g$, then $\Lambda<\infty$.

Proof. Proof of part (1). Let $\Lambda_{k}=\sum_{n=1}^{k} \lambda_{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{k}}{k(k+1)} & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \lambda_{n} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \\
& =\Lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $f$ and $g$ be as in $(C)$ and $(S)$ and define $h=f+i g$. Let $k$ satisfy $\pi /(k+1) \leq$ $\theta<\pi / k$, for $\theta \in(0, \pi)$. We write

$$
h=\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} e^{i n \theta}=\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \lambda_{n} e^{i n \theta}+\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} e^{i n \theta}
$$

and by Lemma 3.4 we see that

$$
|h| \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \lambda_{n}+\frac{\lambda_{k}}{\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta} \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{0}+\Lambda_{k}+\frac{\pi \lambda_{k}}{\theta} \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{0}+\Lambda_{k}+(k+1) \lambda_{k} .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\pi}|h(\theta)| d \theta & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\pi /(k+1)}^{\pi / k}|h(\theta)| d \theta \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{0}+\Lambda_{k}\right) \frac{\pi}{k(k+1)}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\pi \lambda_{k}}{k} \\
& =\pi\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{0}+2 \Lambda\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if $\Lambda<\infty$, then $f, g \in L^{1}([-\pi, \pi])$. Therefore, Theorem 3.7 implies part (1).
Proof of part (2). Suppose that $g \in L^{1}([-\pi, \pi])$ and $\lambda_{m}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) \sin m \theta d \theta$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{\lambda_{m}}{m}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{\sin m \theta}{m} d \theta . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin m \theta}{m}$ converges boundedly by Theorem 3.5, letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.8), we have

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{m}}{m}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin m \theta}{m} d \theta<\infty
$$

Here we recall some results on numerical series.
Lemma 3.9. Let $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of complex numbers. Let $\Delta v_{n}=v_{n}-v_{n+1}$ and $\Delta^{2} v_{n}=\Delta\left(\Delta v_{n}\right), n \geq 0$.
(1) If $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta v_{n}=v_{0}$.
(2) If $n v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and either $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_{n}$ or $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1) \Delta v_{n}$ is convergent, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1) \Delta v_{n}$.
(3) If $v_{n} \geq v_{n+1}, v_{n} \geq 0$ for $n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_{n}<\infty$, then $n v_{n} \rightarrow 0$.
(4) If $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is convex, which means that $\Delta^{2} v_{n} \geq 0$ for $n \geq 0$, then $\Delta v_{n} \geq 0, n \geq 0, n \Delta v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1) \Delta^{2} v_{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta v_{n}=v_{0} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Proof of part (1). We see that $\sum_{n=0}^{N} \Delta v_{n}=v_{0}-v_{N+1}$. So letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, we get the conclusion.

Proof of part (2). We note that

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{N}(n+1) \Delta v_{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{N}(n+1) v_{n}-\sum_{n=1}^{N+1} n v_{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{N} v_{n}-(N+1) v_{N+1}
$$

which implies the claim.
Proof of part (3). We have

$$
\sum_{k=[n / 2]}^{n} v_{k} \geq(n-[n / 2]+1) v_{n} \geq((n / 2)+1) v_{n} \geq(n / 2) v_{n} \geq 0
$$

It follows that $n v_{n} \rightarrow 0$.
Proof of part (4). Since $\left\{\Delta v_{n}\right\}$ is decreasing and converges to 0 , we have $\Delta v_{n} \geq 0$. Since $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$, by part (1) $\sum \Delta v_{n}$ is convergent. Thus by part (3) we see that $n \Delta v_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Therefore we can apply part (2) and have the first equality of (3.9); the second equality follows from part (1).

Theorem 3.10. Suppose that $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is convex. Then $f$ in $(C)$ is non-negative and integrable; further the series in $(C)$ is the Fourier series of $f$.
Proof. By (2.2) of Theorem 2.6, Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 2.11 we have for $0<$ $\theta<\pi$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{0}+\sum_{m=1}^{n} \lambda_{m} \cos m \theta \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{n-2}(m+1) K_{m}(\theta) \Delta^{2} \lambda_{m}+n K_{n-1}(\theta) \Delta \lambda_{n-1}+\lambda_{n} D_{n}(\theta) \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \sin ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \theta}\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2}(1-\cos (\nu+1) \theta) \Delta^{2} \lambda_{\nu}+(1-\cos n \theta) \Delta \lambda_{n-1}\right)+\lambda_{n} \frac{\sin \left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \theta}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\Delta \lambda_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
f(\theta)=\frac{1}{4 \sin ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \theta} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}(1-\cos (\nu+1) \theta) \Delta^{2} \lambda_{\nu}
$$

Obviously, $f(\theta) \geq 0$ and by $\int_{0}^{\pi} K_{\nu}(\theta) d \theta=\pi / 2$, applying Lemma 3.9 (4), we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} f(\theta) d \theta=(\pi / 2) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}(\nu+1) \Delta^{2} \lambda_{\nu}=(\pi / 2) \lambda_{0}
$$

Thus by Theorem 3.7 the series in $(C)$ is the Fourier series of $f$.
Corollary 3.11. We have the following results.
(1) The series

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos n x}{\log (2+n)}
$$

is a Fourier series.
(2) The series

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin n x}{\log (2+n)}
$$

is not a Fourier series.
Proof. Since the sequence $\left\{(\log (2+n))^{-1}\right\}$ is convex, by Theorem 3.10 we have part (1).

To prove part (2), let $g(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin n x / \log (2+n)$ (the series is convergent pointwise). We recall the fact that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 /(n \log (2+n))=\infty$. If there exists $h \in L^{1}([-\pi, \pi])$ such that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin n x / \log (2+n)$ is the Fourier series of $h$, then it is known that $h=g$. Thus $g \in L^{1}([-\pi, \pi])$, which would imply by Theorem 3.8 (2) that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 /(n \log (2+n))<\infty$. Thus we reach a contradiction. This completes the proof of part (2).

The series in Corollary 3.2 can be expressed as follows.
Theorem 3.12. Let $0<\theta<2 \pi$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cos \theta+\frac{1}{2} \cos (2 \theta)+\frac{1}{3} \cos (3 \theta)+\ldots=-\log \left(2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
& \sin \theta+\frac{1}{2} \sin (2 \theta)+\frac{1}{3} \sin (3 \theta)+\ldots=\frac{\pi-\theta}{2} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 3.13. Let $w \in \mathbb{C} \backslash(-\infty, 0]$. Then we have a unique $\theta \in(-\pi, \pi)$ such that

$$
\frac{w}{|w|}=e^{i \theta}
$$

We define $\operatorname{Arg} w=\theta$.
Definition 3.14. For $x>0, \ln x$ is defined as

$$
\ln x=\int_{1}^{x} \frac{1}{y} d y
$$

Definition 3.15. For $w \in \mathbb{C} \backslash(-\infty, 0], \log w$ is defined as $\log w=\ln |w|+i \operatorname{Arg} w$.

We note that $\log x=\ln x$ for $x>0$.
To prove Theorem 3.12 we need the following two lemmas.
Lemma 3.16. Let $z \in \mathbb{C},|z| \leq 1, z \neq 1$. Then

$$
-\log (1-z)=z+\frac{1}{2} z^{2}+\frac{1}{3} z^{3}+\ldots
$$

where $\log$ is as in Definition 3.15 (we note that $1-z \in\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:\left|w-\frac{1}{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\} \backslash\{0\}$ ).
Lemma 3.17. Let $T:(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the bijection defined by $T=\tan \mid(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$ (the restriction of $\tan$ to $(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$ ). Suppose that $z=x+i y, x=\operatorname{Re} z>0$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Arg} z=T^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)
$$

where $T^{-1}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$ is the inverse mapping of $T$.
Proof of Lemma 3.16. We use the equation

$$
\frac{1}{1-x}-\left(1+x+x^{2}+\cdots+x^{n}\right)=\frac{x^{n+1}}{1-x}, \quad 0 \leq x<1
$$

Integration of both sides gives

$$
\int_{0}^{x} \frac{1}{1-y} d y-\left(x+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n+1} x^{n+1}\right)=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{y^{n+1}}{1-y} d y
$$

for $0 \leq x<1$. By changing variables and Definition 3.14, we see that

$$
\int_{0}^{x} \frac{1}{1-y} d y=-\int_{1}^{1-x} \frac{1}{y} d y=-\ln (1-x)=-\log (1-x) .
$$

Thus

$$
\left|-\log (1-x)-\left(x+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n+1} x^{n+1}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{1-x} \int_{0}^{1} y^{n+1} d y=\frac{1}{1-x} \frac{1}{n+2}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\log (1-x)=x+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n} x^{n}+\ldots, \quad 0 \leq x<1 . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
F(z)=z+\frac{1}{2} z^{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n} z^{n}+\ldots
$$

for $|z|<1$. Then $F$ is holomorphic in $|z|<1$ and it is known that $-\log (1-z)$ is also holomorphic in $|z|<1$. Thus by the uniqueness of analytic continuation and (3.12), we have $-\log (1-z)=F(z)$ for $|z|<1$.

The series defining $F(z)$ is also convergent if $|z|=1$ and $z \neq 1$ (see Corollary 3.2 and also [3, Theorem 3.44, Chap. 3]). Thus by Abel's theorem (see [3, Theorem 8.2, Chap. 8]), if $z=e^{i \theta}, 0<\theta<2 \pi$, we can define $F\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ by continuity as

$$
F\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1, r<1} F\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=e^{i \theta}+\frac{1}{2} e^{2 i \theta}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n} e^{n i \theta}+\ldots
$$

Since $-\log (1-z)=F(z)$ for $|z|<1$ and $-\log (1-z)$ is continuous at $z=e^{i \theta}$, $0<\theta<2 \pi$, we have $F\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=-\log \left(1-e^{i \theta}\right)$ for $0<\theta<2 \pi$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.16.

Proof of Lemma 3.17. We have $-\pi / 2<\operatorname{Arg} z<\pi / 2$, since $\operatorname{Re} z>0$. If $\operatorname{Arg} z=\theta$, by Definition 3.13 we have $|z|^{-1} z=e^{i \theta}=\cos \theta+i \sin \theta$, which can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}+i \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}=\cos \theta+i \sin \theta .
$$

It follows that $\tan \theta=\sin \theta / \cos \theta=y / x$. Since $-\pi / 2<\theta<\pi / 2$, we have $\tan \theta=$ $T(\theta)$. Thus $T(\theta)=y / x$ and hence $\operatorname{Arg} z=\theta=T^{-1}(y / x)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.12. Let $z=e^{i \theta}$ with $0<\theta<2 \pi$. Then by Lemma 3.16 we see that

$$
-\left(\log \left|1-e^{i \theta}\right|+i \operatorname{Arg}\left(1-e^{i \theta}\right)\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{n i \theta}}{n}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos n \theta}{n}+i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin n \theta}{n}
$$

Comparing real and imaginary parts, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
-\log \left|1-e^{i \theta}\right| & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos n \theta}{n}  \tag{3.13}\\
-\operatorname{Arg}\left(1-e^{i \theta}\right) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin n \theta}{n} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that

$$
\left|1-e^{i \theta}\right|^{2}=(1-\cos \theta)^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta=2(1-\cos \theta)=4 \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2} .
$$

Using this in (3.13), we have (3.10).
Next, since $\operatorname{Re}\left(1-e^{i \theta}\right)>0$ and $1-e^{i \theta}=1-\cos \theta-i \sin \theta$, by Lemma 3.17 we have $\operatorname{Arg}\left(1-e^{i \theta}\right)=T^{-1}(-\sin \theta /(1-\cos \theta))$. We note that

$$
-\frac{\sin \theta}{1-\cos \theta}=-\frac{2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2}}{2 \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}}=-\frac{\cos \frac{\theta}{2}}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}}=-\frac{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}{\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}=\tan \left(\frac{\theta-\pi}{2}\right) .
$$

Since $0<\theta<2 \pi$, we have $-\pi / 2<(\theta-\pi) / 2<\pi / 2$. Thus $\tan (\theta-\pi) / 2=$ $T((\theta-\pi) / 2)$. Therefore

$$
T^{-1}\left(-\frac{\sin \theta}{1-\cos \theta}\right)=T^{-1}\left(\tan \frac{\theta-\pi}{2}\right)=T^{-1} \circ T\left(\frac{\theta-\pi}{2}\right)=\frac{\theta-\pi}{2}
$$

Thus we have $\operatorname{Arg}\left(1-e^{i \theta}\right)=(\theta-\pi) / 2$. Applying this in (3.14), we have (3.11). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.12.

For the continuity of the function $g$ in $(S)$ we have the following result.
Theorem 3.18. Let $g(\theta)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \sin (n \theta)$ be as in $(S)$; we recall that the series is convergent for every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the following three statements are equivalent.
(1) The function $g$ is continuous on $[0,2 \pi]$.
(2) The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \sin (n \theta)$ is uniformly convergent on $[0,2 \pi]$.
(3) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n \lambda_{n}=0$.

Lemma 3.19. We consider $g(\theta)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \sin (n \theta)$ as in (S). Suppose that $g \in$ $L^{1}([0,2 \pi])$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{\theta} g(t) d t=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\theta} \lambda_{n} \sin (n t) d t=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n}(1-\cos (n \theta))}{n}
$$

Proof. We note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(x+\theta) \sin n x d x & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(x) \sin n(x-\theta) d x \\
& =\cos n \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(x) \sin n x d x-\sin n \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(x) \cos n x d x \\
& =\pi \lambda_{n} \cos n \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows from Theorem 3.7. Let $G(x)=\int_{0}^{x} g(t) d t$. Then $G$ is $2 \pi$ periodic, which can be seen from $G(x+2 \pi)-G(x)=\int_{x}^{x+2 \pi} g(t) d t=$ $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(t) d t=0$. Since the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \sin n x$ is boundedly convergent to ( $\pi-$ $x) / 2$ by Corollary 3.6 and (3.11), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(x+\theta) \sin n x d x & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(x+\theta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin n x}{n} d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(x+\theta) \frac{\pi-x}{2} d x \\
& =\left[G(x+\theta) \frac{\pi-x}{2}\right]_{0}^{2 \pi}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(x+\theta) d x \\
& =-\pi G(\theta)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where the penultimate equality follows by integration by parts and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(x) d x & =\left[G(x) \frac{x-\pi}{2}\right]_{0}^{2 \pi}-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(x) \frac{x-\pi}{2} d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(x) \frac{\pi-x}{2} d x \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(x) \sin n x d x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \pi \lambda_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
G(\theta)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \lambda_{n}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \lambda_{n} \cos n \theta,
$$

which implies the conclusion.
Proof of Theorem 3.18. We first prove that (3) implies (2) then we prove (1) implies (3). This will conclude the proof of the theorem since it is well known that (2) implies (1).

Suppose that we have (3). Then for any $\epsilon>0$ there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $n \lambda_{n}<\epsilon$ if $n \geq N$. For $p \geq N$ and $q>p$ by Lemma 3.4 we have

$$
\left|\sum_{n=p}^{q} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta\right| \leq \frac{\lambda_{p}}{\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \theta\right|} \leq \lambda_{p} \frac{\pi}{\theta}
$$

for $0<\theta \leq \pi$. Letting $q \rightarrow \infty$, if $\theta \in[1 / p, \pi]$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta\right| \leq \pi p \lambda_{p} \leq \pi \epsilon \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\theta<1 / p$ and $0<\theta \leq \pi$, let $p \leq q \leq 1 / \theta<q+1$. Then using (3.15) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta\right| & \leq\left|\sum_{n=p}^{q} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta\right|+\left|\sum_{n=q+1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{n=p}^{q} \lambda_{n} n \theta+\epsilon \pi \leq \epsilon \theta q+\epsilon \pi \leq(1+\pi) \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta=0$ for $\theta=0$, we have for $\theta \in[0,1 / p]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta\right| \leq(1+\pi) \epsilon \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $p \geq N$. By (3.15) and (3.16) we see that for $\theta \in[0, \pi]$

$$
\left|\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta\right| \leq(1+\pi) \epsilon
$$

whenever $p \geq N$, which implies that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta$ is uniformly convergent on $[0, \pi]$. By this we see that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta$ is uniformly convergent on $[-\pi, \pi]$ since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta$ is odd, which implies (2) since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \sin n \theta$ is $2 \pi$ periodic.

We now prove that (1) implies (3). Suppose that $g$ is continuous on $[0, \pi]$. Then $g(\theta) \rightarrow g(0)=0$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 3.19 we have

$$
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\theta} \int_{0}^{\theta} g(t) d t=\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n}(1-\cos (n \theta))}{n \theta}
$$

Taking $\theta=\pi /(2 k)$ and using the inequality: $1-\cos x \geq\left(2 / \pi^{2}\right) x^{2}, 0 \leq x \leq \pi$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=k}^{2 k} \frac{\lambda_{n}(1-\cos (n \pi /(2 k)))}{n \pi /(2 k)} & \geq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 k}{\pi} \lambda_{2 k} \frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{n=k}^{2 k} n \frac{\pi^{2}}{(2 k)^{2}} \\
& \geq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 k}{\pi} \lambda_{2 k} \frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \frac{\pi^{2}}{(2 k)^{2}} k^{2} \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{\pi} \lambda_{2 k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} 2 k \lambda_{2 k}=0$, which also implies that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}(2 k+1) \lambda_{2 k+1}=0$ on account of the monotonicity of $\lambda_{k}$. Altogether, we have $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} k \lambda_{k}=0$.

## 4. Characterization of Fourier coefficients for continuous FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED VARIATION

A variant of the following result will be used in proving Theorem 4.6 below.
Theorem 4.1. Let $\left\{A_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of non-negative real numbers such that $A_{k} \leq 1 / k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{k}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{k \pi}{2 n}\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2)

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2} A_{k}^{2}=0
$$

(3)

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k A_{k}=0
$$

Proof. Part (2) implies part (3). We assume part (2). Then By the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k A_{k} \leq\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2} A_{k}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

This implies part (3). Here we do not use the condition $A_{k} \leq 1 / k$.
Part (2) follows from part (3). Applying the condition $A_{k} \leq 1 / k$ and using part (3), we see that

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2} A_{k}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k A_{k} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,
$$

which is part (2).
Part (1) implies part (2). Using the inequality $\sin x \geq(2 / \pi) x, 0 \leq x \leq \pi / 2$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{k}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{k \pi}{2 n}\right) & \geq n \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{k \pi}{2 n}\right) \geq n \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}^{2}\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{k \pi}{2 n}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2} A_{k}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we see that part (1) implies part (2). Here we do not use the condition $A_{k} \leq 1 / k$.

Part (2) implies part (1). We write $k=2 n m+\ell, 0 \leq \ell<2 n$. Then

$$
\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{(2 n m+\ell) \pi}{2 n}\right)=\sin ^{2}\left(m \pi+\frac{\ell}{2 n} \pi\right)=\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\ell}{2 n} \pi\right)
$$

Using this and the inequality, $\sin x \leq x, x \geq 0$, we have, letting $A_{0}=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{k}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{k \pi}{2 n}\right) & =n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2 n-1} A_{2 m n+\ell}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\ell \pi}{2 n}\right) \\
& \leq(\pi / 2)^{2} n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2 n-1} A_{2 m n+\ell}^{2}\left(\frac{\ell}{n}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq(\pi / 2)^{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2 n-1} \ell^{2} A_{2 m n+\ell}^{2} \\
& \leq(\pi / 2)^{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_{m, n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
p_{m, n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2 n-1} \ell^{2} A_{2 m n+\ell}^{2}
$$

We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{m, n} & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2 n-1}(2 m n+\ell)^{2} A_{2 m n+\ell}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=2 m n}^{2(m+1) n} k^{2} A_{k}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{2(m+1) n} k^{2} A_{k}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{2 n(m+1)}{n} \frac{1}{2 n(m+1)} \sum_{k=1}^{2(m+1) n} k^{2} A_{k}^{2} \quad \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the convergence to 0 in the last line follows if we assume part (2). Also, using the inequality $A_{k} \leq 1 / k$, we have

$$
p_{m, n} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2 n-1} \ell^{2}(2 m n+\ell)^{-2} \leq C(m+1)^{-2}
$$

for $m \geq 0$. Here $C$ is a constant independent of $n$. Thus by the dominated convergence theorem of Lebesgue we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_{m, n}=0
$$

This implies part (1) under the condition in part (2).
Definition 4.2. Let $f:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let $P=\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{m}$ with $0=x_{0}<x_{1}<$ $\cdots<x_{m}=2 \pi$ be a partition of the interval $[0,2 \pi]$. We say that $f$ is a function of bounded variation if

$$
\|f\|_{B V([0,2 \pi])}=\sup _{P} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|f\left(x_{j}\right)-f\left(x_{j-1}\right)\right|<\infty
$$

where the supremum is taken over all partitions $P$ of $[0,2 \pi]$. (See [1, p.97].)
For a integrable function $f$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k}(f)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(t) e^{-i k t} d t \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the Fourier coefficient.
Theorem 4.3. If $f$ is a $2 \pi$ periodic function on $\mathbb{R}$ which is of bounded variation on $[0,2 \pi]$, then for all $k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ we have

$$
\left|C_{k}(f)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4|k|}\|f\|_{B V([0,2 \pi])}
$$

Proof. Let $k$ be a positive integer. We easily see that

$$
2\left|C_{k}(f)\right|=\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}(f(t+(j-1) \pi / k)-f(t+j \pi / k)) e^{-i k t} d t\right|, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2 k
$$

Thus, summing over $j, 1 \leq j \leq 2 k$, we have

$$
4 k\left|C_{k}(f)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sum_{j=1}^{2 k}|f(t+(j-1) \pi / k)-f(t+j \pi / k)| d t \leq\|f\|_{B V([0,2 \pi])}
$$

which implies that $\left|C_{k}(f)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4 k}\|f\|_{B V([0,2 \pi])}$. The result for the case $k<0$ follows from this if we observe that $C_{-k}(f)=C_{k}(\widetilde{f})$ and $\|\widetilde{f}\|_{B V([0,2 \pi])}=\|f\|_{B V([0,2 \pi])}$ with $\widetilde{f}(x)=f(-x)$.

It is known that there exists a continuous function $f$ of bounded variation with period $2 \pi$ for which we do not have $\left|k C_{k}(f)\right| \rightarrow 0(|k| \rightarrow \infty)$. Cantor's function can be used to construct an example (see [2, p.27]). On the other hand we have the following results (Theorems 4.4, 4.6) of Wiener (see [2, pp.27-28]).

Theorem 4.4. Let $f$ be a $2 \pi$-periodic function on $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $f$ is of bounded variation on $[0,2 \pi]$. Then we have the following.
(1) If $f$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|C_{k}(f)\right|^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{k \pi}{2 n}\right)=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{k}(f)$ is as in (4.1).
(2) If (4.2) holds, then $f(x+0)=f(x-0)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$; and hence the discontinuities of $f$ should be of the first kind and removable.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}(x)=\sum_{m=1}^{2 n}\left|f\left(x+\frac{m}{n} \pi\right)-f\left(x+\frac{m-1}{n} \pi\right)\right|^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove Theorem 4.4 we need the following.
Lemma 4.5. Let $C_{k}(f)$ be as in (4.1) and $F_{n}$ as in (4.3). Then

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|F_{n}(x)\right| d x=16 \pi n \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|C_{k}(f)\right|^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{k \pi}{2 n}\right)
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} F_{n}(x) d x & =\sum_{m=1}^{2 n} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(x+\frac{m}{n} \pi\right)-f\left(x+\frac{m-1}{n} \pi\right)\right|^{2} d x \\
& =2 n \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(x+\frac{1}{2 n} \pi\right)-f\left(x-\frac{1}{2 n} \pi\right)\right|^{2} d x \\
& =4 \pi n \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|C_{n, k}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows by the Parseval theorem (see [3, Theorem 11.40, p. 328]) with

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{n, k} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(f\left(x+\frac{\pi}{2 n}\right)-f\left(x-\frac{\pi}{2 n}\right)\right) e^{-i k x} d x \\
& =C_{k}(f)\left(e^{i k \pi /(2 n)}-e^{-i k \pi /(2 n)}\right) \\
& =C_{k}(f) 2 i \sin (k \pi /(2 n))
\end{aligned}
$$

Collecting the results, we get the conclusion of the lemma.
Proof of Theorem 4.4. Let

$$
\omega_{f}(\tau)=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R},|y| \leq \tau}|f(x+y)-f(x)| .
$$

Then $F_{n}(x) \leq \omega_{f}(\pi / n)\|f\|_{B V([0,2 \pi])}$. Thus, if $f$ is continuous, $F_{n}(x) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly, so $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} F_{n}(x) d x \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies part (1) by Lemma 4.5.

Proof of part (2). Since $f$ is $2 \pi$-periodic, we may assume that $x \in[0,2 \pi]$ in the conclusion. Suppose that there exists $x_{0} \in[0,2 \pi]$ such that

$$
\left|f\left(x_{0}+0\right)-f\left(x_{0}-0\right)\right|>d \quad \text { for some } d>0
$$

Then there exists $\delta>0$ such that if $\left|y-x_{0}\right|<\delta,\left|z-x_{0}\right|<\delta$ and $y<x_{0}<z$, then $|f(z)-f(y)|>d / 2$. Suppose that $2 \pi / n<\delta$. For $x \in[0,2 \pi]$ we have either
(i) $x_{0} \in[x, x+2 \pi]$
or
(ii) $x_{0}+2 \pi \in[x, x+2 \pi]$.

First we consider the case (i). We deal with the following three cases separately:
(a) $x_{0} \in\left(x+\left(m_{0}-1\right) \pi / n, x+\left(m_{0}+1\right) \pi / n\right)$ for some $1 \leq m_{0} \leq 2 n-1$;
(b) $x_{0} \in(x-\pi / n, x+\pi / n)$;
(c) $x_{0} \in(x+(2 n-1) \pi / n, x+(2 n+1) \pi / n)$.

We now handle the case (a). We have $\left|f\left(x+\left(m_{0}+1\right) \pi / n\right)-f\left(x+\left(m_{0}-1\right) \pi / n\right)\right|>d / 2$ and hence

$$
\left|f\left(x+m_{0} \pi / n\right)-f\left(x+\left(m_{0}-1\right) \pi / n\right)\right|>d / 4
$$

or

$$
\left|f\left(x+\left(m_{0}+1\right) \pi / n\right)-f\left(x+m_{0} \pi / n\right)\right|>d / 4
$$

Next, we treat the case (b). Then $|f(x+\pi / n)-f(x-\pi / n)|>d / 2$, which implies that we have

$$
|f(x)-f(x-\pi / n)|>d / 4
$$

or

$$
|f(x+\pi / n)-f(x)|>d / 4
$$

Since $f$ is $2 \pi$-periodic, it follows that

$$
|f(x+(2 n \pi) / n)-f(x+(2 n-1) \pi / n)|>d / 4
$$

or

$$
|f(x+\pi / n)-f(x)|>d / 4
$$

Finally, in the case (c) we have $|f(x+(2 n+1) \pi / n)-f(x+(2 n-1) \pi / n)|>d / 2$ and so

$$
|f(x+(2 n+1) \pi / n)-f(x+(2 n \pi) / n)|>d / 4
$$

or

$$
|f(x+(2 n \pi) / n)-f(x+(2 n-1) \pi / n)|>d / 4
$$

which implies that

$$
|f(x+\pi / n)-f(x)|>d / 4
$$

or

$$
|f(x+(2 n \pi) / n)-f(x+(2 n-1) \pi / n)|>d / 4
$$

Collecting results in the cases (a), (b) and (c), we see that $F_{n}(x) \geq(d / 4)^{2}$ for all $x \in[0,2 \pi]$ and $n>2 \pi / \delta$ in the case (i).

The same holds also in the case (ii). This can be seen as follows. Let $y_{0}=x_{0}+2 \pi$. Then $y_{0} \in[x, x+2 \pi]$ and

$$
\left|f\left(y_{0}+0\right)-f\left(y_{0}-0\right)\right|=\left|f\left(x_{0}+0\right)-f\left(x_{0}-0\right)\right|>d
$$

Thus we can apply the arguments in the case (i) with $y_{0}$ in place of $x_{0}$.
Combining results, we have $F_{n}(x) \geq(d / 4)^{2}$ for all $x \in[0,2 \pi]$ and $n>2 \pi / \delta$. Therefore, if $\left\|F_{n}\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which holds if we have (4.2) by Lemma 4.5, then we have $f(x+0)=f(x-0)$ for all $x$. This completes the proof of part (2).

By Theorems 4.3, 4.4 and by applying Theorem 4.1 suitably, we have the following.

Theorem 4.6. Let $f$ be a $2 \pi$-periodic function on $\mathbb{R}$ which is of bounded variation on $[0,2 \pi]$. Then we have the following.
(1) If $f$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=-n}^{n}\left|k C_{k}(f)\right|=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{k}(f)$ is as in (4.1).
(2) Suppose that (4.4) holds. Then $f(x+0)=f(x-0)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

We note that (4.4) holds if $\lim _{|k| \rightarrow \infty}\left|k C_{k}(f)\right|=0$.
Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $2 \pi$ periodic such that

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}1, & x=0 \\ 0, & x \in[-\pi, \pi] \backslash 0\end{cases}
$$

Then $f$ is of bounded variation and $C_{k}(f)=0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so we have (4.4) for this $f$, but $f$ is not continuous at $x=0$.
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