Separation of dissolved iron from the aqueous system with excess ligand

メタデータ	言語: eng		
	出版者:		
	公開日: 2017-10-03		
	キーワード (Ja):		
	キーワード (En):		
	作成者:		
	メールアドレス:		
	所属:		
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2297/27092		

1	Separation of Dissolved Iron from the Aqueous System with Excess Ligand		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	Hiroshi Hasegawa,* ¹ Ismail M. M. Rahman,* ^{1, 2} Sanae Kinoshita, ¹ Teruya Maki, ¹ and		
8	Yoshiaki Furusho ³		
9			
10	¹ Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Kanazawa University, Kakuma,		
11	Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan		
12	² Department of Chemistry, University of Chittagong, Chittagong 4331, Bangladesh		
13	³ GL Sciences, Inc., Nishishinjuku 6-22-1, Shinjuku, Tokyo 163-1130, Japan		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19	*Author(s) for correspondence.		
20	E-mail: hhiroshi@t.kanazawa-u.ac.jp (H. Hasegawa), I.M.M.Rahman@gmail.com (I.M.M.		
21	Rahman)		
22	Tel/ Fax: +81-76-234-4792		

23 Abstract

24 A new technique for the separation and preconcentration of dissolved Fe(III) from the ligandrich aqueous system is proposed. A solid phase extraction (SPE) system with an immobilized 25 26 macrocyclic material, commonly known as molecular recognition technology (MRT) gel and 27 available commercially, was used. Synthetic Fe(III) solution in aqueous matrices spiked with a 28 100-fold concentration of EDTA was used. Dissolved iron that was 'captured' by the MRT gel 29 was eluted using hydrochloric acid and subsequently determined by graphite furnace atomic 30 absorption spectrometry. The effect of different variables, such as pH, reagent concentration, 31 flow rate and interfering ions, on the recovery of analyte was investigated. Quantitative 32 maximum separation (~100%) of the dissolved Fe(III) from synthetic aqueous solutions at a natural pH range was observed at a flow rate of 0.2 mL min⁻¹. The extraction efficiency of the 33 MRT gel is largely unaltered by the coexisting ions commonly found in natural water. When 34 35 compared with different SPE materials, the separation performance of MRT gel is also much 36 higher.

37

38 Keywords

39 Dissolved iron; preconcentration; excess ligand in aqueous solution; Molecular Recognition
 40 Technology; atomic absorption spectrometry

41

42

43

44

46 **1.0 Introduction**

47 The accurate determination of iron in dissolved and particulate forms or size fractions is necessary for describing the iron chemistry within a given body of water (Bruland and Rue, 48 49 2001). The iron content in an aqueous system is important for environmental protection, 50 hydrogeology and some chemical processes, such as photosynthesis and phytoplankton 51 production in the open oceans (Riley and Chester, 1971; Martin and Fitzwater, 1988; Martin, 1990). Recent studies suggest that Fe(III) solubility in oceanic water is controlled by 52 53 complexation with natural organic ligands (Kuma et al., 1996; Waite, 2001; Liu and Millero, 54 2002), and these complexation equilibria subsequently regulate the concentrations of dissolved iron in the oceanic system (Johnson et al., 1997; Kuma et al., 2003). Thus, the majority of 55 56 dissolved iron in the oceans appears to be chelated (as FeL_i) with organic ligands (L_i) (Wu and 57 Luther, 1995; Rue and Bruland, 1997; Boye et al., 2001).

58 Sensitive analytical methods, such as graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (GF-59 AAS), have led the way in providing reliable profiles for iron in oceanic waters over the past 60 three decades (Johnson et al., 1997; Bruland and Rue, 2001). However, precise determination of iron is very difficult due to the risk of sample contamination (Blain and Treguer, 1995; de Jong 61 62 et al., 2008). Reports have shown that there are discrepancies between the estimated concentrations of dominant hydroxo-complex species of Fe(III), such as $Fe(OH)_2^+$, $Fe(OH)_3^0$ and 63 64 $Fe(OH)_4^{-}$, and values (~0.1–10 nM) for the thermodynamic solubility of Fe(III) hydroxide in 65 seawater (Byrne and Kester, 1976; Kuma et al., 1996; Liu and Millero, 2002). These low concentrations also make it difficult to measure iron in ocean waters (de Jong et al., 2008). For 66 reliable analysis, method development is important to overcome limitations caused by the high 67 68 salt matrix of oceanic water samples that could cause interferences during measurements. One of 69 the popular analysis methods is to separate and preconcentrate the desired metal ion(s) out of the 70 sample matrix for precise determination (Hosten and Welz, 1999). Various methods, such as co-71 precipitation, liquid-liquid extraction, solid-liquid extraction, and ion exchange resins, have 72 been developed for the separation of trace metals from natural samples (Filik et al., 1997; 73 Pourreza and Mousavi, 2004; Ghaedi et al., 2005; Ghaedi et al., 2007; Ghaedi et al., 2008). 74 However, the solid phase extraction (SPE) approach has gained rapid acceptance since the 1980s 75 because it is one of the fastest, most economical and cleanest methods for separating and 76 concentrating trace metals from aqueous samples (Hosten and Welz, 1999; Firdaus et al., 2007).

77 In SPE, appropriate materials are immobilized onto support matrices to prepare solid phases 78 with a 'capturing capability' for separation and preconcentration (Masi and Olsina, 1993). Some 79 of the sorbent materials have the ability to interact with various metal ions while others are fairly 80 specific for a particular ion within complex matrices (Carbonell et al., 1992; Nickson et al., 81 1995; Ghaedi et al., 2006; Ghaedi et al., 2008; Ghaedi et al., 2009). One group of SPE materials 82 includes those with macrocyclic ligands, such as crown ethers, immobilized on a silica or 83 polymer support; this type of SPE has been reportedly used for ion-selective separation and 84 preconcentration of metal ions, and the technique is commonly known as molecular recognition 85 technology (MRT) (Izatt et al., 1995; Hasegawa et al., 2010; Rahman et al., 2010). Reports on 86 the separation/preconcentration of dissolved iron using SPE from an aqueous system in which 87 Fe-organic ligand complexation is prevalent is still very limited (Wells and Bruland, 1998). The 88 extraction efficiency of SPE materials significantly decreases in aqueous systems containing 89 excess ligand because ligands often compete with SPE materials for metal ions. This limitation 90 can be minimized with the MRT-SPE systems, which can provide non-destructive separation of 91 metal ions from ligand-rich aqueous systems (Hasegawa et al., 2010).

In this work, we propose a simple method using a MRT-SPE system for the separation of dissolved iron from aqueous system of Fe-ligand complexes containing an excess amount of ligand in solution. The SPE sorbent is a proprietary polymeric organic material comprised of ionselective sequestering agents based on molecular recognition and macrocyclic chemistry. Synthetic, ligand-rich aqueous Fe-ligand matrices were used as the samples. Hydrochloric acid was used as the eluent with subsequent determination of iron using GF-AAS.

98 2.0 Experimental

99 2.1 Materials

100 2.1.1 Reagents

101 Analytical grade commercial products were used as received. Stock solutions of Fe(III) and 102 Fe(II) were prepared by dissolving $FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ and $FeCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ (Wako Pure Chemical 103 Industries, Japan), respectively, in 1 M HCl. A Perkin-Elmer (Norwalk, CT, USA) Model ICP 104 Optima 3000 inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometer was used to standardize the iron 105 solutions.

Nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA), O,O'-bis(2-aminoethyl)ethyleneglycol-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic
acid (GEDTA), ethylenediamine-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid (EDTA) and diethylenetriamineN,N,N',N'',N''-pentaacetic acid (DTPA) were purchased from Dojindo Laboratories, Japan;
desferrioxamine B (DFB) was supplied by Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO. Each of these reagents
was dissolved in 0.1 M sodium hydroxide to prepare stock solutions of the chelating ligands.

Working standards of metal and ligand solutions in the µM to mM range were prepared bydilution on a weight basis. The experimental pH range was 4 to 8, and solution pH was adjusted

using either 1 M HCl or 1 M NaOH. The pH was maintained using the following buffer
solutions: 0.1 M CH₃COONa/CH₃COOH (pH 4–5) and 0.1 M NaH₂PO₄/Na₂HPO₄ (pH 6–8).

Aqueous solutions of 10 mM chelating ligands in the appropriate buffer were spiked with 0.1 mM Fe(III) or Fe(II) in 1.0 M HCl, and allowed to stand for 20 min, and these solutions were then added to the sample solutions. For Fe(II) sample solutions, 0.2% hydroxyl ammonium chloride was added to prevent oxidation.

To study the effect of coexisting ions, NaCl, KCl, CaCl₂ and MgCl₂ were used as sources of cations, and NaCl, NaNO₃, CH₃COONa, Na₃PO₄, Na₂SO₄, NaClO₄ were used as sources of anions; all salts were purchased from Nacali Tesque, Japan. Working solutions at a concentration of 10 mM were prepared in a H₂O matrix, and the pH was adjusted to 8.0. The final solutions were allowed to equilibrate for 24 h before analysis. Considering the competitive behavior of Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ ions in seawater in terms of ligand capturing, the metal-to-ligand ratio was maintained at 1:100.

Deionized water obtained from a Barnstead 4 Housing E-Pure system was used to prepare allsolutions and is hereafter referred to as EPW.

128 2.1.2 SPE materials

Different SPE materials, as listed in Table 1, were used. MRT gel and AnaLig TE-01 were purchased from GL Sciences, Japan. Other SPE materials were purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Chelex-100), GL Sciences (MetaSEP ME-1, ME-2 and ME-3) and Hitachi High-Technologies Corporation (NOBIAS Chelate PA-1, PB-1, Ion SC-1 and SA-1).

133 2.2 Cleaning

134 Low-density polyethylene and laboratory equipment (Nalge, USA) were used to store the 135 solutions and to hold solutions during the experiments. Before use, bottles and laboratory equipment were soaked in an alkaline detergent (Scat 20X-PF, Nacali Tesque, Japan) overnight
and rinsed with EPW; they were then soaked in 4 M HCl overnight and rinsed with EPW.
PerFluoroAlkoxy tubes and micropipette tips (Nichiryo, Japan) were cleaned according to the
procedure described by Sohrin et al. (1998).

140 2.3 Column separation procedure

141 2.3.1 Column cleaning and conditioning

SPE materials packed in 3-mL columns were used in this experiment. Column cleaning was
conducted with HNO₃ (8 mL) and EPW (6 mL). The appropriate buffer solution, consisting of 5
mL of 0.1 M CH₃COONa/CH₃COOH (pH 4–5) or 5 mL of 0.1 M NaH₂PO₄/Na₂HPO₄ (pH 6–8),
was allowed to flow through the column to ensure the desired pH conditions (4–8).

146 2.3.2 Treatment of samples

Sample solution (5 mL) with ligand (10 mM) and spiked with Fe(III) or Fe(II) (0.1 mM), which was pH adjusted with 0.1 M solution of pH 4–5 or 6–8 buffer, was passed through the SPE column at the pre-set flow rate of 0.2 mL min⁻¹. The column effluent was collected. The analyte concentration in the column effluent represents the unrestrained concentration of analyte in the SPE system. The second and final step was the elution of analyte from the SPE system using HCl (1 and 6 M). The analyte concentrations from the sample solution, in the effluent, and in the eluent were measured with GF-AAS. The recovery efficiency was calculated as follows:

154
$$\operatorname{Recovery}(\%) = \frac{\operatorname{number of mol of Fe recovered in all fractions}}{\operatorname{number of mol of Fe applied to the column}} 100$$
(1)

Three replicate measurements per sample were made in all instances. The peak height of the reported signal was proportional to the concentration of the respective iron species and was used for all measurements.

158 2.4 Instruments

159 A Hitachi Model Z-8100 GF-AAS (Hitachi, Japan) operating at the 248.3 nm wavelength 160 with a slit width of 0.2 nm and 15.0 mA lamp current was used for analyzing iron concentrations. 161 A temperature program was performed with the following steps: drying at 100 °C, ashing at 1000 162 °C, atomization at 2700 °C and cleaning at 3000 °C with holding times of 60, 60, 10 and 4 s, respectively. Argon was used as the inert gas at a flow rate of 200 mL min⁻¹ except during the 163 atomization step (30 mL min⁻¹). After a calibration step, 20 μ L of sample and 10 μ L of matrix 164 165 modifier (NiSO₄) were introduced into the graphite furnace for three replicates of each 166 measurement. The pH of the sample solutions was measured with a Navi F-52 pH meter (Horiba 167 Instruments, Japan) and a combination electrode.

168 **3.0 Results and Discussion**

169 **3.1** Comparative study with different SPE materials

The separation efficiencies of Fe(III) from aqueous solutions containing excess ligand with AnaLig TE-01 and different commercially available SPE products were investigated in a noncompetitive environment (Fig. 1). Thermodynamic equilibrium calculations suggest that 99.9% of the ambient, dissolved Fe(III) exists as Fe(III) chelates when the ligand concentrations are ~25 times higher in the aqueous system (Bruland and Rue, 2001).

Therefore, a maximal amount of Fe(III) is assumed to be present as the Fe-EDTA complex in the EDTA-spiked sample solutions containing excess EDTA. As shown in Fig. 1, it is apparent that AnaLig TE-01 ensures quantitative extraction of the total amount of Fe(III) from an aqueous solution containing a 100-fold concentration of EDTA spiked with Fe(III). However, the extraction efficiencies of other SPE products are limited to 10–50% for the same sample solutions. Consequently, AnaLig TE-01 is a more suitable SPE-type system to separate the iron
dissolved as the metal-ligand complex in a ligand-rich aqueous environment.

182 3.2 Effect of pH

The retention of dissolved iron on the AnaLig TE-01 column containing immobilized macrocyclic material was studied as a function of pH. The pH of the aqueous system with Fe(III) and Fe(II) in excess EDTA was maintained in the range of 4–8 with pH 4–5 and 6–8 buffer solutions at 0.1 M concentration. This study was restricted to the pH range 4–8 because EDTA is not very water soluble at very low pH (Ueno et al., 1992), and the increasing solubility of silica gel with pH (Vogelsberger et al., 1992) may dissolve the silica gel base support of AnaLig TE-01 column.

190 As shown in Fig. 2, quantitative retention of the Fe(III) and Fe(II) complexes from the 191 ligand-rich medium in the pH range 4–8 were at a maximum on the AnaLig TE-01 column. The 192 variation in the pH of the sample solution and the column effluent were insignificant. Therefore, 193 we can conclude that the MRT gel has significant affinity for dissolved iron in a ligand-rich 194 matrix or in iron-ligand complexes, and the iron-ligand complex is stable in the studied pH range. 195 In view of the fact that oceanic water is limited to the pH range of 7 to 9, the majority of the 196 dissolved iron (Fe(III)) is chelated with organic ligands of biological origin (Bruland and Rue, 197 2001), and further experiments were carried out at pH 8.0 \pm 0.2.

198 **3.3** Effect of sample loading flow-rate

199 The retention of analytes in the SPE system depends upon the flow rate of the metal-fortified 200 sample solution (Bag et al., 1998). The effect of sample loading flow rate on the recovery 201 percentage was examined under optimum conditions. The solution was passed through the SPE

column with the flow rates that were adjusted in the range of $0.2-4.0 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$. As shown in Fig. 202 203 3a, retention of Fe(III) on the MRT gel column was quantitative up to a flow rate of 0.25 mL min⁻¹. A gradual decrease in retention with increasing flow rate was observed in the range of 204 $0.3-1.0 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$, and retention decreased to about 60% at higher flow rates (2.0-4.0 mL min⁻¹). 205 206 This behavior indicates the constant retaining capability of the MRT gel at the initial loading 207 period. Because quantitative, maximum extraction of the analyte is desirable, we applied a flow rate of 0.2 mL min⁻¹ to further experiments to ensure selective pre-concentration of the sample 208 209 solution for analytical determination.

210 3.4 Effect of eluent concentration

211 A satisfactory eluent should effectively elute the extracted analytes using a small volume, 212 which is desired for a high enrichment factor of the analyte, but the eluent should not affect the 213 accurate determination of analytes (Chen et al., 2009). The effect of eluent concentration on the 214 elution of analyte from the MRT gel column was studied by first adding 2 mL of the Fe(III)-215 spiked, aqueous EDTA solution at pH 8.0 onto the column, and the analyte extracted by the 216 MRT gel column was then eluted using 5 mL of eluent (0.1-6.0 M HCl). The recovery of the 217 analyte was determined with GF-AAS. The Fe(III) recovery percentage was found to increase as 218 the concentration of HCl increased up to a concentration of 0.5 M, at which point the recovery 219 level remained constant (Fig. 3b). This behavior indicates that a HCl concentration equal to 0.5 220 M is sufficient for quantitative elution of the bound ions in the TE-01 MRT gel column. 221 However, acid concentrations greater than or equal to 5.0 M were recommended as eluent for 222 TE-01 (IBC Advanced Technologies, 2007). Thus, a combination of 1 M HCl (4 mL) and 6 M 223 HCl (1 mL) was selected as eluent for subsequent experiments to ensure quantitative elution of 224 the analyte.

225 3.5 Effect of metal-ligand stability constants

Ligands form water-soluble metal complexes of high thermodynamic stability (Lim et al., 2005). The high stability of the metal-ligand complexes may influence the extraction performance of the SPE materials. Various investigators have suggested that dissolved iron content in the open oceans exists primarily as Fe-ligand species (Bruland and Rue, 2001), and two classes of Fe(III)-binding natural organic ligands were observed with the following conditional stability constants K'_{ML} : $5 \times 10^{12} \text{ M}^{-1}$ (p $K'_{ML} = 12.7$) and $6 \times 10^{11} \text{ M}^{-1}$ (p $K'_{ML} =$ 11.78) (Rue and Bruland, 1997).

233 The effect of the metal-ligand complexes' K'_{ML} values on the performance of the MRT-SPE 234 system was evaluated for the extraction of Fe(III) from the ligand-rich, Fe(III)-spiked aqueous 235 system. Solutions of ligands in 0.1 M HCl with varying iron complex stability constants ($K_{\rm ML}$), 236 such as NTA, GEDTA, EDTA, DTPA and DFB (Table 2), were added to the iron-spiked 237 solution to prepare each sample solution. The Fe(III)-ligand complex stability at pH 8 was 238 considered during the selection of the chelating ligands. AnaLig TE-01 demonstrated better 239 separation efficiency than the other selected SPE materials (Chelex-100, InterSEP ME-1 and 240 NOBIAS Chelate PA-1) for the metal-ligand complexes of NTA, GEDTA and EDTA (Fig. 4). A 241 significant decrease in the AnaLig TE-01 separation performance was observed for metal-ligand complexes with high K'_{ML} values, e.g., metal-DTPA and metal-DFB. In ocean waters, the pK'_{ML} 242 243 values for Fe(III)-binding organic ligand classes are limited to a pK of 12.7 (Rue and Bruland, 244 1997). However, quantitative separation performance for ligands with pK'_{ML} values of up to 22.8 245 was observed with the proposed MRT-SPE system. Therefore, the thermodynamic stability of 246 the commonly observed Fe(III)-ligand complexes in ocean waters has a negligible effect on the 247 iron separation performance of the AnaLig TE-01.

248 **3.6 Effect of coexisting ions**

249 The interference of other coexisting ions on the separation and preconcentration of dissolved iron was examined under optimal conditions. Various cations, including Na^+ , K^+ , Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} , 250 and anion species, including Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, CH₃COO⁻, PO₄²⁻, SO₄²⁻ and ClO₄⁻, were added 251 252 individually to the ligand-rich Fe(III) sample solutions, which were then allowed to equilibrate 253 for 24 h. The studies were carried out in a non-competitive environment by applying 5 mL of the ion-fortified sample at the optimized flow rate with subsequent collection using the appropriate 254 255 eluent. Variation in pH was negligible between the fortified samples and column effluents. 256 Quantitative recovery of Fe(III) was observed in the presence of the selected cations and anions 257 (Fig. 5). Therefore, it can be concluded that the developed method is reasonably free from 258 interference resulting from coexisting ions that are commonly found in open aqueous systems.

259 **3.7** Extraction capacity

260 Extraction capacity is an important factor that must be evaluated because it determines how 261 much MRT gel is required for quantitative separation of Fe(III) from a solution. Batch method 262 experiments were used for a capacity study, and the experiments were conducted as follows: 0.5 263 g of the MRT gel was added to 20 mL of Fe-EDTA solution (Fe(III) - 0.1 mM, ligand: EDTA -264 10 mM, matrix: H₂O, pH: 8.0), and the mixture was continuously shaken for one hour. Iron 265 concentrations in the filtrate were determined by GF-AAS. The extraction capacity for Fe(III) under ligand-rich condition was $0.115 \pm 0.002 \text{ mmol g}^{-1}$ of AnaLig TE-01 (mean \pm SD, n = 3). 266 This result was in good agreement with the certified value 0.1–0.3 mmol g^{-1} of AnaLig TE-01 267 (IBC Advanced Technologies, 2007) for the simultaneous complexation of Ag(I), Au(III), Cd(II), 268 Co(II), Cu(II), Fe(II), Hg(II), Ni(II), Pb(II), Pd(II) and Zn(II) from aqueous solutions to 269 270 concentrate the ions or remove interfering matrices.

271 **3.8 Regeneration and reusability**

272 The regeneration ability and stability of the MRT gel were investigated because regeneration 273 is one of the key factors in evaluating the performance of an extraction material. The 274 experimental results indicated that AnaLig TE-01 is stable during operation of the column, and 275 this material could be regenerated for more than 100 loading and elution cycles without loss of 276 analytical performance. Systems with macrocycles attached onto solid supports (Bradshaw et al., 277 1988; Izatt, 1997), which is used for the AnaLig TE-01, allow non-destructive separation of 278 metals from the matrix (ligand-rich aqueous solution) and also facilitate the repeated use of the 279 macrocycles because the material can be regenerated after each use. Thus, the initial high cost of 280 MRT gel synthesis is amortized over time.

281 **3.9** Analytical characteristics

GF-AAS was used to measure the iron concentrations in the MRT gel treated, fortified aqueous samples. Under optimum conditions, the linear range was found to be 0.01–0.11 μ g mL⁻ ¹. The method detection limits, as calculated from three times the standard deviation (n = 15) of the blank, was 0.02 μ g L⁻¹. Based on the relative standard deviation, the precision of the method was calculated to be 1.4% from 10 replicate measurements at a concentration of 0.4 μ M Fe(III).

287 3.10 Recovery test with artificial seawater

Artificial seawater was prepared according to Lyman and Fleming (1940) and used to evaluate the ion-recovery performance of the MRT-SPE column in the presence of multiple interfering ions. Artificial seawater samples spiked with 0.1 mM Fe(III) and 10 mM EDTA were passed through the MRT-SPE system at pH 8. The recovery of Fe(III) was observed to be 96 \pm 3% (*n* = 3).

4.0 Conclusions

MRT gel, a column-packed, immobilized macrocyclic material, was used for the separation/preconcentration of dissolved iron from an aqueous system containing excess ligand, and GF-AAS was used to determine the concentrations of eluted iron. Quantitative collection of the dissolved iron was obtained under the following optimized conditions: pH range: 4–8; flow rate: 0.2 mL min⁻¹; eluent: 1 M and 6 M HCl.

299 Compared with previously tested SPE materials, MRT gel possesses several advantages. 300 MRT gel is chemically stable with excellent separation properties and extraction capacity. It can 301 be used repeatedly with little loss of performance. Separation of the dissolved iron is rapid, 302 reproducible, and efficient. In addition, the presence of large concentrations of other coexisting 303 ions had no affect on the separation process.

304 In natural oceanic systems, dissolved Fe(III) primarily exists as organically-bound Fe-ligand 305 species, and the total organic ligand concentrations are ~25 times higher than total iron 306 concentrations. In freshwater systems, Fe(III) often forms complexes with humic substances. 307 Conventional SPE systems may not be applicable for the quantitative determination of dissolved 308 iron in natural bodies of water due to competition with strong Fe(III)-binding organic ligands 309 that limits their accuracy. This limitation is minimized with the proposed MRT-gel separation 310 technique, and it is expected that the total dissolved iron content can be accurately measured with 311 this technique in the presence of an excess of strong Fe(III)-binding organic ligands. Importantly, 312 the quantitative separation performance of the proposed MRT-SPE system was achieved for 313 metal-ligand complexes with a maximum pK'_{ML} of 22.8. Current work is underway to determine 314 ultra-trace amounts of iron in an aqueous system containing excess organic ligands using the 315 MRT gels; the goal of this work is to develop a technique for practical

316	separation/preconcentration and subsequent determination of dissolved iron in oceanic water
317	samples.
318	
319	Acknowledgement
320	This research was partially supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (K22042)
321	from Ministry of the Environment, Japan.
322	
323	
324	
325	
326	
327	
328	
329	
330	
331	
332	
333	
334	

336 **References**

- Bag, H., Lale, M., Türker, A.R., 1998. Determination of iron and nickel by flame atomic
 absorption spectrophotometry after preconcentration on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* immobilized sepiolite. Talanta 47, 689-696.
- Blain, S., Treguer, P., 1995. Iron(II) and Iron(III) determination in sea-water at the nanomolar
 level with selective online preconcentration and spectrophotometric determination. Anal.
 Chim. Acta 308, 425-432.
- Boye, M., van den Berg, C.M.G., de Jong, J.T.M., Leach, H., Croot, P., de Baar, H.J.W., 2001.
 Organic complexation of iron in the Southern Ocean. Deep-Sea Res. PT I 48, 1477-1497.
- 345 Bradshaw, J.S., Bruening, R.L., Krakowiak, K.E., Tarbet, B.J., Bruening, M.L., Izatt, R.M.,
- Christensen, J.J., 1988. Preparation of silica gel-bound macrocycles and their cationbinding properties. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm. 812-814.
- Bruland, K.W., Rue, E.L., 2001. Analytical methods for the determination of concentrations and
 speciation of iron. In: Turner, D.R., Hunter, K.A. (Eds.). The Biogeochemistry of Iron in
 Seawater. Wiley, Chichester, England, pp. 255-289.
- Byrne, R.H., Kester, D.R., 1976. Solubility of hydrous ferric oxide and iron speciation in
 seawater. Mar. Chem. 4, 255-274.
- Carbonell, V., Salvador, A., Guardia, M., 1992. Literature survey of the on-line preconcentration
 in flow-injection atomic spectrometric analysis. Fresen. J. Anal. Chem. 342, 529-537.
- Chen, D., Huang, C., He, M., Hu, B., 2009. Separation and preconcentration of inorganic arsenic
 species in natural water samples with 3-(2-aminoethylamino) propyltrimethoxysilane
- 357 modified ordered mesoporous silica micro-column and their determination by inductively
- 358 coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry. J. Hazard. Mater. 164, 1146-1151.

- de Jong, J., Schoemann, V., Lannuzel, D., Tison, J.-L., Mattielli, N., 2008. High-accuracy
 determination of iron in seawater by isotope dilution multiple collector inductively
 coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ID-MC-ICP-MS) using nitrilotriacetic acid chelating
 resin for pre-concentration and matrix separation. Anal. Chim. Acta 623, 126-139.
- Filik, H., Öztürk, B.D., Dogutan, M., Gümüs, G., Apak, R., 1997. Separation and
 preconcentration of iron(II) and iron(III) from natural water on a melamine-formaldehyde
 resin. Talanta 44, 877-884.
- Firdaus, M.L., Norisuye, K., Sato, T., Urushihara, S., Nakagawa, Y., Umetani, S., Sohrin, Y.,
 2007. Preconcentration of Zr, Hf, Nb, Ta and W in seawater using solid-phase extraction
 on TSK-8-hydroxyquinoline resin and determination by inductively coupled plasma-mass
 spectrometry. Anal. Chim. Acta 583, 296-302.
- Ghaedi, M., Fathi, M.R., Marahel, F., Ahmadi, F., 2005. Simultaneous preconcentration and
 determination of copper, nickel, cobalt and lead ions content by flame atomic absorption
 spectrometry. Fresenius Environ. Bull. 14, 1158-1163.
- Ghaedi, M., Asadpour, E., Vafaie, A., 2006. Simultaneous preconcentration and determination of
 copper, nickel, cobalt, lead, and iron content using a surfactant-coated alumina. Bull.
 Chem. Soc. Jpn. 79, 432-436.
- Ghaedi, M., Ahmadi, F., Shokrollahi, A., 2007. Simultaneous preconcentration and
 determination of copper, nickel, cobalt and lead ions content by flame atomic absorption
 spectrometry. J. Hazard. Mater. 142, 272-278.
- 379 Ghaedi, M., Shokrollahi, A., Kianfar, A.H., Mirsadeghi, A.S., Pourfarokhi, A., Soylak, M., 2008.
- 380 The determination of some heavy metals in food samples by flame atomic absorption

382

spectrometry after their separation-preconcentration on bis salicyl aldehyde, 1,3 propan diimine (BSPDI) loaded on activated carbon. J. Hazard. Mater. 154, 128-134.

- Ghaedi, M., Shokrollahi, A., Niknam, K., Niknam, E., Soylak, M., 2009. Development of
 efficient method for preconcentration and determination of copper, nickel, zinc and iron
 ions in environmental samples by combination of cloud point extraction and flame atomic
 absorption spectrometry. Cent. Eur. J. Chem. 7, 148-154.
- Hasegawa, H., Rahman, I.M.M., Kinoshita, S., Maki, T., Furusho, Y., 2010. Non-destructive
 separation of metal ions from wastewater containing excess aminopolycarboxylate
 chelant in solution with an ion-selective immobilized macrocyclic material. Chemosphere
 79, 193-198.
- Hosten, E., Welz, B., 1999. Evaluation of an immobilised macrocyclic material for on-line
 column preconcentration and separation of cadmium, copper and lead for electrothermal
 atomic absorption spectrometry. Anal. Chim. Acta 392, 55-65.
- 394 IBC Advanced Technologies, 2007. AnaLig® Data Sheet: TE-01 and TE-02. IBC Advanced
 395 Technologies, Inc., Utah, USA.
- Izatt, R.M., Bradshaw, J.S., Bruening, R.L., Tarbet, B.J., Bruening, M.L., 1995. Solid phase
 extraction of ions using molecular recognition technology. Pure Appl. Chem. 67, 10691074.
- Izatt, R.M., 1997. Review of selective ion separations at BYU using liquid membrane and solid
 phase extraction procedures. J. Incl. Phenom. Macro. 29, 197-220.
- Johnson, K.S., Gordon, R.M., Coale, K.H., 1997. What controls dissolved iron concentrations in
 the world ocean? Mar. Chem. 57, 137-161.

- Kuma, K., Nishioka, J., Matsunaga, K., 1996. Controls on iron (III) hydroxide solubility in
 seawater: The influence of pH and natural organic chelators. Limnol. Oceanogr. 41, 396405 407.
- Kuma, K., Isoda, Y., Nakabayashi, S., 2003. Control on dissolved iron concentrations in deep
 waters in the western North Pacific: Iron(III) hydroxide solubility. J. Geophys. Res. 108,
 3289.
- Lim, T.T., Chui, P.C., Goh, K.H., 2005. Process evaluation for optimization of EDTA use and
 recovery for heavy metal removal from a contaminated soil. Chemosphere 58, 1031-1040.
- 411 Liu, X., Millero, F.J., 2002. The solubility of iron in seawater. Mar. Chem. 77, 43-54.
- 412 Lyman, J., Fleming, R., 1940. Composition of sea water. J. Mar. Res. 3, 134-146.
- 413 Martell, A.E., Smith, R.M., 1974. Critical Stability Constants, Volume 1: Amino Acids. Plenum
 414 Press, New York.
- Martin, J.H., Fitzwater, S.E., 1988. Iron deficiency limits phytoplankton growth in the north-east
 Pacific subarctic. Nature 331, 341-343.
- 417 Martin, J.H., 1990. Glacial-interglacial CO₂ change: The iron hypothesis. Paleoceanography 5, 1418 13.
- Masi, A.N., Olsina, R.A., 1993. Preconcentration and determination of Ce, La and Pr by X-ray
 fluorescence analysis, using Amberlite XAD resins loaded with 8-Quinolinol and 2-(2-(5
 chloropyridylazo)-5-dimethylamino)-phenol. Talanta 40, 931-934.
- 422 Morel, F.M.M., Hering, J.G., 1993. Principles and Applications of Aquatic Chemistry. Wiley,
 423 New York.
- 424 Nickson, R.A., Hill, S.J., Worsfold, P.J., 1995. Analytical perspective. Solid phase techniques for
- 425 the preconcentration of trace metals from natural waters. Anal. Proc. 32, 387-395.

- 426 Pourreza, N., Mousavi, H.Z., 2004. Solid phase preconcentration of iron as methylthymol blue
 427 complex on naphthalene-tetraoctylammonium bromide adsorbent with subsequent flame
 428 atomic absorption determination. Talanta 64, 264-267.
- Rahman, I.M.M., Begum, Z.A., Nakano, M., Furusho, Y., Maki, T., Hasegawa, H., 2010.
 Selective separation of arsenic species from aqueous solutions with immobilized
 macrocyclic material containing solid phase extraction columns. Chemosphere (doi:
 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.1010.1045).
- 433 Riley, J.P., Chester, R., 1971. Introduction to Marine Chemistry. Academic Press, London.
- Rue, E.L., Bruland, K.W., 1997. The role of organic complexation on ambient iron chemistry in
 the equatorial Pacific Ocean and the response of a mesoscale iron addition experiment.
 Limnol. Oceanogr. 42, 901-910.
- 437 Sillen, L.G., Martell, A.E. (Eds.), 1964. Stability Constants of Metal-Ion Complexes (Special
 438 Publication No. 17). The Chemical Society, London.
- 439 Sohrin, Y., Iwamoto, S.-i., Akiyama, S., Fujita, T., Kugii, T., Obata, H., Nakayama, E., Goda, S., 440 Fujishima, Y., Hasegawa, H., Ueda, K., Matsui, M., 1998. Determination of trace 441 fluorinated metal alkoxide glass-immobilized elements in seawater by 8hydroxyquinoline concentration and high-resolution inductively coupled plasma mass 442 443 spectrometry detection. Anal. Chim. Acta 363, 11-19.
- 444 Ueno, K., Imamura, T., Cheng, K.L., 1992. Handbook of Organic Analytical Reagents. CRC
 445 Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Vogelsberger, W., Seidel, A., Rudakoff, G., 1992. Solubility of silica gel in water. J. Chem. Soc.
 Faraday T. 88, 473-476.

449	K.A. (Eds.). The Biogeochemistry of Iron in Seawater. Wiley, Chichester, England, pp.
450	291-342.
451	Wells, M.L., Bruland, K.W., 1998. An improved method for rapid preconcentration and
452	determination of bioactive trace metals in seawater using solid phase extraction and high
453	resolution inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Mar. Chem. 63, 145-153.
454	Wu, J., Luther, G.W., 1995. Complexation of Fe(III) by natural organic ligands in the Northwest
455	Atlantic Ocean by a competitive ligand equilibration method and a kinetic approach. Mar.
456	Chem. 50, 159-177.
457	
458	
459	
460	
461	
462	
463	
464	
465	
466	
467	
468	
469	Table 1: Different SPE materials used in this study
470	

Waite, T.D., 2001. Thermodynamics of the iron system in seawater. In: Turner, D.R., Hunter,

SPE material type	Commercial Name	Base Support	Functional group
MRT Gel	AnaLig TE-01	Silica gel	Crown ether
Ion-exchange resin	NOBIAS Ion SC-1	Hydrophilic methacrylate	Sulfonic acid
	NOBIAS Ion SA-1	Hydrophilic methacrylate	Quaternized amine
Chelate resin	Chelex-100	Styrene divinylbenzene	Iminodiacetic acid
	MetaSEP ME-1	Methacrylate polymer	Iminodiacetic acid
	MetaSEP ME-2	Methacrylate polymer	Iminodiacetic acid +a
	MetaSEP ME-3	Methacrylate polymer	Iminodiacetic acid +b
	NOBIAS Chelate PA-1	Hydrophilic methacrylate	Polyamino-
			polycarboxylic acid
	NOBIAS Chelate PB-1	Divinylbenzene/	Polyamino-
		methacrylate polymer	polycarboxylic acid
Table 2: Acid dis	sociation constants (pK_a)), stability constants ($K_{\rm ML}$)	and conditional stability
constants (K'_{ML}) or	f Fe(III)-ligand complexe	es at 25 °C	

	Ligand	$K_{ m ML}$	p <i>K</i> _a	<i>K</i> ' _{ML} (at pH 8)
_	NTA	15.9 ^{<i>a</i>}	1.89, 2.49, 9.73	14.1
_	GEDTA	20.5^{a}	2.00, 2.68, 8.85, 9.46	18.1
	EDTA	25.0^{a}	1.99, 2.67, 6.16, 10.26	22.8
_	DTPA	28.6^{b}	2.14, 2.38, 4.26, 8.60, 10.53	25.4
	DFB	31.9 ^c	8.39, 9.03, 9.70	29.0
485	^a Martell and S	mith (1974), ^b Sillen	and Martell (1964; 1993), ^c Morel an	d Hering (1993)
486				
487				
488				
489				
490				
491				
492				
493				
494				
495				
/96				
407				
477				
490				
499				
500				
501				
502				
503				



- 504
- 505

Figure 1: Comparative performance of AnaLig TE-01 with different commercial SPE materials for aqueous metal solution containing excess ligand (n = 3). Sample solution: Fe(III) – 0.1 mM, ligand: EDTA – 10 mM, matrix: H₂O, pH: 8.0, sample volume: 5 mL, flow rate: 0.2 mL min⁻¹, eluent: 1 M HCl (4 mL) + 6 M HCl (1 mL). 'Sample' denotes the analyte concentration in the column effluent collected after passing the sample solution through the MRT-SPE system; 'elute' denotes the analyte concentration in the collected eluent.

- 512
- 513
- 514
- 515
- 516





Figure 2: Effect of pH on the recovery percentage (n = 3). Sample solution: (a) Fe(III) – 0.1 mM and (b) Fe(II) – 0.1 mM, ligand: EDTA – 10 mM, matrix: H₂O, pH: 4.0–8.0, sample volume: 5 mL, flow rate: 0.2 mL min⁻¹, eluent: 1 M HCl (4 mL) + 6 M HCl (1 mL). 'Sample' denotes the analyte concentration in the column effluent collected after passing the sample solution through the MRT-SPE system; 'elute' denotes the analyte concentration in the collected eluent.







Figure 3: Effect of (a) sample loading flow rate and (b) eluent concentration on the recovery percentage of analyte (n = 3). Sample solution: Fe(III) – 0.1 mM, ligand: EDTA – 10 mM, matrix: H₂O, pH: 8.0, sample volume: 5 mL, flow rate: (a) 0.2–4.0 mL min⁻¹ and (b) 0.2 mL min⁻¹ ¹, eluent: (a) 1 M HCl (4 mL) + 6 M HCl (1 mL) and (b) HCl (0.1–6.0 M) – 5 mL.



Figure 4: Effect of metal-ligand stability constants on the performance of SPE materials (n = 3). Sample solution: Fe(III) – 0.1 mM, ligand: NTA, GEDTA, EDTA, DTPA, DFB – 10 mM, matrix: H₂O, pH: 8.0, sample volume: 5 mL, flow rate: 0.2 mL min⁻¹, eluent: 1 M HCl (4 mL) + 6 M HCl (1 mL).



Figure 5: Effect of coexisting ions on the performance of AnaLig TE-01 (n = 3). Sample solution: Fe(III) – 0.1 mM, ligand: EDTA – 10 mM, ion concentration – 10 mM, matrix: H₂O, pH: 8.0, sample volume: 5 mL, flow rate: 0.2 mL min⁻¹, eluent: 1 M HCl (4 mL) + 6 M HCl (1 mL). 'Sample' denotes the analyte concentration in the column effluent collected after passing the sample solution through the MRT-SPE system; 'elute' denotes the analyte concentration in the collected eluent.